

## 1: Planetarium: "Faster Than Light: The Dream in Interstellar Flight"

*Alcubierre proposed a new kind of technology that would allow us to travel 10 times faster than the speed of light without actually breaking the speed of light.*

At Bonnier Corporation, your privacy is important to us. This Privacy Policy applies to all of the products, services, and websites offered by Bonnier Corporation and its subsidiaries or affiliated companies collectively, "Bonnier". To better protect your privacy, we provide this notice explaining our privacy practices and the choices you can make about the way your information is collected and used by Bonnier. Jeremy Thompson, General Counsel N. Privacy Department N. Orlando Avenue, Suite Winter Park, FL You may also ask for a summary of the information that we have retained, how we have used it, and to whom it has been disclosed. For your protection, we may require that you authenticate your identity before we provide you with any information. An overview of the information that Bonnier may collect You are able to take advantage of many Bonnier products, services, and websites without providing any information that personally identifies you by name, address, or other personally-identifying information. We only collect personally-identifying information when you voluntarily submit it to us. Sometimes, we need personally-identifying information in order to provide you with the products and services that you request. Depending upon the product or service, we may ask you for a variety of personally-identifying information. This might include, for example, your name, address, e-mail address, telephone number, gender, and birth date. We may also ask for other information about you, such as your credit card information when you are making a purchase, interests, income, or education level. We consider certain identifying information "sensitive. Some types of personal information will NEVER be requested or collected, such as information on your race or ethnic origin, political opinions, trade union memberships, religious beliefs, health, sex life, or sexual orientation. You may choose not to provide us with any personally-identifying information. In that case, you can still access and use many portions of our websites; however, you will not be able to access and use those portions of any Bonnier website that require your personal information. Many Bonnier websites include community features, such as online forums and message boards. Information that is posted in these areas becomes public information and the use that any third party makes of this information is beyond our ability to control. You should exercise caution before disclosing any personally-identifying information in these public venues. If you elect to submit content that includes information that can be used to identify you, you must assume that the content can and will be displayed on any website on the Internet. At some Bonnier sites and through certain promotions, you can submit personally-identifying information about other people. Some Bonnier websites also provide referral services to help you inform a friend about our websites, products, or services. We will only ask you for the information about your friend that we need in order to do what you request. Our properties may feature Nielsen proprietary measurement software, which will allow you to contribute to market research, such as Nielsen TV Ratings. To learn more about the information that Nielsen software may collect and your choices with regard to it, please see the Nielsen Digital Measurement Privacy Policy at [http:](http://) These companies may use information you have shared e. Our partners use this information to recognize you across different channels and platforms over time for advertising, analytics, attribution, and reporting purposes; any information collected is stored in hashed or non-human-readable form. These companies typically use a cookie or third-party web beacon to collect this information. To learn more about this behavioral advertising practice or to opt-out of this type of advertising, you can visit [http:](http://) Bonnier websites sometimes may offer contests, sweepstakes, or promotions that are sponsored by or co-sponsored with identified third parties. By virtue of their sponsorship, these third parties may obtain personally-identifying information that visitors voluntarily submit to them in order to participate in the contest, sweepstakes, or promotion. If a third-party sponsor beyond our control will obtain information that you supply us, we will notify you at the time we collect the information from you. Some of our websites contain links to other sites. By clicking on these links, you will leave the website operated by Bonnier and this Privacy Policy will no longer apply. How we use the information we collect We use the personally-identifying information that you provide us to fulfill your

requests for our products, programs, and services, to respond to your inquiries about offerings, and to offer you other products, programs, or services that we believe may be of interest to you. We sometimes use this information to communicate with you, such as to notify you when you have won one of our contests, when we make changes to subscriber agreements, to fulfill a request by you for an online newsletter, or to contact you about your account with us. We do not use your personal information to make automated decisions. We may syndicate the publicly available content of our community areas to unaffiliated third-party websites, using RSS or other technologies. The information you have shared in the community areas may be included in this syndication. We will use the personally-identifying information that you provide about others in order to provide the products or services that you have requested; for example, to enable us to send them your gifts or cards. These lists will never contain sensitive information. If you do not wish for your e-mail or postal address to be shared with companies not owned by Bonnier who want to market products or services to you, you have the opportunity to opt out, as described below. You may also opt out of the receipt of any marketing materials from Bonnier as described below. We may transfer your sensitive personally-identifying information to other Bonnier offices for internal management and administrative purposes. In addition, your personal data will be transferred to other Bonnier offices where necessary for the performance or conclusion of our contractual obligations to you or for your benefit. Transfers of personally-identifying information may also be made where necessary for the establishment, exercise, or defense of legal claims. We do not transfer personal information internationally. Bonnier will only share your sensitive personal information with outside companies or individuals in any of the following limited circumstances: When we use trusted businesses or persons to process personal information on our behalf. Before sharing any personal information with outside parties, we require that these parties agree to process such information based on our instructions and in compliance with this Privacy Policy and any other appropriate confidentiality and security measures. Before we share your sensitive personal information outside of the previously listed circumstances, we will ask you for permission first. Please note that this only applies to sensitive information, as defined above. We may also use, transfer, sell, and share aggregated, anonymous data about our users for any legal purpose, such as analyzing usage trends and seeking compatible advertisers and partners. In no event will this aggregated data contain any information that could be used to identify individual users of our products or services. How we protect the safety and integrity of the information we collect We take appropriate physical, electronic, and procedural measures to safeguard and protect your personal information. We use a variety of security measures, including encryption and authentication, to maintain the confidentiality of your personal information. We store your personal information on systems behind firewalls that are only accessible to a limited number of persons, each of whom is required to keep the information confidential. When you transmit sensitive personal information to us, like credit card information, we offer the use of a secure connection to our servers. To the extent you select the secure connection method or your browser supports such functionality, all credit card account information that you supply is transmitted via secure encryption technology. We will provide notice if we become aware of any security breach that may affect any sensitive personal information pertaining to you that we have stored on our systems. Bonnier employees, agents, and contractors who have access to personally-identifying information are required to protect this information in a manner that is consistent with this Privacy Policy and may not use the information for any purpose other than to carry out the services they are performing for Bonnier. These individuals are bound by confidentiality obligations and may be subject to discipline, including termination and criminal prosecution, if they fail to meet these obligations. Bonnier only collects personal information that is relevant to the purposes for which it will be used. Though we do take appropriate steps to review and update the information that we store to ensure that it is accurate, complete, and current, we also depend on you to update or correct your personal information when necessary. You may correct or delete any or all of the personal information you have provided to us at any time. Many of our websites provide means to review and update the personal information that you have provided on that website. To inquire about personally identifiable information that Bonnier has collected about you, or about other ways to correct factual errors in that information, please send us an e-mail at [privacy@bonniercorp.com](mailto:privacy@bonniercorp.com). Do not use this email address to send questions about your subscription. To protect your privacy

and security, we will take reasonable steps to help verify your identity before granting access or making corrections. We will decline to process requests where we cannot verify the identity of the requester. We may also decline to process requests that are automated, repetitive, systematic, or impractical, or that might jeopardize the privacy of others. In some limited circumstances, such as to resolve disputes, troubleshoot problems, and enforce our policies, we may retain some of information that you have requested us to remove. Therefore, you should not expect that all of your personal information will be completely removed from our databases in response to your requests. We only use the information we collect for purposes consistent with this policy. If we propose to use your personal information for purposes beyond that explained in this policy, we will provide appropriate notice before doing so and we will provide you with the means to opt out of those uses. We will not use your sensitive personal information for any purposes other than those described in this Policy unless we have obtained your consent. Your privacy options If you prefer not to receive e-mail communications from other companies, you may choose to remove yourself from any e-mail lists that we provide to third parties for marketing purposes by sending us an e-mail at [emailoptout@bonniercorp.com](mailto:emailoptout@bonniercorp.com). You will still receive information from Bonnier and its various brands, but we will not share your address information with anyone else. If you prefer not to receive postal communication from other companies, you may choose to remove yourself from any postal mailing lists that we provide to third parties for marketing purposes by sending us an e-mail at [emailoptout@bonniercorp.com](mailto:emailoptout@bonniercorp.com). Box , Harlan, IA We only want to communicate with you if you want to hear from us. If you prefer not to be contacted at all, you may opt out of receiving any communications from us at any time by notifying us at [emailoptout@bonniercorp.com](mailto:emailoptout@bonniercorp.com). You may also notify us by sending mail to the following address:

## 2: Faster-than-light - Wikipedia

*The scientific community has been left reeling by the discovery of particles that can travel faster than the speed of light. The discovery breaks every known law of science. It brings the idea of time travel one step closer to being reality.*

NASA scientists may be close announcing they may have broken the speed of light. According to state-of-the-art theory, a warp drive could cut the travel time between stars from tens of thousands of years to weeks or months. The catalyst for the warp-drive excitement is the Electromagnetic Drive or EM Drive, a thruster that was engineered to steer rockets which eliminates the use of a propellant originally intended for moon missions, Mars missions and low-Earth orbit LEO operations. The experiment that led to the possibility of faster than light interstellar travel took place in the vacuum of space. The bubble would contract space-time in front of the ship, flow over the ship, then expand back to normality behind it. White, a physicist and advanced propulsion engineer at NASA and other NASA engineers are trying to determine whether faster-than-light travel "warp drive" might someday be possible. The team has attempted to slightly warp the trajectory of a photon, changing the distance it travels in a certain area, and then observing the change with a device called an interferometer. White, 43, who runs the research project told the New York Times. Alcubierre proposed a new kind of technology that would allow us to travel 10 times faster than the speed of light without actually breaking the speed of light. Einstein and others that light is the galactic speed limit "nothing in the cosmos can travel faster than it much less 10 times faster and herein lies the key to the Alcubierre drive: This technology would not actually propel the ship to speeds exceeding light; instead, it uses the deformation of spacetime permitted by General Relativity to warp the universe around the vessel. Essentially, when the drive is activated, the spacetime behind expands, while in the front it contracts. White, the NYT reports, has likened it to stepping onto a moving walkway at an airport. An Alcubierre Warp Drive stretches spacetime in a wave causing the fabric of space ahead of a spacecraft to contract and the space behind it to expand. The ship can ride the wave to accelerate to high speeds and time travel. In general relativity, one often first specifies a plausible distribution of matter and energy, and then finds the geometry of the spacetime associated with it; but it is also possible to run the Einstein field equations in the other direction, first specifying a metric and then finding the energy-momentum tensor associated with it, and this is what Alcubierre did in building his metric. This practice means that the solution can violate various energy conditions and require exotic matter. Yet another problem according to Serguei Krasnikov is that it would be impossible to generate the bubble without being able to force the exotic matter to move at locally FTL speeds, which would require the existence of tachyons. Some methods have been suggested which would avoid the problem of tachyonic motion, but would probably generate a naked singularity at the front of the bubble. White believes that advances he and others have made render warp speed less implausible. Among other things, he has redesigned the theoretical warp-traveling spacecraft "and in particular a ring around it that is key to its propulsion system" in a way that he believes will greatly reduce the energy requirements. We tend to overestimate what we can do on short time scales, but I think we massively underestimate what we can do on longer time scales. White likened his experiments to the early stages of the WW 11 Manhattan Project, which were aimed at creating a very small nuclear reaction merely as proof that it could be done. Still, one of the most dubious is Dr. He listed a number of concerns, starting with the vast amounts of exotic matter that would be needed. When you think about it, that kind of makes sense. Indeed, the proposition was mostly just a thought experiment when it was first proposed "not something Alcubierre thought was actually viable technology. As Caltech physicist Sean Carroll notes: And the chances it will happen in the next hundred years I would put at less than 0.

## 3: Faster Than the Speed of Night - Wikipedia

*Faster-than-light (also superluminal or FTL) communication and travel are the conjectural propagation of information or matter faster than the speed of light. The special theory of relativity implies that only particles with zero rest mass may travel at the speed of light.*

The announcement he had made promised to overturn our understanding of the Universe. If the data gathered by scientists working on the OPERA project were correct, the unthinkable had been observed. Particles – in this case, neutrinos – had travelled faster than light. And the implications for showing it had happened were vast. Many bits of physics might have to be reconsidered. Although Ereditato said that he and his team had "high confidence" in their result, they did not claim that they knew it was completely accurate. In fact, they were asking for other scientists to help them understand what had happened. A timing problem had been caused by a poorly connected cable that should have been transmitting accurate signals from GPS satellites. There was an unexpected delay in the signal. As a consequence, the measurements of how long the neutrinos took to travel the given distance were off by about 73 nanoseconds, making it look as though they had whizzed along more quickly than light could have done. Despite months of careful checks prior to the experiment, and plentiful double-checking of the data afterwards, this time the scientists got it wrong. Ereditato resigned, though many pointed out that mistakes like these happen all the time in the hugely complex machinery of particle accelerators. Why was it such a big deal to suggest – even as a possibility – that something had travelled faster than light? And are we really sure that nothing can? View image of We cannot go as fast as light Credit: The speed of light in a vacuum is  $c$ , That is pretty nippy. The Sun is million km away from Earth and light takes just eight minutes and 20 seconds to travel that far. He needed to use ever-larger amounts of additional energy to make ever-smaller differences to the speed Can any of our own creations compete in a race with light? One of the fastest human-made objects ever built, the New Horizons space probe, passed by Pluto and Charon in July However, we have made tiny particles travel much faster than that. In the early s, William Bertozzi at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology experimented with accelerating electrons at greater and greater velocities. Because electrons have a charge that is negative, it is possible to propel – or rather, repel – them by applying the same negative charge to a material. The more energy applied, the faster the electrons will be accelerated. As objects travel faster and faster, they get heavier and heavier Instead, he needed to use ever-larger amounts of additional energy to make ever-smaller differences to the speed the electrons moved. They got closer and closer to the speed of light but never quite reached it. Imagine travelling towards a door in a series of moves, in each of which you travel exactly half the distance between your current position and the door. Strictly speaking, you will never reach the door, because after every move you make you still have some distance still to travel. That is the kind of problem Bertozzi encountered with his electrons. But light is made up of particles called photons. Why can these particles travel at the speed of light when particles like electrons cannot? View image of New Horizons visited Pluto in Credit: Not only do they have no mass, which gives them free reign when it comes to zipping about in vacuums like space, they do not have to speed up. The natural energy they possess, travelling as they do in waves, means that the moment they are created, they are already at top speed. In fact, in some ways it makes more sense to think of light as energy rather than as a flow of particles, though truthfully it is – a little confusingly – both. Still, light sometimes appears to travel more slowly than we might expect. It is a tricky concept to get your head around, but it is worth noting. View image of Optical fibers carry information Credit: We really have not observed or created anything that can go quite that quickly, or indeed more quickly. Why is it so important that this speed of light rule be so strict? His theory of special relativity explores many of the consequences of these universal speed limits. One of the important elements in the theory is the idea that the speed of light is a constant. No matter where you are or how fast you are travelling, light always travels at the same speed. But that creates some conceptual problems. Imagine shining light from a torch up to a mirror on the ceiling of a stationary spacecraft. The light will shine upwards, reflect off the mirror, and come down to hit the floor of the spacecraft. Time travels slower for people travelling in fast-moving vehicles When

you shine the torch again, the light will still seem to behave as before: But in order to do so the light will have to travel diagonally rather than just vertically. After all, the mirror is now moving quickly along with the spacecraft. The distance the light travels therefore increases. That is 15m in total, instead of 10m. Since speed is distance divided by time, for the speed to be the same but the distance to have increased, time must also have increased. Yes, time itself must have got stretched. That sounds wacky, but it has been proved experimentally. View image of Time can slow down or speed up Credit: It means time travels slower for people travelling in fast-moving vehicles, relative to those who are stationary. For example, time runs 0. For these particles, the degree of time dilation can be great. Steven Kolthammer, an experimental physicist at the University of Oxford in the UK, points to an example involving particles called muons. So quickly, in fact, that most muons leaving the Sun should have decayed away by the time they reach the Earth. But in reality muons arrive at Earth from the Sun in great numbers. This was something scientists long found difficult to understand. View image of Light travels from the Sun to Earth Credit: These consequences, time dilation and length contraction, are both examples of how space-time changes based on the motion of things "like you, me or a spacecraft" that have mass. There are galaxies in the Universe moving away from one another at a velocity greater than the speed of light Crucially, as Einstein said, light does not get affected in the same way "because it has no mass. That is why it is so important that all of these principles go hand-in-hand. If things could travel faster than light, they would disobey these fundamental laws that describe how the Universe works. That sums up the key principles. At this point, we can consider a few exceptions and caveats. For one thing, while nothing has ever been observed travelling faster than light, that does not mean it is not theoretically possible to break this speed limit in very special circumstances. Take, for instance, the expansion of the Universe itself. There are galaxies in the Universe moving away from one another at a velocity greater than the speed of light. There is yet another possible way in which faster-than-light travel is technically possible Another interesting situation concerns particles that seem to be expressing the same properties at the same time, no matter how far apart they are. This is called "quantum entanglement". In essence, a photon will flip back and forth between two possible states at random "but the flips will exactly mirror the flipping of another photon somewhere else, if the two are entangled. Two scientists each studying their own photon will therefore get the same results at the same time, faster than the speed of light. However, in both these examples it is crucial to note that no information is travelling faster than the speed of light between two entities. View image of Galaxies are flying away from us Credit: What if instead you actively distorted space-time in a controlled way? There is yet another possible way in which faster-than-light travel is technically possible: Gerald Cleaver at Baylor University in Texas has considered the possibility that we might one day build a faster-than-light spacecraft. One of the ways to do this might be to travel through a wormhole. The object travelling through the wormhole would not exceed the speed of light, but it could theoretically reach a certain destination faster than light could if it took a "normal" route. But wormholes might not be available for space travel. View image of Wormholes would be handy, if they exist Credit: Essentially, it describes a situation in which space-time is squashed in front of a spacecraft, pulling it forward, while space-time behind the craft is expanded, creating a pushing effect. No-one knows how that would ever be possible, or what the technology to do it would look like. But while that may sound disappointing, light is anything but. In fact, for most of this article we have been thinking in terms of visible light. But really light is much, much more than that. View image of We only see part of the spectrum Credit: The difference is the energy, and therefore their wavelength. Collectively these rays make up the electromagnetic spectrum. The fact that radio waves, for instance, travel at the speed of light is enormously useful for communications. He adds that light acts as a communicating force for the Universe. When electrons in a mobile phone mast jiggle, photons fly out and make other electrons in your mobile phone jiggle too. It is this process that lets you make a phone call. The jiggling of electrons in the Sun also emits photons "at fantastic rates" which, of course, produces the light that nourishes life on Earth. That speed "

## 4: Scientists did not break speed of light - it was a faulty wire - Telegraph

*The Big Bang itself expanded much faster than the speed of light. But this only means that "nothing can go faster than light." Since nothing is just empty space or vacuum, it can expand faster.*

April 22, at 3: At that point, light leaving the distant galaxy would never reach us. I do not understand why its light would never reach us – If light travels at the speed of light, then it seems that it reach us eventually no matter what speed the galaxy is traveling. Its frequency would certainly be reduced – largely reddened. It seems to me that it would only be a matter of time for a given photon to reach us – the farther it is the longer it will take to reach us. Thank you for the opportunity to comment. John Tomassoni April 22, at The expansion of the universe is very similar to baking cookies. Space works exactly like this. April 23, at 5: I suspect that space itself might be static in shape. That is galaxies travel through it rather than with it. Why are galaxies literally flying apart at an accelerated rate? We know or at least we think we know that a recession rate of red shift. If this is close to factual, this suggests the observable portion of our universe is an infinitely tiny part of an incomprehensible mind-bending total universe. Any physical laws broken? I believe it does, but not in the accepted sense. April 23, at 1: We see galaxies further away than that all the time. We see them in the past. And it would eventually reach us. Dark energy makes it more complicated. This is what Cain is trying to explain. For a somewhat but maybe not too technical review of these confusing things, see [http:](http://) April 23, at 7: What I will call door number one will represent a distant galaxy, and door number two at the other end will be observers on earth, earth itself or our galaxy, it doesn't matter which one. Now in this dream I represent light itself. As I escape through and away from door number one distant galaxy, I run down the hallway light traveling through space time, but due to this bizarre dark energy the hallway itself actually starts elongating expanding. As I run towards people on earth inside the milky way door number two at the other end I progressively lose sight of that second door as it gets pushed further and further away at each step I take. Where as I may not lose running speed the hallway expands faster than I can run making my attempts to exit a distant galaxy and reach the milky way, futile. I would imagine in this analogy it is as relevant to ask why dark energy increases the distance of the hallway as it is to ask why in another scenario perhaps a different universe the hallway would remain static. It is the predestined nature of our universe to expand and inside are our felt implications. The confusing thing is in agreeing that nothing can travel faster than  $c$  across a distance, but when you are distance itself you aren't traveling at all, you are growing larger. I am thinking of this phenomenon as an issue of growth and the illusion of travel from our perspective of being inside the belly of the beast, where the universe itself may or may not be traveling anywhere. That would be like the hallway I am running in traveling towards another hallway some other me might be running in, while either hallway elongates. We experience time as does light when a distance is traveled, but the universe contains time and is said distance so its expansion, and the resulting effect that has on its internal parts, is quite a different experience than the one I can think of having. Then again, I could be completely off the mark, I am just thinking. April 23, at Some are so red shifted that we can only get a good look at them through infra-red imaging. The reason for the red-shifting is because the space between the galaxies and us is expanding, and the waves are spreading apart. The radiation from the earliest times that we can detect is in microwaves cosmic microwave background radiation. April 25, at From their point of view, we are the ones receding at a superluminal velocity. Either way, we are lost to each other. Our traditional model of an expanding Universe is probably on the wrong track. Sincerely, Wolfgang June 10, at 6: June 22, at Even if the apparent velocity of expansion reaches or exceeds the absolute velocity of light, light will eventually reach us from even the most distant objects in the Universe. The only way to outrun light is to exceed the absolute velocity of light, which is impossible. Now fire a gun from one auto, directed at the other. Do you think the auto, travelling at meters per second will outrun the bullet, travelling at meters per second? It will take a bit longer, but the bullet will catch up with the car and a simple quadratic equation will prove it! Also, as space expands, gravity will pull the galaxies in our local group closer together, making the night sky much brighter than it is today, not darker! October 9, at 3: The galaxy would not be able to recede at light-speed otherwise it would cause us to travel to

## ARE DREAMS FASTER THAN THE SPEED OF LIGHT pdf

another dimension. December 3, at 1: As I travel across the expanding Universe just behind me is the Big Bang, where I came from and just ahead of me is the Big Crunch, where I am heading to. In your reference frame my time stands still, and the Universe compresses to Zero distance in my line of direction. We perceive our Universe as it is simply because we cannot travel anywhere near light speeds for these effects to happen to us. December 19, at 9: Also, at C, time essentially stops. Therefore, beyond the speed of light we have: Is my logic faulty here? Please send me your reply at:

## 5: CERN scientists 'break the speed of light' - Telegraph

*if galaxies were getting far away from us at the speed of light we would never lose the light of them because they can only speed up to the speed of light, only change would be the picture we get.*

There is no limit on the value of a proper speed as a proper speed does not represent a speed measured in a single inertial frame. A light signal that left the Earth at the same time as the traveller would always get to the destination before the traveller. Possible distance away from Earth[ edit ] Main article: Space travel using constant acceleration Since one might not travel faster than light, one might conclude that a human can never travel further from the Earth than 40 light-years if the traveler is active between the age of 20 and A traveler would then never be able to reach more than the very few star systems which exist within the limit of 20â€”40 light-years from the Earth. This is a mistaken conclusion: Their speed will not be seen as higher than the speed of light by observers on Earth, and the traveler will not measure their speed as being higher than the speed of light, but will see a length contraction of the universe in their direction of travel. And as the traveler turns around to return, the Earth will seem to experience much more time than the traveler does. So, while their ordinary coordinate speed cannot exceed  $c$ , their proper speed distance as seen by Earth divided by their proper time can be much greater than  $c$ . This is seen in statistical studies of muons traveling much further than  $c$  times their half-life at rest , if traveling close to  $c$ . For example, this occurs in most glasses at X-ray frequencies. Such a wave component must be infinite in extent and of constant amplitude otherwise it is not truly monochromatic , and so cannot convey any information. However, even this situation does not imply the propagation of signals with a velocity above  $c$ , [16] even though one may be tempted to associate pulse maxima with signals. The latter association has been shown to be misleading, because the information on the arrival of a pulse can be obtained before the pulse maximum arrives. For example, if some mechanism allows the full transmission of the leading part of a pulse while strongly attenuating the pulse maximum and everything behind distortion , the pulse maximum is effectively shifted forward in time, while the information on the pulse does not come faster than  $c$  without this effect. The diffraction causes that the peak of pulse propagates faster, while overall power does not. However, in general relativity , velocity is a local notion, so velocity calculated using comoving coordinates does not have any simple relation to velocity calculated locally. Rules that apply to relative velocities in special relativity, such as the rule that relative velocities cannot increase past the speed of light, do not apply to relative velocities in comoving coordinates, which are often described in terms of the "expansion of space" between galaxies. All of these are currently traveling away from us at speeds greater than the speed of light. Because the Hubble parameter is decreasing with time, there can actually be cases where a galaxy that is receding from us faster than light does manage to emit a signal which reaches us eventually. Although the last scattering surface is not at any fixed comoving coordinate, the current recession velocity of the points from which the CMB was emitted is 3. At the time of emission their speed was Thus we routinely observe objects that are receding faster than the speed of light and the Hubble sphere is not a horizon. The current distance to this cosmological event horizon is about 16 billion light-years, meaning that a signal from an event happening at present would eventually be able to reach us in the future if the event was less than 16 billion light-years away, but the signal would never reach us if the event was more than 16 billion light-years away. The effect was predicted before it was observed by Martin Rees [ clarification needed ] and can be explained as an optical illusion caused by the object partly moving in the direction of the observer, [28] when the speed calculations assume it does not. The phenomenon does not contradict the theory of special relativity. Corrected calculations show these objects have velocities close to the speed of light relative to our reference frame. They are the first examples of large amounts of mass moving at close to the speed of light. Quantum mechanics[ edit ] Certain phenomena in quantum mechanics , such as quantum entanglement , might give the superficial impression of allowing communication of information faster than light. According to the no-communication theorem these phenomena do not allow true communication; they only let two observers in different locations see the same system simultaneously, without any way of controlling what either sees. Wavefunction collapse can be viewed as an epiphenomenon of

quantum decoherence, which in turn is nothing more than an effect of the underlying local time evolution of the wavefunction of a system and all of its environment. Since the underlying behavior does not violate local causality or allow FTL communication, it follows that neither does the additional effect of wavefunction collapse, whether real or apparent. The uncertainty principle implies that individual photons may travel for short distances at speeds somewhat faster or slower than  $c$ , even in a vacuum; this possibility must be taken into account when enumerating Feynman diagrams for a particle interaction. However, macroscopically these fluctuations average out, so that photons do travel in straight lines over long  $i$ . Therefore, this does not imply the possibility of superluminal information transmission. There have been various reports in the popular press of experiments on faster-than-light transmission in optics – most often in the context of a kind of quantum tunnelling phenomenon. Usually, such reports deal with a phase velocity or group velocity faster than the vacuum velocity of light.

**Hartman effect** The Hartman effect is the tunneling effect through a barrier where the tunneling time tends to a constant for large barriers. When the prisms are in contact, the light passes straight through, but when there is a gap, the light is refracted. There is a non-zero probability that the photon will tunnel across the gap rather than follow the refracted path. For large gaps between the prisms the tunnelling time approaches a constant and thus the photons appear to have crossed with a superluminal speed. Winful from the University of Michigan suggests that the Hartman effect cannot actually be used to violate relativity by transmitting signals faster than  $c$ , because the tunnelling time "should not be linked to a velocity since evanescent waves do not propagate".

**Casimir effect** In physics, the Casimir effect or Casimir-Polder force is a physical force exerted between separate objects due to resonance of vacuum energy in the intervening space between the objects. This is sometimes described in terms of virtual particles interacting with the objects, owing to the mathematical form of one possible way of calculating the strength of the effect. Because the strength of the force falls off rapidly with distance, it is only measurable when the distance between the objects is extremely small. Because the effect is due to virtual particles mediating a static field effect, it is subject to the comments about static fields discussed above. In this experiment, the measurement of the state of one of the quantum systems of an entangled pair apparently instantaneously forces the other system which may be distant to be measured in the complementary state. However, no information can be transmitted this way; the answer to whether or not the measurement actually affects the other quantum system comes down to which interpretation of quantum mechanics one subscribes to. An experiment performed in by Nicolas Gisin at the University of Geneva has demonstrated non-local quantum correlations between particles separated by over 10 kilometers. A quantum physics experiment also performed by Nicolas Gisin and his colleagues in Geneva, Switzerland has determined that in any hypothetical non-local hidden-variables theory the speed of the quantum non-local connection what Einstein called "spooky action at a distance" is at least 10, times the speed of light.

**Delayed choice quantum eraser** Delayed choice quantum eraser an experiment of Marlan Scully is a version of the EPR paradox in which the observation or not of interference after the passage of a photon through a double slit experiment depends on the conditions of observation of a second photon entangled with the first. This means that all inertial observers, regardless of their relative velocity, will always measure zero-mass particles such as photons traveling at  $c$  in a vacuum. These transformations have important implications: The relativistic momentum of a massive particle would increase with speed in such a way that at the speed of light an object would have infinite momentum. To accelerate an object of non-zero rest mass to  $c$  would require infinite time with any finite acceleration, or infinite acceleration for a finite amount of time. Either way, such acceleration requires infinite energy. Some observers with sub-light relative motion will disagree about which occurs first of any two events that are separated by a space-like interval. In special relativity the coordinate speed of light is only guaranteed to be  $c$  in an inertial frame; in a non-inertial frame the coordinate speed may be different from  $c$ .

## 6: Darkness Is Faster Than the Speed of Light

*Lyrics to "Faster Than The Speed Of Light" song by Yngwie Malmsteen: Step inside the dream machine You're on a power drive Feel the passion of the beast Watch her.*

Antonio Ereditato, spokesman for the international group of researchers, said that measurements taken over three years showed neutrinos pumped from CERN near Geneva to Gran Sasso in Italy had arrived 60 nanoseconds quicker than light would have done. We have checked and rechecked for anything that could have distorted our measurements but we found nothing," he said. Scientists did not break speed of light - it was a faulty wire That assertion, which has withstood over a century of testing, is one of the key elements of the so-called Standard Model of physics, which attempts to describe the way the universe and everything in it works. A total of 15, beams of neutrinos - tiny particles that pervade the cosmos - were fired over a period of three years from CERN towards Gran Sasso miles km away, where they were picked up by giant detectors. Light would have covered the distance in around 2. The finding is so startling that, for the moment, everybody should be very prudent. Large Hadron Collider restarts experiments after two-year upgrade Much science-fiction literature is based on the idea that, if the light-speed barrier can be overcome, time travel might theoretically become possible. The existence of the neutrino, an elementary sub-atomic particle with a tiny amount of mass created in radioactive decay or in nuclear reactions such as those in the Sun, was first confirmed in , but it still mystifies researchers. It can pass through most matter undetected, even over long distances, and without being affected. Millions pass through the human body every day, scientists say. To reach Gran Sasso, the neutrinos pushed out from a special installation at CERN - also home to the Large Hadron Collider probing the origins of the universe - have to pass through water, air and rock. The underground Italian laboratory, some km 75 miles to the south of Rome, is the largest of its type in the world for particle physics and cosmic research. Around scientists from 22 different countries work there, attracted by the possibility of staging experiments in its three massive halls, protected from cosmic rays by some 1, metres 4, feet of rock overhead. Scientists say they have clocked neutrinos " tiny particles smaller than atoms " travelling at , kilometres per second, slightly faster than the speed of light. What does that mean? What are the knock-on effects? If it is shown to be flawed, virtually everything in modern physics and the fundamental laws of nature would have to be rethought. Have the results been proven? But they have asked American and Japanese teams to confirm the results before they are declared an actual discovery. The data will also be put online overnight so that it can be scrutinised by experts across the world. Does this mean  $E$  does not equal  $MC$  squared? The theory of special relativity was used to spawn the theory that energy is equal to mass multiplied by the speed of light squared. It is premature to discount the most famous equation of all time, but the latest discovery suggests one key assumption it relies on " that nothing can accelerate faster than light " may not be wholly accurate.

## 7: How Can Galaxies Recede Faster than the Speed of Light? - Universe Today

*Nothing's faster than the speed of light. Except the speed of dark. That might sound like the tagline of a grim and gritty movie that's trying way too hard, but it also happens to be true.*

## 8: Can humans travel faster than the speed of light

*Light from the sun for example, would take longer to reach us than thought, and light coming from much more distant objects, such as from the Messier 81 galaxy, a distance of 12 million light years, would arrive noticeably later than has been calculated"about two weeks later.*

## 9: Faster Than The Speed Of Light Stock Image - Image of concept, dark:

## ARE DREAMS FASTER THAN THE SPEED OF LIGHT pdf

*Mix - Bonnie Tyler - Faster Than the Speed of Night 08Tolken 1,, views. Jim Steinman - Rock & Roll Dreams Come Through - Duration: 6 Paradise by the Dashboard Light.*

Turn on the human calculator in you Chaplain Insignia Symbolism theory in literature American Government Wb/34 Desirable that the service is done by a multidisciplinary team, with an interdisciplinary approach, able 60 Minute Strategic Plan Bhagavad gita chapter 17 english Hal instead of Horace World record paper airplane book Edwin bacon contemporary russia third edition Sri Lanka (Hildebrands Travel Guide) A Dominican legend Microeconomics mcconnell brue flynn 18th edition Bermuda Travel Pack (Globetrotter Travel Packs) All le secret code Entrenching an uneven playing field: the multilateral regulation of agriculture Modern historiography an introduction Just A Bad Day (Little Critter Book Club) Modern Art at the Pinakothek der Moderne Exhibition Underwriters The development of socialism Chemical process safety fundamentals with applications solution 1. Idealism Before Kierkegaard. Creative programming in microsoft BASIC for optimal Macintosh performance Commemorative exercises of the First church of Christ in Hartford, at its two hundred and fiftieth annive Building together An introduction to quantum mechanics griffiths Jehovah Shammah Ppk5 The Strathalbyn jubilee Our American nation. Pharmacogenetics in the postgenomic era Katherine J. Aitchison, Michael Gill The UN and the Bretton Woods Institutions Slimming world food diary If I Were Just a Little Taller Telecommunications and data communication system design with troubleshooting Poetry of the first world war Basic cosmetic chemistry k2s Hardman Tom McGrath and Jimmy Boyle. Moods of a Gemini A Dog for a Friend