

1: Chicago Tribune - We are currently unavailable in your region

It looks so ridiculous when men are asked to behave in a similar, yet watered-down way. We're spoon-fed so much media which still objectifies women (mostlyâ€”yes, men are objectified too). But we've become so conditioned to it the lines have blurred.

Sign up for Take Action Now and get three actions in your inbox every week. You can read our Privacy Policy here. Thank you for signing up. For more from The Nation, check out our latest issue. Support Progressive Journalism The Nation is reader supported: Travel With The Nation Be the first to hear about Nation Travels destinations, and explore the world with kindred spirits. Sign up for our Wine Club today. Did you know you can support The Nation by drinking wine? Hell hath no fury like a white man scorned. Know that the angry hysterics of Lindsey Graham and Charles Grassley and Orrin Hatch were a continuation of the long, howling tantrum that began when Donald Trump descended from his tower in It is the same frustrated rage that spews out of Fox News nightly, and that erupted into deadly violence in Charlottesville. It is the frightened cry of power when shocked to find itself suddenly unsure. We are not a nation divided by partisanshipâ€”not firstly, at least. It allows them to remain adjacent to white supremacy without any real cost, to enjoy its privileges without owning its brutality. We are, as we have always been, a nation divided on the topic of white-male power. White-male power has long relied on the veneer of democracy and law to preserve its control. Sure, but legitimacy has never been a big concern when white-male power is under threat. Was the Court legitimate when, as far back as the s, it kept the federal government from enforcing the rights of newly free black Southerners? Surely it had lost its credibility by , when it explicitly welcomed Jim Crow with the implausible argument that separate can be equal. There was actually a brief period in the middle of the 20th century when the Court challenged the white-male monopoly on power. We are talking, after all, about the same institution that in the past 20 years has declared corporations human, refused to guarantee women equal pay, and gutted the Voting Rights Act based on the straight-faced assertion that racism no longer impacts elections. This cant was among the most insufferable parts of the Kavanaugh spectacleâ€”and again, Lindsey Graham was a standout. Why let a little white supremacy get in the way of collegiality? Only 10 black people have ever served in the Senate. We went nearly a century with zero black senators. Nor has there ever been a Republican woman on the Senate Judiciary Committee. Throughout the confirmation process, an array of Republican senators repeated this odd concern. But then I realized: And now they are watching as millions of women refuse to carry the shame of their male predators. Let them be afraid. We are coming for them, and for their power, too. To submit a correction for our consideration, click here. For Reprints and Permissions, click here.

2: Spiral () - Rotten Tomatoes

Yet this can be difficult to achieve when your divorce still feels www.enganchecubano.com is a list of things that co-parents do that make co-parenting more difficult and even impossible: Have no plan for.

It means exactly what it says. In the early days of Hollywood, safety procedures were pretty lax, even concerning actors. It would be a bit of a bore to find someone who looked enough like your leading lady at short notice. But unless you need your Wild West hero to do some show jumping, there are a dozen bargain-bin nags which you could afford to risk damaging with trip-wires. It was very common for animals to get injured, and no great loss to anyone if they did. Well-trained animals were an exception, incidentally, often getting better treatment than the human actors. In particular, according to The Other Wiki, a scene in the film *Jesse James*, wherein a blindfolded horse was ridden off a cliff to its death, is the direct cause of the founding of the American Humane Association the trademark holder on the phrase "no animals were harmed", and the "No animals were harmed" language dates directly to the controversy over that movie. After a while, sensitivities changed, safety improved and it became worth letting people know that the animals you used did not end up getting shot and used to make glue, so the "No animals were harmed" disclaimer is now seen in virtually all major works that use animals. In such films, "No Animals Were Harmed" is usually accompanied by a phrase to the effect of "Scenes showing harm to animals were simulated. It may also be used as a form of Captain Obvious in cartoons or puppet shows where, obviously, no real animals were involved in the making at all. Note that the phrase refers to animals specifically in the colloquial "non-sapient beings" sense. No animals were harmed in the making of this program, except Tom. Okay, so one animal was harmed. Tom and Jerry will be right back on Cartoon Network. Episode 7 of *Excel Saga* had the disclaimer "No Puchuus were killed or injured in the production of this film. Well, okay, maybe we roughed a few of them up a bit. And we did cook and eat two of them, but that was after we finished filming. The first two panels were of a character tripping and falling on a banana peel, and nothing else. The third and final panel said "No animals were harmed in the making of this comic strip, with the exception of" followed by an extremely Long List. Parodied in one *Mallard Fillmore* comic strip, when the TV that Mallard is watching says, "The following heartwarming holiday special contains percent faux snow; no actual snow was harmed in the production of this program. Although, a few had to be erased and redrawn. The credits for *The Curse of the Were-Rabbit* state at the end "We would like to stress that no animals were harmed in the making of this film" given everyone in the film was plasticine, no real animals would have been harmed anyway. Right then, one of the rabbits floating around throughout the end credits hits its head on the text and falls squealing off the screen. The theatrical short *The Longest Daycare* has "No butterflies were harmed during the making of this motion picture, although two kids were pretty banged up. Animal interaction was monitored by the American Humane Association with on set supervision by the Toronto Humane Society. No animal was harmed in the making of this film. Human interaction was monitored by the Inter Planetary Psychiatric Association. The body count was high, the casualties are heavy. Parodied in the ending credits of all three sequels to *Critters*: Spoofed awesomely in one trailer for *Ninja Assassin*: The rest were killed. The allegations were ultimately never proven, and the film was released with a nonstandard "The animals used were filmed under strict supervision with the utmost care for their safety and well-being. *Deuce Bigalow Male Gigolo*: But he feels better now. The closing credits *The Pirates! In an Adventure with Scientists! Cruise Control* has "No oceans were harmed during the making of this film" right under the standard animal disclaimer. The disclaimer very specifically states "No Horses were harmed". The credits of *Star Trek: Of Gods and Men* includes the line "No tribbles were harmed during the making of this motion picture. *Jim Carrey*, on the other hand, was bitten mercilessly. But he had it coming. *The Atomic Bomb Movie*," which includes footage of animals being used in nuclear weapons tests, parodies this with "Some goats, pigs, sheep, and cows were nuked during the production of the original footage used in this film. In the making-of documentary for *Ace Ventura*: Given that a plot point is the inability to tell *Screamers* from humans, how would they know? The closing credits of both *Paddington* and *Paddington 2* state that "No bears were harmed in the making of this film" despite the fact that the bears in

both are CGI creations. Literature The Acknowledgements at the end of Star Trek: Synthesis states "No computers were harmed during the making of this production. Wry Martinis by Christopher Buckley states for no apparent reason that "no animals were harmed in the making of this book. One show, Jon Stewart had been making a running joke about the news making him so stressed, he would destroy what was in his hands. Then he held a kitten. After the bit was over, he said "The kitten is fine, by the way. Warrior Princess and Hercules: The Legendary Journeys ended with mythical creatures in the place: Bill Nye once claimed "no science guys were harmed in the course of an episode". In one Mystery Science Theater episode, one of the characters riffs, "None of the animals harmed, were harmed during the making of this film". To make things even more bizarre, Penn points out that while they themselves cannot kill, clean, cook, and eat a chicken on the show, they are allowed to show footage of other people doing so And end up showing Stock Footage from a chicken farm-themed documentary. Penn is quick to point out the logical flaws in this. Common on MythBusters episodes that involve animals. In one early episode, they teased that they would be testing Microwave the Dog later on. Averted when they investigated whether cockroaches would survive a nuclear war " they state at least twice that the insects used were bred for lab work and would have been killed anyway, but they did kill most of their test subjects in that one. Played with in the "Demolition Derby Special". The episode ends with an announcement by the narrator that "Automobiles were hurt in the making of this program", over "In Memoriam" clips of each car getting smashed up. In a viral video special, the team was trying to induce fainting goats to faint. After the segment which featured dozens of goats going stiff and falling over the narrator assures us that no goats were harmed "though several felt they were made to look stupid". The announcer also often notes that that Buster, the dummy that serves as a human analogue in many experiments, has a family and humorously warns them to look away at moments of carnage. Notably, while the cast avoid killing animals during production, they have no problem with ordering large numbers of previously killed ones from butchers and other livestock supplies. In early episodes, the narrator would point out that the dead pigs used to test myths were ones that had died of natural causes. In lectures, Adam relates the story of the only segment they have ever been banned from showing. They were trying to test the idea that a sugary cereal has less nutrition in it than the box it is packaged in. They obtained 9 lab mice and put them on special diets with 3 mice per cage. Cage 1 was fed regular, Cage 2 was fed the cereal, and Cage 3 was fed the cardboard. After a week of monitoring, the crew left for the weekend and went home, leaving the mice unattended. Upon returning Monday morning, they found to their horror , a fat and happy Mouse in Cage Discovery freaked out and refused to air any part of the segment. They were terrified PETA was going to catch wind. Before the end credits, a turtle told the "crackpot" viewers that no animals were harmed. The animal then explodes. Parodied in a episode of Time Warp. And to be honest, they had it coming. He tried to talk about something else but commented that the audience was still looking at the turtle. He then said that no imaginary animals were harmed in the making of his show The cast helps Finch with a film for film class. I do not believe that any form of life, be it human, animal, or plant, should be hurt in the making of a television programme. So I personally feel really bad about that cat we killed. Richard Hammond says "No Lab Rats were harmed But one tripped and fell". On The Young Ones , after Alexi Sayle is shown drinking the contents of a goldfish bowl, a short clip of the inside of his stomach is shown: I am, in fact, a stunt goldfish. In fact, by the time this programme airs, I will be doing the new James Bond film. Puppet hunk of food: They never read the letters anyway. In a memorable Las Vegas episode: Just before Ben turns on the blender, a stage hand pours the fish into a bowl and replaces it with an artificial one. They then try to shoot the scene, but the bowl is in shot. The director asks Ben to hide it - Ben looks around and puts the bowl in the microwave. They start filming - and Ben accidentally turns on the microwave instead of the blender. A short-lived Discovery Channel show from called Animal Face Off was based around if two species dueled it out to the death, which would win. At the end of the episode, Tony says "And if any lawyers are watching, no geraniums were harmed in the making of this episode".

3: Why I don't want women to become equal to men

No one is saying that all masculinity or that men themselves are toxic or bad. You are free to like the things that men stereotypically like: sports, cars, the opposite sex, with no judgment.

Leah Finnegan Oct 24 The Male Malady, by an anthropology professor named David Gilmore. There have been a lot of feelings from everyone. This is good, I think. No one wrote like Andrea, whose ideas crackled beneath her words, and whose words thundered with the weight of their severity. For the uninitiated, Dworkin holds a very low opinion of men, which is correct, and thinks they subjugate women simply by existing and also pushing us into various gender constructs, which is also correct. Instead, one conforms in body type and behavior and values to become an object of male sexual desire, which requires an abandonment of a wide-ranging capacity for choice. AND they have babies now! And they do twice the amount of work as a man for less money! What if there was a march to stop men from groping? I thought it was genius. There it was, plainly, written down, a list of 75 bad men and their alleged acts of violence and grossness against women. Was I worried about the possibility of a man being falsely accused? Not in the least. The list was completely overwhelming to look at, and I was overcome with a combination of nausea, horror, and relief as I watched it being filled out by scores of anonymous women. In its aftermath, I have rarely seen so many men so scared, so angry, searching so hard for someone to blame, and spiraling when there was no one. Men became scared of other men, of what they may or may not have known or encouraged. I have rarely seen so many men so scared, so angry, searching so hard for someone to blame. My Fear friend likes to talk about one of the great paradoxes of being a woman: That no one is ever afraid of you. A woman can be so easily squashed, destroyed, silenced, ruined, and yet, we are mostly the ones who are afraid. As Dworkin famously said in a speech at Queens College: It is our element. Many men wonder what to do with their entitled mouths and brains at moments like this and the answer is: Fear, not common sense or respect, is the only thing that seems to drive some of them to silence. However fleeting this change may be, it is a distinct role reversal and, I hope, it is progress. Get Leah Letter in your inbox. Watercolor me unimpressed The insidious rise of the microcelebrity Who goes Nazi? Get The Outline in your inbox Subscribe.

4: When men fear women | The Outline

But no: This group has opted instead to defend male impunity for sexual assault and frame a woman's story of coping with years of trauma as a true crisis for men.

A 58k text-only version is available for download. But many as their falsehoods were, there was one of them which quite amazed me; - I mean when they told you to be upon your guard, and not to let yourselves be deceived by the force of my eloquence. They ought to have been ashamed of saying this, because they were sure to be detected as soon as I opened my lips and displayed my deficiency; they certainly did appear to be most shameless in saying this, unless by the force of eloquence they mean the force of truth; for then I do indeed admit that I am eloquent. But in how different a way from theirs! Well, as I was saying, they have hardly uttered a word, or not more than a word, of truth; but you shall hear from me the whole truth: And I must beg of you to grant me one favor, which is this - If you hear me using the same words in my defence which I have been in the habit of using, and which most of you may have heard in the agora, and at the tables of the money-changers, or anywhere else, I would ask you not to be surprised at this, and not to interrupt me. For I am more than seventy years of age, and this is the first time that I have ever appeared in a court of law, and I am quite a stranger to the ways of the place; and therefore I would have you regard me as if I were really a stranger, whom you would excuse if he spoke in his native tongue, and after the fashion of his country; - that I think is not an unfair request. Never mind the manner, which may or may not be good; but think only of the justice of my cause, and give heed to that: And first, I have to reply to the older charges and to my first accusers, and then I will go to the later ones. For I have had many accusers, who accused me of old, and their false charges have continued during many years; and I am more afraid of them than of Anytus and his associates, who are dangerous, too, in their own way. But far more dangerous are these, who began when you were children, and took possession of your minds with their falsehoods, telling of one Socrates, a wise man, who speculated about the heaven above, and searched into the earth beneath, and made the worse appear the better cause. These are the accusers whom I dread; for they are the circulators of this rumor, and their hearers are too apt to fancy that speculators of this sort do not believe in the gods. And they are many, and their charges against me are of ancient date, and they made them in days when you were impressible - in childhood, or perhaps in youth - and the cause when heard went by default, for there was none to answer. And, hardest of all, their names I do not know and cannot tell; unless in the chance of a comic poet. But the main body of these slanderers who from envy and malice have wrought upon you - and there are some of them who are convinced themselves, and impart their convictions to others - all these, I say, are most difficult to deal with; for I cannot have them up here, and examine them, and therefore I must simply fight with shadows in my own defence, and examine when there is no one who answers. I will ask you then to assume with me, as I was saying, that my opponents are of two kinds - one recent, the other ancient; and I hope that you will see the propriety of my answering the latter first, for these accusations you heard long before the others, and much oftener. Well, then, I will make my defence, and I will endeavor in the short time which is allowed to do away with this evil opinion of me which you have held for such a long time; and I hope I may succeed, if this be well for you and me, and that my words may find favor with you. But I know that to accomplish this is not easy - I quite see the nature of the task. Let the event be as God wills: I will begin at the beginning, and ask what the accusation is which has given rise to this slander of me, and which has encouraged Meletus to proceed against me. What do the slanderers say? They shall be my prosecutors, and I will sum up their words in an affidavit. I should be very sorry if Meletus could lay that to my charge. But the simple truth is, O Athenians, that I have nothing to do with these studies. Very many of those here present are witnesses to the truth of this, and to them I appeal. Speak then, you who have heard me, and tell your neighbors whether any of you have ever known me hold forth in few words or in many upon matters of this sort. You hear their answer. And from what they say of this you will be able to judge of the truth of the rest. As little foundation is there for the report that I am a teacher, and take money; that is no more true than the other. Although, if a man is able to teach, I honor him for being paid. There is Gorgias of Leontium, and Prodicus of Ceos, and Hippias of

Elis, who go the round of the cities, and are able to persuade the young men to leave their own citizens, by whom they might be taught for nothing, and come to them, whom they not only pay, but are thankful if they may be allowed to pay them. There is actually a Parian philosopher residing in Athens, of whom I have heard; and I came to hear of him in this way: Is there anyone who understands human and political virtue? You must have thought about this as you have sons; is there anyone? Had I the same, I should have been very proud and conceited; but the truth is that I have no knowledge of the kind. I dare say, Athenians, that someone among you will reply, "Why is this, Socrates, and what is the origin of these accusations of you: All this great fame and talk about you would never have arisen if you had been like other men: Please to attend then. And although some of you may think I am joking, I declare that I will tell you the entire truth. Men of Athens, this reputation of mine has come of a certain sort of wisdom which I possess. If you ask me what kind of wisdom, I reply, such wisdom as is attainable by man, for to that extent I am inclined to believe that I am wise; whereas the persons of whom I was speaking have a superhuman wisdom, which I may fail to describe, because I have it not myself; and he who says that I have, speaks falsely, and is taking away my character. And here, O men of Athens, I must beg you not to interrupt me, even if I seem to say something extravagant. For the word which I will speak is not mine. I will refer you to a witness who is worthy of credit, and will tell you about my wisdom - whether I have any, and of what sort - and that witness shall be the god of Delphi. You must have known Chaerephon; he was early a friend of mine, and also a friend of yours, for he shared in the exile of the people, and returned with you. Well, Chaerephon, as you know, was very impetuous in all his doings, and he went to Delphi and boldly asked the oracle to tell him whether - as I was saying, I must beg you not to interrupt - he asked the oracle to tell him whether there was anyone wiser than I was, and the Pythian prophetess answered that there was no man wiser. Chaerephon is dead himself, but his brother, who is in court, will confirm the truth of this story. Why do I mention this? Because I am going to explain to you why I have such an evil name. When I heard the answer, I said to myself, What can the god mean? What can he mean when he says that I am the wisest of men? And yet he is a god and cannot lie; that would be against his nature. After a long consideration, I at last thought of a method of trying the question. I reflected that if I could only find a man wiser than myself, then I might go to the god with a refutation in my hand. I should say to him, "Here is a man who is wiser than I am; but you said that I was the wisest. When I began to talk with him, I could not help thinking that he was not really wise, although he was thought wise by many, and wiser still by himself; and I went and tried to explain to him that he thought himself wise, but was not really wise; and the consequence was that he hated me, and his enmity was shared by several who were present and heard me. So I left him, saying to myself, as I went away: Well, although I do not suppose that either of us knows anything really beautiful and good, I am better off than he is - for he knows nothing, and thinks that he knows. I neither know nor think that I know. In this latter particular, then, I seem to have slightly the advantage of him. Then I went to another, who had still higher philosophical pretensions, and my conclusion was exactly the same. I made another enemy of him, and of many others besides him. After this I went to one man after another, being not unconscious of the enmity which I provoked, and I lamented and feared this: And I said to myself, Go I must to all who appear to know, and find out the meaning of the oracle. And I swear to you, Athenians, by the dog I swear! I found that the men most in repute were all but the most foolish; and that some inferior men were really wiser and better. I will tell you the tale of my wanderings and of the "Herculean" labors, as I may call them, which I endured only to find at last the oracle irrefutable. When I left the politicians, I went to the poets; tragic, dithyrambic, and all sorts. And there, I said to myself, you will be detected; now you will find out that you are more ignorant than they are. Accordingly, I took them some of the most elaborate passages in their own writings, and asked what was the meaning of them - thinking that they would teach me something. Will you believe me? I am almost ashamed to speak of this, but still I must say that there is hardly a person present who would not have talked better about their poetry than they did themselves. That showed me in an instant that not by wisdom do poets write poetry, but by a sort of genius and inspiration; they are like diviners or soothsayers who also say many fine things, but do not understand the meaning of them. And the poets appeared to me to be much in the same case; and I further observed that upon the strength of their poetry they believed themselves to be the wisest of men in other things in which they were not wise. So I departed,

conceiving myself to be superior to them for the same reason that I was superior to the politicians. At last I went to the artisans, for I was conscious that I knew nothing at all, as I may say, and I was sure that they knew many fine things; and in this I was not mistaken, for they did know many things of which I was ignorant, and in this they certainly were wiser than I was. But I observed that even the good artisans fell into the same error as the poets; because they were good workmen they thought that they also knew all sorts of high matters, and this defect in them overshadowed their wisdom - therefore I asked myself on behalf of the oracle, whether I would like to be as I was, neither having their knowledge nor their ignorance, or like them in both; and I made answer to myself and the oracle that I was better off as I was. This investigation has led to my having many enemies of the worst and most dangerous kind, and has given occasion also to many calumnies, and I am called wise, for my hearers always imagine that I myself possess the wisdom which I find wanting in others: And so I go my way, obedient to the god, and make inquisition into the wisdom of anyone, whether citizen or stranger, who appears to be wise; and if he is not wise, then in vindication of the oracle I show him that he is not wise; and this occupation quite absorbs me, and I have no time to give either to any public matter of interest or to any concern of my own, but I am in utter poverty by reason of my devotion to the god. There is another thing: This confounded Socrates, they say; this villainous misleader of youth! And this is the reason why my three accusers, Meletus and Anytus and Lycon, have set upon me; Meletus, who has a quarrel with me on behalf of the poets; Anytus, on behalf of the craftsmen; Lycon, on behalf of the rhetoricians: And this, O men of Athens, is the truth and the whole truth; I have concealed nothing, I have dissembled nothing. And yet I know that this plainness of speech makes them hate me, and what is their hatred but a proof that I am speaking the truth? I have said enough in my defence against the first class of my accusers; I turn to the second class, who are headed by Meletus, that good and patriotic man, as he calls himself. And now I will try to defend myself against them: What do they say? Something of this sort: That is the sort of charge; and now let us examine the particular counts. He says that I am a doer of evil, who corrupt the youth; but I say, O men of Athens, that Meletus is a doer of evil, and the evil is that he makes a joke of a serious matter, and is too ready at bringing other men to trial from a pretended zeal and interest about matters in which he really never had the smallest interest. And the truth of this I will endeavor to prove. Come hither, Meletus, and let me ask a question of you. You think a great deal about the improvement of youth? Tell the judges, then, who is their improver; for you must know, as you have taken the pains to discover their corrupter, and are citing and accusing me before them. Speak, then, and tell the judges who their improver is. Observe, Meletus, that you are silent, and have nothing to say. But is not this rather disgraceful, and a very considerable proof of what I was saying, that you have no interest in the matter? Speak up, friend, and tell us who their improver is. But that, my good sir, is not my meaning.

5: The Internet Classics Archive | Apology by Plato

Men are miserable and harmed daily by the patriarchy - they just haven't figured it out yet. Men's rights activists kick off on twitter about male suicide rates but don't look into the way male gender role stereotypes they support; are harming them every day.

Brown begins by talking about screaming at her husband. I yelled at my husband last night. This was real yelling. This was 30 minutes of from-the-gut yelling. Triggered by a small, thoughtless, dismissive, annoyed, patronizing comment. A micro-wave that triggered a hurricane. And it terrified me. I am almost 70 years old. I am a grandmother. Yet in that roiling moment, screaming at my husband as if he represented every clueless male on the planet and I every angry woman of , I announced that I hate all men and wish all men were dead. Instead, she doubles down in the pages of The Washington Post. Even a male who will sit there letting her scream at him. My husband of 50 years did not have to stifle a laugh. He took it dead seriously. He did not defend his remark, he did not defend men. He sat, hunched and hurt, and he listened. The winds calmed ever so slightly in that moment. You are unable to change. You, I said, are one of the good men. You have applauded and funded feminism for a half-century. You are one of the good men. And you cannot change. You can listen all you want, but that will not create one iota of change. And her answer is: In the centuries of feminist movements that have washed up and away, good men have not once organized their own mass movement to change themselves and their sons or to attack the mean-spirited, teasing, punching thing that passes for male culture. Listen to each other. Talk to each other. Earn your power for once. Not once have men organized a movement to curb male aggression? What does she think Western civilization is? What does she think religious education is? What does she think law enforcement is? What does she think marriage is? What does she think institutions devoted to the inculcation of virtue are? Because women are all victims: The gender war that has broken out in this country is flooding all our houses. This is pure sexual identity politics. When good men like Sen. It says to women: You will continue to suffer these abuses, men will continue to do disgusting things to you, the storms will keep coming, the tide will continue to rise, but now, we will listen and help you rebuild. If we do not raise boys to walk humbly and care deeply, if we do not demand that men do more than just listen, we will all drown in the flood. And there is no patriarchal Noah to save us. There is not one shred of reason in this op-ed.

6: Los Angeles Times - We are currently unavailable in your region

Conservatives are awfully worried about the war on men. To hear them tell it, there's an angry mob of feminists eager to ruin men's lives for the sole reason of "in fact, they never quite say.

Twitter Advertisement Do online dating websites work? Differences Between Dating Apps Tinder vs. Differences Between Dating Apps With so many different dating apps out there, how do you know which one is the right one for you? To explore this topic, I pulled aside two individuals who I knew were hunting for a long-term relationship using online dating websites, and asked them about their experiences with the services. The two services used by these individuals were OKCupid and Match. What I learned from carrying out an interview of a female and the interview of a male trying to dig into this intriguing subject was that using the Internet for dating is equally painful for men and for women, but for very different reasons. No online dating involves just cold, shallow text. As far as a guy is concerned, women have it made. The Origins And Misuse of the Term Have you noticed the word "mansplaining" appearing a lot lately online? Are you not sure what it means, or why people seem to be upset about it? Read More All they have to do is get online every day, sitting on their princess throne and file through the dozens or more profiles of men who have messaged them throughout the day. Unfortunately, the reality is nowhere near that fantasy. To get some insight into what women go through on these dating websites, I pulled aside one of my family members who I knew had spent some time on these sites looking for her future spouse. By the time of this interview, she had already given up and moved on, finally discovering her future husband while visiting old friends at her alma mater. Her responses completely surprised me. What year did you sign up with an online dating website and how long did you keep your account? I was active on the account for a week if that. What were the majority of messages that you received from guys like? Use these tips to have the perfect selection of profile photos in no time. Actually, now that I think about it, that was how most of the messages I got started. That was one of the main reasons I called it quits. I am getting terribly uncomfortable just thinking about it. Did you get ANY messages from guys that seemed nice at all? Worth meeting up with? No, but the creepy messages most likely ruined it for any decent guys that might be around. Those messages made me run far, far away from online dating. Personally, I think there is so much more to be gained from talking with someone face to face "you are able to read their body language and listen to intonation in their voice, which are much better indicators than online messages or profiles. But there are still many good dating websites out there. Read More can be at all useful for girls? I know people who have had great successes with online dating! If there are guys looking to actually get the attention of a girl on these dating sites, do you have any advice for them? Do your best not to be a creep. Remember that a simple message can go a long way. How did you eventually end up meeting the guy you ended up marrying? We lived across the hall from each other our first year of university. We became good friends first and we only started dating 2 years later. That was mostly because I transferred schools, but because we became friends first, we had a connection that drew us back together for a chance at something more. What we found out was that we had much, much more than friendship in store for us. Online Dating Is Frustrating for Men Being a nice guy is probably the worst thing to be when it comes to online dating. You are a little more than collateral damage, as the large majority of guys slather, drool and stomp their way through the crowds, scaring off most of the nice girls that arrive on these sites, as evidenced by the interview above. This experience is best exemplified by my close friend who I will call Eric. Eric has been using a couple of online dating websites off and on for the past year, with very little success. Despite his efforts, few girls ever answer his carefully crafted, very kind messages. How long have you been using online dating websites? I started out using Match. Most importantly is that she likes doing similar things that I do. Secondly of course is that the profile gives me some feeling that there could be chemistry. Do you think the algorithm and the other tools the dating sites offer help at all? Some of them I guess. Like, OKCupid gives you a percentage of match or non-match you are with certain people. Do you have any advice for women out there who use online dating sites and might be reading this? Stop ignoring all of us. Instead, you know, I think a lot of them are still hung up on hunting for the bad boys, the smooth-talkers. Sleepless on the Net So there you have it "the whole

story from the female perspective and from the male perspective. [Read More](#) there are nothing but creeps on these dating sites, and with guys claiming there are plenty of nice guys. I met the love of my life my second year of college, and was married before I graduated. The extent of my online dating was chatting to a few girls at other colleges over the now-archaic IBM-mainframe based chat network. In the end, I met my wife in person, at a party. Why is there this disconnect between the sexes when it comes to online dating. Is there some magical solution [Confused About Bumble? Bizz, BFF, Boost, and Next Modes Explained](#) With its many functions and modes, the Bumble app caters to people interested in dating, meeting friends, and even networking. [Read More](#) that would make all of the nice girls and all the nice guys of the world come together more easily?

7: White Men Have Good Reason to Be Scared | The Nation

Strangely enough, the 'no harm in it' argument is also used by men that have affairs. Also, from what I read, 'porn' used to avoid having sexual intimacy with one's partner is definitely an issue.

After many a frustrating conversation with people who have somehow managed to mix up egalitarianism, equalism and feminism, this blog feels timely. Feminism undoubtedly means different things to different people, but can we stop watering it down now? The dumbing down of feminism has gone too far in the third wave. Feminism is the liberation of women and girls all over the world from the patriarchy and misogyny that continues to harm and oppress them as a class of people. Feminism centres women unflinchingly and unapologetically. But we are talking about women right now, so hush. The problem with saying that we are working towards women becoming equal to men is that it frames men and male cultures as being the optimum culture or the ideal goal that women should reach to become equal. For women to be valid, whole human beings in society – feminism has got to move beyond this notion that women are striving for what men already have. Would success mean that women significantly increase their rates of violent crime and interpersonal violence to match that of men around the world? Would success mean that women become the most likely group to die in gun and knife violence with other women all over the world? Would success mean that women working in aid roles begin abusing, sexually assaulting and sexually exploiting boys in deprived areas to match the male role model we currently have? Would success mean women in power developing regimes in which baby boys were murdered or aborted because no one wanted a son? Would success mean that women must begin carrying out many more terrorist acts and mass murders all over the world, especially school shootings – to claim equality with the men? This is the stuff of nightmares. No one wants this. No one wants this in the world. No one wants women to step up to match what men already have. The reality is, we cannot assume that what men in have society is the standard we should be striving for as women. Campaigns argue that men and boys are also victims of rape and that is absolutely true – but do not admit that the vast majority of perpetrators of those crimes are men. The legislation about children remaining with their mothers was not signed off by women. The majority of all judges are men. The research that the majority of family court attachment and bonding theory was based on came from JOHN Bowlby. A lot of the legislation and policies were developed in a time where men were the breadwinners and women were the childrears. It made sense to the men in power that women should take care of the babies and men should go out and do important man things. Women were not in influential positions at the time these systems were being developed. Women did not orchestrate these patriarchal systems. All of the things wrong with society at present and all of the things that even MRAs hate about society, were created by, funded by, legislated by, demanded by, invented by and sold by men. In fact, why should we be using male experience and cultures around the world as a blueprint at all? There is something important to be said here. Feminism is the movement towards liberation of women and girls from the oppression and control of the patriarchy – but actually, the real change will come for the world when we rise up and dismantle the patriarchy together. The destruction of patriarchal and misogynistic values benefits everyone. As a woman who is proudly radical feminist, is a specialist in the psychology of sexual violence against women and girls – but is also the Chair and Founder of the first male mental health and wellbeing centre in the UK, serving around men per month – I can see that the patriarchy is killing all of us. The best way I have found to explain it to people is that the patriarchy harms men, but oppresses women. My view, is that if men could detach themselves from the patriarchy and see how it harms them and makes them miserable, they would stop fighting against feminism as a movement. However, and this is important, it does mean that we have to actively challenge the warped current wave of liberal feminism which oftentimes completely contradicts itself and props up the patriarchy in a number of concerning ways. Not least by claiming that these gender role stereotypes are real, innate qualities and not social constructs that harm us all. You can zoom in on this image if it displays too small The point is this: Men are not the blueprint. The current epidemic of male violence cannot be the standard we all strive for. Men are coerced into, are propping up and are being harmed by patriarchal values. We should not be trying to

emulate that. We should not be striving to become equal with men in their patriarchal misery â€” we should be challenging and dismantling the patriarchy and its global values until we can revolt. True change in the world will only come with revolution. Why would we want to replicate a broken system? Why would we work towards total misery and increased violence? Imagine for a second, if we did dismantle the patriarchal beliefs and cultures centuries of male power have created for us. A world where men can show their emotions without worrying that someone will call them the ultimate insult: A society where women are not objectified as sex toys to be used up and thrown away when they get older or imperfect. A world in which teenage boys are not having to visit therapists and doctors about their erectile dysfunction and addiction to porn. A life in which men can participate and enjoy parenthood in equality with the mothers of their children because they believe their role is just as important. A world where women can become the main breadwinners and not make men feel insecure about it. A community where men can stay at home with the kids admiring the tenacity of the mother of his kids who rakes in the cash in a job she loves. True feminism is revolution. Feminism is the liberation of women from the values and systems of the patriarchy. Feminism is the movement to challenge and dismantle the patriarchy, raising new generations of humans that do not fall into the same tropes we have. Feminism is not about centring men in our discussions or our events â€” but feminism will inevitably support men to be healthier and happier. I want to rip up the blueprint and smash up the patriarchy and start again with our new generations. And yet, the patriarchy in power are scared of women becoming more networked and more influential â€” because they know it will dilute the power of the patriarch. With all the harm done to men by the patriarchy, I find myself asking men â€” what are you clinging on to it for? What is it about feminism that scares you? What is it about femininity that makes you feel so insecure? What do you stand to lose if we one day break down the patriarchal powers in the world? Once we can answer those questions honestly and with integrity, we can take the first steps to breaking down the patriarchy and the patriarchal values, myths and messages being communicated all over the world.

8: Online Dating: Men Don't Get It And Women Don't Understand

On Friday, The Washington Post ran what could easily rank as the single looniest op-d they've ever run: a piece from Victoria Bissell Brown, retired history professor at Grinnell College, arguing that men are incapable of being good, even if they oppose sexism.

9: The NFLâ€™s roughing-the-passer conundrum hasnâ€™t really harmed the Eagles. Yet. | Mike Sielski

Men in Black: "The animals and aliens used in this film were in no way mistreated and all scenes in which they appeared were under strict supervision with the utmost concern for their handling." The Single's Ward has "No animals were harmed during the making of this film.

Transport processes in statistical mechanics Short-term monitoring for compliance with air quality standards Environment and the City The New Testament; an introduction to its history, literature, and thought In the name of freedom Peruvian nationalism History Of The Rise And Fall Of The Slave Power In America V1 The Issue of the Union of the Consummated Spirit of the Triune God and the Regenerated Spirit of the Beloved A pledge against alcohol 22 meeting God at Sinai (Exod. 19:1-25) Confident Reader, Second Edition With Reading Space And American Heritage Compact Dictionary Our context : the worship space The life of christ fulton sheen IV. The literary style. 1930. Mr Thomas Coriat to his friends in England sendeth greeting The Slumber Angel Study guide, Introduction to law and the legal system, fifth edition, Grillo, Schubert Geographic Information (How to Find It, How to Use It) The genetic function of mitochondrial DNA Eoq in inventory management Sleep and daily rhythms Why Go Lisa Tucker Voyage of the Mignonette The South Horizon Man Burke and Alvord memorial. Diagnosis and Therapy of Porphyrias and Lead Intoxication CLASS TRIP CALAMITY (Fabulous Five) 8. A threefold case With regard to satisfaction 16 Nellie Lyle Pattinsons Canadian cook book Sexual assault among adolescents Tourism in Destination Communities COMMENT: THESE LADIES HAVE ANOTHER ENGAGEMENT AND THEY WANT TO LEAVE NOW. Sex Is For Marriage Whig party and the French Revolution Exploring the world of King Arthur V. 1. Village and Capital, 1800-1878. The Columbia I Ching on CD-ROM Ann Hui: living through films 100 Best Parliamentary Speeches, 1947-97 Senses of place Celia Applegate