# E=MC2 RELATIVE TO BUSINESS pdf

## 1: Itâ€™s all relative at Hotel EMC2 - HB To Go

*e mc2 relative to pdf In physics, massÃ¢â‚¬"energy equivalence states that anything having mass has an equivalent amount of energy and vice versa, with these fundamental quantities directly relating to one another by Albert Einstein's.*

They state upfront that their book is intended to be challenging. And it is, despite simplistic analogies and explanations tucked in between some pretty dense material. Einstein noticed that Maxwell had shown that the speed of light was a constant and from this he constructed the Special Theory of Relativity. Then Einstein thought about the fact that all objects fell at the same rate as Galileo demonstrated. He turned this idea into the General Theory of Relativity. Many complex topics are addressed along the way. The authors employ high school algebra and geometry but their manipulations can be intricate. The derivation is not done historically. They note that the observer in motion and stationary observer are interchangeable. Each can perceive the other as the one moving and the one for who time slows. There is no absolute motion as Galileo validated. Time, size and distance are observer dependent but the solution must be invariant, looking the same to all observers. To achieve this space and time must be combined into a single entity with four dimensions. The authors introduce us to Minkowski space which blends space and time. In it Cox and Forshaw use a modified Pythagorean formula that avoids going back in time which would violate the principle of causality, e. To measure distance in spacetime, the constant c, is introduced to calibrate. It is the universal speed limit and the speed of light. Everything moves through spacetime at this speed. If at rest the movement is all through time. If moving through space then time slows proportionately from the point of view of a stationary observer. Thus both moving and stationary observers agree on movement through the combined spacetime and invariance is achieved. To complete their derivation the authors introduce momentum, the product of mass and velocity. Momentum is a three dimensional vector in our everyday 3D world and four dimensional in the 4D world with the added component of time. The time component of the momentum vector in 4D space is mc, mass times the universal speed limit. Momentum is a conserved quantity as is mass which is equivalent to energy. Mass and energy are different manifestations of a single underlying physical quantity. Energy, mass and momentum form a spacetime object known as the energy-momentum four-vector. The details are in the book. The foregoing is just intended to give the flavor and flow of the book. The second half of the book is easier to digest as Cox and Forshaw give a broad overview of assorted physics topics. They describe the vast amount of energy in a tiny amount of mass and how that mass is converted, not just in nuclear reactions but in chemical reactions and other everyday phenomena. The authors discuss the Higgs boson as the origin of mass, even though this was just a prediction when they wrote the book. They even go back to the Big Bang and the disparity between matter and anti-matter. They then present the equation for the Standard Model, explaining what each term represents. They discuss how the model was put together and the various contributors, Glashow, Weinberg, Salam, Feynman, and Gell-Mann. Finally such a wide ranging review would not be complete without General Relativity to which the last chapter is devoted. I enjoyed this book. However the presentation was a bit disjointed. The authors write for readers at varying levels bouncing back and forth between simple explanations and more difficult detailed ones. They insert apologies to those for who the material might be too complicated and for those who might get bored. While the math itself was not overly difficult, following it in terms of the concepts it represented was more demanding. Still I applaud the effort to put some accessible math behind concepts that are deep and not intuitive. Regardless of level this is a book for a non-scientist reader with a strong interest in the subject. Cox and Forshaw said the book was meant to be a challenge. It was and that made it worthwhile.

# E=MC2 RELATIVE TO BUSINESS pdf

## 2: E=mc2: Einstein's equation that gave birth to the atom bomb | Science | The Guardian

*E=MC2 Relative to Business [Johanne Edwards, Julie Bell-Voorhees, Jim Sines, Jayne Burch] on www.enganchecubano.com *FREE* shipping on qualifying offers. Approach business' high operating costs with the same kind of creative genius as Einstein in this all-in-one reference guide for today's company leaders.*

Meanings of the strict formula[ edit ] This section needs additional citations for verification. Please help improve this article by adding citations to reliable sources. Unsourced material may be challenged and removed. February The massâ€"energy equivalence formula was displayed on Taipei during the event of the World Year of Physics  Massâ€"energy equivalence states that any object has a certain energy, even when it is stationary. In Newtonian mechanics , a motionless body has no kinetic energy , and it may or may not have other amounts of internal stored energy, like chemical energy or thermal energy , in addition to any potential energy it may have from its position in a field of force. In Newtonian mechanics, all of these energies are much smaller than the mass of the object times the speed of light squared. Each bit of potential and kinetic energy makes a proportional contribution to the mass. As noted above, even if a box of ideal mirrors "contains" light, then the individually massless photons still contribute to the total mass of the box, by the amount of their energy divided by c2. In a nuclear reaction, the mass of the atoms that come out is less than the mass of the atoms that go in, and the difference in mass shows up as heat and light with the same relativistic mass as the difference and also the same invariant mass in the center of mass frame of the system. In this case, the E in the formula is the energy released and removed, and the mass m is how much the mass decreases. In the same way, when any sort of energy is added to an isolated system, the increase in the mass is equal to the added energy divided by c2. An object moves with different speed in different frames, depending on the motion of the observer, so the kinetic energy in both Newtonian mechanics and relativity is frame dependent. This means that the amount of relativistic energy, and therefore the amount of relativistic mass, that an object is measured to have depends on the observer. The rest mass is defined as the mass that an object has when it is not moving or when an inertial frame is chosen such that it is not moving. The term also applies to the invariant mass of systems when the system as a whole is not "moving" has no net momentum. The rest and invariant masses are the smallest possible value of the mass of the object or system. They also are conserved quantities, so long as the system is isolated. Because of the way they are calculated, the effects of moving observers are subtracted, so these quantities do not change with the motion of the observer. The rest mass is almost never additive: The rest mass of an object is the total energy of all the parts, including kinetic energy, as measured by an observer that sees the center of the mass of the object to be standing still. The rest mass adds up only if the parts are standing still and do not attract or repel, so that they do not have any extra kinetic or potential energy. The other possibility is that they have a positive kinetic energy and a negative potential energy that exactly cancels. Binding energy and the "mass defect"[ edit ] This section needs additional citations for verification. July Learn how and when to remove this template message Whenever any type of energy is removed from a system, the mass associated with the energy is also removed, and the system therefore loses mass. However, use of this formula in such circumstances has led to the false idea that mass has been "converted" to energy. This may be particularly the case when the energy and mass removed from the system is associated with the binding energy of the system. In such cases, the binding energy is observed as a "mass defect" or deficit in the new system. The fact that the released energy is not easily weighed in many such cases, may cause its mass to be neglected as though it no longer existed. This circumstance has encouraged the false idea of conversion of mass to energy, rather than the correct idea that the binding energy of such systems is relatively large, and exhibits a measurable mass, which is removed when the binding energy is removed. The difference between the rest mass of a bound system and of the unbound parts is the binding energy of the system, if this energy has been removed after binding. For example, a water molecule weighs a little less than two free hydrogen atoms and an oxygen atom. The minuscule mass difference is the energy needed to split the molecule into three individual atoms divided by c2 , which was given off as heat when the molecule formed this heat had mass. Likewise, a stick of dynamite in theory weighs a little bit more than the fragments after the explosion, but this is true only so long as the fragments are cooled and the heat removed. Such a change in mass may only happen when the system is open, and the energy and mass escapes. Thus, if a stick of dynamite is blown up in a hermetically sealed chamber, the mass of the chamber and fragments, the heat, sound, and light would still be equal to the original mass of the chamber and dynamite. If sitting on a scale, the weight and mass would not change. This would in theory also happen even with a nuclear bomb, if it could be kept in an ideal box of infinite strength, which did not rupture or pass radiation. If then, however, a transparent window passing only electromagnetic radiation were opened in such an ideal box after the explosion, and a beam of X-rays and other lower-energy light allowed to escape the box, it would eventually be found to weigh one gram less than it had before the explosion. This weight loss and mass loss would happen as the box was cooled by this process, to room temperature. However, any surrounding mass that absorbed the X-rays and other "heat" would gain this gram of mass from the resulting heating, so the mass "loss" would represent merely its relocation. Thus, no mass or, in the case of a nuclear bomb, no matter would be "converted" to energy in such a process. Mass and energy, as always, would both be separately conserved. Massless particles[ edit ] Massless particles have zero rest mass. This frequency and thus the relativistic energy are frame-dependent. If an observer runs away from a photon in the direction the photon travels from a source, and it catches up with the observerâ€”when the photon catches up, the observer sees it as having less energy than it had at the source. The faster the observer is traveling with regard to the source when the photon catches up, the less energy the photon has. As an observer approaches the speed of light with regard to the source, the photon looks redder and redder, by relativistic Doppler effect the Doppler shift is the relativistic formula , and the energy of a very long-wavelength photon approaches zero. This is because the photon is masslessâ€”the rest mass of a photon is zero. Massless particles contribute rest mass and invariant mass to systems[ edit ] Two photons moving in different directions cannot both be made to have arbitrarily small total energy by changing frames, or by moving toward or away from them. The reason is that in a two-photon system, the energy of one photon is decreased by chasing after it, but the energy of the other increases with the same shift in observer motion. Two photons not moving in the same direction comprise an inertial frame where the combined energy is smallest, but not zero. This is called the center of mass frame or the center of momentum frame; these terms are almost synonyms the center of mass frame is the special case of a center of momentum frame where the center of mass is put at the origin. The most that chasing a pair of photons can accomplish to decrease their energy is to put the observer in a frame where the photons have equal energy and are moving directly away from each other. In this frame, the observer is now moving in the same direction and speed as the center of mass of the two photons. The total momentum of the photons is now zero, since their momenta are equal and opposite. In this frame the two photons, as a system, have a mass equal to their total energy divided by c2. This mass is called the invariant mass of the pair of photons together. It is the smallest mass and energy the system may be seen to have, by any observer. It is only the invariant mass of a two-photon system that can be used to make a single particle with the same rest mass. If the photons are formed by the collision of a particle and an antiparticle, the invariant mass is the same as the total energy of the particle and antiparticle their rest energy plus the kinetic energy , in the center of mass frame, where they automatically move in equal and opposite directions since they have equal momentum in this frame. If the photons are formed by the disintegration of a single particle with a well-defined rest mass, like the neutral pion , the invariant mass of the photons is equal to rest mass of the pion. In this case, the center of mass frame for the pion is just the frame where the pion is at rest, and the center of mass does not change after it disintegrates into two photons. After the two photons are formed, their center of mass is still moving the same way the pion did, and their total energy in this frame adds up to the mass energy of the pion. Thus, by calculating the invariant mass of pairs of photons in a particle detector, pairs can be identified that were probably produced by pion disintegration. A similar calculation illustrates that the invariant mass of systems is conserved, even when massive particles particles with rest mass within the system are converted to massless particles such as photons. In such cases, the photons contribute invariant mass to the system, even though they individually have no invariant mass or rest mass. Thus, an electron and positron each of which has rest mass may undergo annihilation with each other to produce two photons, each of which is massless has no rest mass.

However, in such circumstances, no system mass is lost. Instead, the system of both photons moving away from each other has an invariant mass, which acts like a rest mass for any system in which the photons are trapped, or that can be weighed. Thus, not only the quantity of relativistic mass, but also the quantity of invariant mass does not change in transformations between "matter" electrons and positrons and energy photons. Relation to gravity[ edit ] In physics, there are two distinct concepts of mass: The gravitational mass is the quantity that determines the strength of the gravitational field generated by an object, as well as the gravitational force acting on the object when it is immersed in a gravitational field produced by other bodies. The inertial mass, on the other hand, quantifies how much an object accelerates if a given force is applied to it. The massâ€"energy equivalence in special relativity refers to the inertial mass. However, already in the context of Newton gravity, the Weak Equivalence Principle is postulated: Thus, the massâ€"energy equivalence, combined with the Weak Equivalence Principle, results in the prediction that all forms of energy contribute to the gravitational field generated by an object. This observation is one of the pillars of the general theory of relativity. The above prediction, that all forms of energy interact gravitationally, has been subject to experimental tests. The first observation testing this prediction was made in  The effect is due to the gravitational attraction of light by the Sun. The observation confirmed that the energy carried by light indeed is equivalent to a gravitational mass. Another seminal experiment, the Poundâ€"Rebka experiment , was performed in  The frequency of the light detected was higher than the light emitted. This result confirms that the energy of photons increases when they fall in the gravitational field of the Earth. Max Planck pointed out that the massâ€"energy equivalence formula implied that bound systems would have a mass less than the sum of their constituents, once the binding energy had been allowed to escape. However, Planck was thinking about chemical reactions, where the binding energy is too small to measure. Einstein suggested that radioactive materials such as radium would provide a test of the theory, but even though a large amount of energy is released per atom in radium, due to the half-life of the substance years , only a small fraction of radium atoms decay over an experimentally measurable period of time. Once the nucleus was discovered, experimenters realized that the very high binding energies of the atomic nuclei should allow calculation of their binding energies, simply from mass differences. But it was not until the discovery of the neutron in , and the measurement of the neutron mass, that this calculation could actually be performed see nuclear binding energy for example calculation. In , Rainville et al. By measuring the mass of different atomic nuclei and subtracting from that number the total mass of the protons and neutrons as they would weigh separately, one gets the exact binding energy available in an atomic nucleus. This is used to calculate the energy released in any nuclear reaction , as the difference in the total mass of the nuclei that enter and exit the reaction. Practical examples[ edit ] Einstein used the CGS system of units centimeters, grams, seconds, dynes, and ergs , but the formula is independent of the system of units. In natural units , the numerical value of the speed of light is set to equal 1, and the formula expresses an equality of numerical values: So the energy equivalent of one kilogram of mass is.

## 3: E=mc2 Images, Stock Photos & Vectors | Shutterstock

*E=MC2 Relative to Business by Julie Bell-Voorhees, Johanne Edwards Approach business' high operating costs with the same kind of creative genius as Einstein in this all-in-one reference guide for today's company leaders.*

Share via Email Albert Einstein lecturing on the special theory of relativity. In fact, everything is moving at the speed of light. Neither Galileo, Michael Faraday, James Clerk Maxwell or Isaac Newton knew about the speed of light thing, despite laying the foundations for the insights that the Austrian patent-clerk-turned-physicist would eventually have. We are all moving at a speed "c" that happens to correspond with the speed of light as it moves through a vacuum in normal space. Except that our movement is through a 4D co-ordinate system called spacetime. Unlike 3D space, which allows you to measure the position of an object, spacetime allows you to measure events where and when. Even if you are sitting still in 3D space not moving in any direction , you will nevertheless be moving in 4D spacetime in other words, no movement in the three space dimensions but moving in the "time" direction. His formulation of what became known as special relativity tore apart the classical view of the clockwork universe that Newton and his colleagues had developed in the 18th and 19th centuries. Einstein started with a conundrum, the niggling problem that the ideas of Galileo and Maxwell seemed to be at odds. Galileo had shown how there was no such thing as absolute motion, that you can only define movement relative to something else. You might feel like you are sitting still right now but that is only true relative to the Earth; relative to the black hole at the centre of our galaxy, we are all moving at hundreds of thousands of miles an hour. By the end of the 19th century, Maxwell had tied together decades of work on electricity and magnetism by, among others, Humphrey Davy and Michael Faraday, to produce his masterful equations on electromagnetism. These showed that light was a wave in the electromagnetic field, much as ripples on a pond are waves in water or sound is a wave in the air. He also showed that these waves of light moved at a constant speed, "c", through empty space and that speed remained the same no matter who was watching. He incessantly picked at this hanging thread and, in the process, unravelled the whole tapestry the physicists of the enlightenment had put together. No longer was there a fixed backdrop upon which the universe did its work: Cox and Forshaw use this background to rattle through concepts that sound more science-fiction than everyday: You need the idea of spacetime to explain why, even as you seem to sit still in space, you are still moving at "c" in the time dimension. Think of your normal movements in everyday 3D space and everyday time as the shadow of a more universal movement in 4D spacetime. To make the equations of special relativity work, you need to measure your motion in spacetime, rather than everyday space and time. Einstein came up with his seemingly baffling ideas as a series of thought experiments, and the fact that they were later proved by experiment is thanks to his skills as a mathematician. Another popular science book might have spared readers the gory details of what Einstein was up to, given that his ideas and results were in themselves so engrossing. If anything, the authors are too apologetic about the maths they include, constantly assuring readers that there is a purpose to the strings of symbols, that there is a key insight at the end of the abstractions. For anyone afraid of technicalities, Cox and Forshaw lead the reader by the hand through the complexity, adding in rest stops of wit and real-world examples. Even the hardest bits feel like being taken on an army assault course by the two friendliest drill sergeants in the world. You may have to read some bits twice but, boy, will you feel better for it once the insights become clear. In the process of exposing the science, the authors do a good job of showing how the hard end of research works: Sometimes it is hugely confusing and counter-intuitive. But patience and persistence in the face of dearly held beliefs is exactly why scientists have made such a remarkable fist of understanding and shaping our modern world.

# E=MC2 RELATIVE TO BUSINESS pdf

## 4: Massâ€“energy equivalence - Wikipedia

*What if Albert Einstein ran your business? Would his creative excellence be applicable to our corporate age? It would indeed. Einsteins famous E=MC2 formula can be applied to business by helping.*

Observer This is the most famous equation in the history of equations. And you probably also know who came up with it â€" physicist and Nobel laureate Albert Einstein. At one level, the equation is devastatingly simple. It says that the energy E in a system an atom, a person, the solar system is equal to its total mass m multiplied by the square of the speed of light c, equal to , miles per second. Like all good equations, though, its simplicity is a rabbit-hole into something profound about nature: Before Einstein, scientists defined energy as the stuff that allows objects and fields to interact or move in some way â€" kinetic energy is associated with movement, thermal energy involves heating and electromagnetic fields contain energy that is transmitted as waves. All these types of energy can be transformed from one to another, but nothing can ever be created or destroyed. In relativity theory, Einstein introduced mass as a new type of energy to the mix. Beforehand, the mass of something in kilograms was just a measure of how much stuff was present and how resistant it was to being moved around. Mass is just a super-concentrated form of energy and, moreover, these things can turn from one form to the other and back again. Nuclear power stations exploit this idea inside their reactors where subatomic particles, called neutrons, are fired at the nuclei of uranium atoms, which causes the uranium to split into smaller atoms. The process of fission releases energy and further neutrons that can go on to split more uranium atoms. If you made very precise measurements of all the particles before and after the process, you would find that the total mass of the latter was very slightly smaller than the former, a difference known as the "mass defect". That is the equivalent of more than 40 megatons of TNT. More practically, it is the amount of energy that would come out of a 1 gigawatt power plant, big enough to run 10 million homes for at least three years. A kg person, therefore, has enough energy locked up inside them to run that many homes for years. Unlocking that energy is no easy task, however. One way to turn an entire block of material into pure energy would be to bring it together with antimatter. Particles of matter and antimatter are the same, except for an opposite electrical charge. Bring them together, though, and they will annihilate each other into pure energy. Special relativity says that the faster something moves, the more massive it becomes. In a particle accelerator, protons are accelerated to almost the speed of light and smashed into each other. The high energy of these collisions allows the formation of new, more massive particles than protons â€" such as the Higgs boson â€" that physicists might want to study. But its fame is mostly because of its association with one of the most devastating weapons produced by humans â€" the atomic bomb. The equation appeared in the report, prepared for the US government by physicist Henry DeWolf Smyth in , on the Allied efforts to make an atomic bomb during the Manhattan project. The result of that project led to the death of hundreds of thousands of Japanese citizens in Hiroshima and Nagasaki. Einstein himself had encouraged the US government to fund research into atomic energy during the second world war but his own involvement in the Manhattan project was limited because of his lack of security clearances. This article has been amended to correct an equation Topics.

## 5: Why Does E=mcÂ²? by Brian Cox

*Jim Sines is the author of E=mc2 Relative to Business ( avg rating, 0 ratings, 0 reviews, published ).*

## 6: Why Does E=mc2? by Brian Cox and Jeff Forshaw â€“ review | Books | The Guardian

*E=mc2 in business and leadership refers to Effectiveness = management (as a synonym for leadership, to keep it simple) multiplied by communication squared. The better your management and the more it communicates, the greater the effectiveness.*

# E=MC2 RELATIVE TO BUSINESS pdf

## 7: Relativity | Define Relativity at www.enganchecubano.com

*Written in clear, concise prose, E=MC2 Relative to Business is filled with applicable Einstein quotes, charts, anecdotes, case studies and strategies. Conducting business as usual is a thing of the past.*

## 8: Jim Sines ( of E=mc2 Relative to Business)

*Business Tech Science Albert Einstein E=mc2: Einstein's equation that gave birth to the atom bomb energy and mass are not just mathematically related, they are different ways to measure.*

# E=MC2 RELATIVE TO BUSINESS pdf

*Seven stories about a cat named Sneakers. Side Scan Sonar Record Interpretation Family and friends: Grandma Hattie Sterling Point Books: The Sinking of the Bismarck Literature and the American tradition. Around the World in 80 Days (Large Print Edition) Permitting producers of hops to enter into marketing agreements under Agricultural Adjustment Act. Brazil : creating new rules of the road Public Decision-Making Processes and Asymmetry of Information Wallace Harrison and Norma (Kohl Wright Guide to getting it on paul joannides Tutorial solidworks 2016 bahasa indonesia An exposition of the assemblies catechism The Soldier Apprentice Quill Preparation Economic principles in the Vedic tradition Introduction to bifurcation theory In situ NMR Methods in Catalysis (Topics in Current Chemistry) Gift of Motherhood Story about slavery in america Child-life in Italy Ignatiuss letter to the Ephesians Ser. 8. Subject files (54 fiches) Progress to First Certificate Cassettes (2) Book of proper names Kambi kathakal malayalam files Forest Service Economic Action Programs Nx 9 sheet metal tutorial Understanding 4-5-year-olds Care of antiques and historical collections Jboss as 7 development Heroic polonaise sheet music Collections for the history of Worcestershire. Soft Skills for Hard Times George Crook by Jerome A. Greene V. 3]. Preparatory 2 level, book 1-4 Tracking the visible information: assessing, evaluating, and grading work Lasers and electro-optics fundamentals and engineering davis How To Celebrate The Passover Writing Web Service Clients*