

IS IT WARMER? VINCENT GRAY, SYUN-ICHI AKASOFU, ROBERT CARTER pdf

1: Some Welcome Relief at Hand - Freedom First Society

Forging a consensus / Robert Carter, Richard Lindzen Is it warmer? / Vincent Gray, Syun-Ichi Akasofu, Robert Carter / William Gray, Cliff Ollier, Paul Reiter.

Also see his previous dispatch from Bali The ministers have arrived – environmental ministers, energy ministers, finance ministers, ministers ordinary and plenipotentiary, and ministers who will one day wind up in the penitentiary. They are driving to and fro in limos with police escorts, blaring their horns at those of us on bicycles. What this means is that we are entering the last 72 hours of the conference. The nights are getting longer, and the strokes shorter. But measured even against the background experience that large international conferences frequently undergo a moment of dark despair before dawn brings some sort of last-minute agreement, the last two nights of discord have been dismaying. The shreds and wisps constituting the "non-paper" I wrote about in my last dispatch frayed significantly. By Wednesday noon, the draft phrase proposed for what might potentially be discussed re developing countries taking action on global warming was reduced to "measurable and reportable national mitigation actions by developing country Parties in the context of sustainable development. There will be a new draft text before the day is out today, and it will be instructive to see if that text reflects progress as a result of higher level input from the new senior arrivals. Mayor Bloomberg of New York City is here, and we will host a private luncheon for him and some key leaders from around the world. Al Gore got in last night, but has not surfaced as of early this morning. But every time the developing countries here press for technology transfer provisions and negotiations, the U. There is a bad-guy award, called "the Fossil", given every day here by the NGOs to a country whose obstructionism is particularly dramatic or mischievous. If there were a good-guy award, a logical nominee would be Mexico, which has been way out in front of its southern colleagues in recognizing that the South, as well as the North, must address what is a common threat. Mexico has tried to define some middle ground for all with considered, practical proposals. During a thoughtful review yesterday, with many different kinds of people from many different sectors and countries, I noticed that all of them – without exception – accepted that deforestation belonged on the agenda. And that made me recall the huge campaign, started from nowhere, that Environmental Defense launched at the Montreal meeting two years ago to slip deforestation onto the agenda for the first time. It had been removed from the agenda a decade earlier and stayed off ever since. And that made me think about how much we owe to the time and intensity Annie Petsonk has devoted over the years to leading these delegations and managing our field resources with her exquisite blend of intensity and agility. She is not here this year, and we feel the absence every day. On the walkways at our hotel in the morning there are little frogs, no bigger than half your thumbnail, who hop around aimlessly as you approach. They hop around in no particular direction, and some of them seem quite far, for such a little animal, from the wetter areas near the hotel pool where I assume they came from. The pavement warms up as the day gets hotter, and they seem to jump a little more sluggishly than – still in circles. They seem very intent on hopping, and very vulnerable. And for those who get way out on the walkway as the sun heats it up, the long-term future seems a little problematic to me.

IS IT WARMER? VINCENT GRAY, SYUN-ICHI AKASOFU, ROBERT CARTER pdf

2: Global Warming Skeptics List www.enganchecubano.com

Get this from a library! The deniers: the world-renowned scientists who stood up against global warming hysteria, political persecution, and fraud. [Lawrence Solomon] -- Highlights the work of leading scientists who dispute the commonly accepted alarmist view of global warming, in spite of threats to their careers.

Among the many Australians who have endorsed the Declaration are the following leaders in their fields highlights indicate embedded Web links: He shows very clearly that "Although reefs in many other parts of the world have indeed been damaged by human activities, the Great Barrier Reef is among the most pristine of reef areas". Hughes , MSc Hon. Of Cell Biology Nat. Hauptman, Nobel Prize Chemistry , Pres. Harald zur Hausen, Professor, Dr. Townes, Nobel Prize Physics , W. The current UN focus on "fighting climate change," as illustrated in the Nov. National and international planning for such changes is needed, with a focus on helping our most vulnerable citizens adapt to conditions that lie ahead. The following are signatories to the Dec. Borel, PhD, remote sensing scientist, U. Clark, PhD, Professor, isotope hydrogeology and paleoclimatology, Dept. Willem de Lange, PhD, Dept. Bailey Professor of Energy Conversion, Dept. Gray, Professor Emeritus, Dept. Lindzen, PhD, Alfred P. Sloan Professor of Meteorology, Dept. Cloud State University, Minnesota, U. Weather Satellite Service L. Ball, former Professor of Geography, University of Winnipeg: David Bellamy, environmental campaigner, broadcaster and former botanist: Antonino Zichichi, emeritus professor of nuclear physics at the University of Bologna and president of the World Federation of Scientists: Don Easterbrook, emeritus professor of geology, Western Washington University: Nir Shaviv, astrophysicist at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem: Roy Spencer, principal research scientist, University of Alabama in Huntsville: Philip Stott, professor emeritus of biogeography at the University of London: David Deming, geology professor at the University of Oklahoma: Richard Lindzen, Alfred P.

IS IT WARMER? VINCENT GRAY, SYUN-ICHI AKASOFU, ROBERT CARTER pdf

3: DCD dictionary definition of DesMogblog

Vincent Gray Syun-Ichi Akasofu Robert Carter 57 Looking For CO2 Tom Segalstad Nir Shaviv Syun-Ichi Akasofu Robert Carter et al. 75 The Ice-core Man and the Great CO2 Cover-up Zbigniew Jaworowski

Co2sceptic Site Admin The big temperature picture. The global temperature has been rising at a steady trend rate of 0. On top of the trend are oscillations that last about thirty years in each direction: The pattern suggests that the world has entered a period of slight cooling until about 1940. There was a cooling scare in the early 1940s at the end of the last cooling phase. The current global warming alarm is based on the last warming oscillation, from 1940 to 1975. The IPCC predictions simply extrapolated the last warming as if it would last forever, a textbook case of alarmism. However the last warming period ended after the usual thirty years or so, and the global temperature is now definitely tracking below the IPCC predictions. The IPCC blames human emissions of carbon dioxide for the last warming. But by general consensus human emissions of carbon dioxide have only been large enough to be significant since 1950 yet the warming trend was in place for well over a century before that. And there was a cooling period from 1940 to 1975, despite human emissions of carbon dioxide. And there has been no warming since 1975, despite record human emissions of carbon dioxide. There is no actual evidence that carbon dioxide emissions are causing global warming. Note that computer models are just concatenations of calculations you could do on a hand-held calculator, so they are theoretical and cannot be part of any evidence. Although the models contain some well-established science, they also contain a myriad of implicit and explicit assumptions, guesses, and gross approximations mistakes in any of which can invalidate the model outputs. Furthermore, the missing hotspot in the atmospheric warming pattern observed during the last warming period proves that 1 the IPCC climate theory is fundamentally broken, and 2 to the extent that their theory correctly predicts the warming signature of increased carbon dioxide, we know that carbon dioxide definitely did not cause the recent warming see here for my full explanation of the missing hotspot. The alarmists keep very quiet about the missing hotspot. No one knows for sure what caused the little ice age or for how many more centuries the slow warming trend will continue. It has been warmer than the present for much of the ten thousand years since the last big ice age: But satellite temperature records only go back to 1979. Before that, the further back you go the more unreliable the temperature record gets. We have decent land thermometer records back to 1850, and some thermometer records back to the middle of the 18th century. Prior to that we rely on temperature proxies, such as ice cores, tree rings, ocean sediments, or snow lines.

IS IT WARMER? VINCENT GRAY, SYUN-ICHI AKASOFU, ROBERT CARTER pdf

4: Scientist who oppose Global Warming Theories | Global Warming Scare

The deniers the world-renowned scientists who stood up against global warming hysteria, political persecution, and fraud and those who are too fearful to do so 1st ed. Lawrence Solomon.

Download PDF Editors note: Truly the debate is not over but just beginning. Click on the image to open the article. From Jesse Skidmore to Randall Carlson: Sorry to bring this up twice but I was anxious to hear what you would think of this. A giant corporation lied about science and got caught. By their own employees. From Randall to Jesse Skidmore: Been on overload the last couple of weeks and have only looked into this superficially. What first struck me is that their work relied on computer models and were exploring worst case scenarios. Given that current computer models have failed to accurately represent what is occurring now, particularly in terms of the divergence between projections and actual global temperatures, the computer models from the 70s and 80s must have been notoriously inept at producing realistic projections of the future. I think that at least some of the scientists involved in this realized that there were too many variables to determine precisely the role of CO2 to any high level of confidence. There is a lot more I could say but due to constraints of time and space that will have to wait for now. From Art Gibert to Randall. Not the further accumulation of evidence that the fossil fuel industry has long been aware of and funding denial of anthropogenically-caused global warming, as this has long been obvious to anyone who understands the fundamentals of climate and the simple notion of gases trapping heat in the atmosphere, along with the simple fact that money corrupts. How could it not, as you once said to me. Response to Art Gibert Art, at the outset of a discussion of this important issue I would request of you to provide the evidence for fossil fuel industry malfeasance of which you speak. You say that this is obvious to anyone who understands the fundamentals of climate change. The potential of CO2 to influence the climate has been recognized long before Exxon funded some research in the s, going all the way back to Svante Arrhenius in the late 19th century. They acknowledged that there could be consequences to the unrestrained addition of CO2 to the global atmosphere, but at the same time were confronted with the enormous complexities of integrating multiple factors that could drastically alter the outcome of their models. Your entire response to my comments is a statement of opinions. I would like to see some hard facts. I do completely agree that money has the potential to corrupt. To dispel any doubt as to what their position is here is a quote from the intro to their National Climate Assessment NCA report: Most of the warming of the past half-century is due to human activities. Some types of extreme weather are increasing, ice is melting on land and sea, and sea level is rising. The above list barely scratches the surface of the vast complex of vested interests that stand to gain through implementation of carbon remediation measures. I have not even touched upon the billions that flow to various environmental groups that promote global warming hysteria. Several dates ranging over years may indicate the beginning of the Little Ice Age: Of course the global climate is changing! From your statements I would assume that you believe you have a degree of climate science education. So tell me, when has the global climate not been changing? What actual empirical evidence, independent of adjusted computer models, is there that most of the warming of the last century is due to human activities when the global climate actually began warming at the end of the Little Ice Age in the mid-th century, nearly a century before significant introduction of fossil fuel derived CO2 to the atmosphere? Glaciers began receding worldwide in the early to mid-th century after having grown to their greatest extent in 10 thousand years during the Little Ice Age. Throughout the second half of the 20th century they continued doing what they had been doing for at least a century, shrinking back from their LIA maximum. Of course, you must know this. What types of extreme weather are increasing? I would challenge you to show some examples. Not any more than has been normal throughout the centuries. We have not seen anything like some of the well-documented droughts that have occurred around this planet for thousands of years, long before we humans were driving SUVs. To this date half of all US states, that is 25 of the 50, have all-time high temperature records which were set in the s and have not been exceeded since. The

IS IT WARMER? VINCENT GRAY, SYUN-ICHI AKASOFU, ROBERT CARTER pdf

last high temperature record set in any US state was in Connecticut in 1938. Since only 6 other states have seen high temperature records broken. Is sea level rising? Well, yes it has risen roughly 8 inches during the past century. This is about the same as the century before. It rose nearly feet at the Pleistocene-Holocene transition ca 12 thousand years ago and has been fluctuating up and down ever since. Not infrequently it has stood many feet higher than present. Are we to assume that sea level would never change absent an anthropogenic influence? Now let me state for the record: It is my belief that humans ARE influencing the climate in multiple ways, not only through introduction of CO₂ into the atmosphere but through deforestation, agricultural and industrial activities, and expansion of urban areas. But here is the undeniable fact: I have absolutely no doubt whatsoever that the climate changes. Here is something to ponder. The natural contribution to the total ambient atmospheric CO₂ is times greater than the human contribution, about gigatons naturally compared to 3 gigatons of anthropogenically sourced CO₂ residing in the atmosphere at any given time. So, the human contribution to total atmospheric CO₂ is minuscule. To prevent this miniscule change in atmospheric composition we are supposed to basically shut down modern civilization? Bring every aspect of our individual and social life under the control and scrutiny of some new, bloated, government carbon dioxide bureaucracy? There is no way that the declared goals of CO₂ mitigation would not require energy rationing. We absolutely need more open discussion and debate about the social and economic consequences of carbon mitigation policies being proposed, not less, and let all sides be heard. The thing I absolutely oppose is that a big-government funded climate change bureaucracy should be the sole source of information, which is certainly what the proponents of Anthropogenic Global Warming seem to want, at least to the extent that they believe it serves their agenda. Here is how the strategy works: Science and scientists are appropriated to the service of the all-powerful state; exclusive organizations are formed and charged with a predetermined mandate; enormous sums are spent on propaganda while posturing as an objective entity disinterested in outcomes; all independent or dissenting voices are stifled or marginalized through a campaign of intimidation, ad hominem attacks, smear campaigns, character assassinations, name calling and through guilt by association with some disreputable group such as tobacco corporation CEOs, as if that is anything at all remotely relevant to the science of climate change. To implement this tactic set up a network of front groups, and websites such as Skepticalscience, Realclimate, DeSmog Blog, while accusing anyone who questions the legitimacy of the science, or of the process, of doing what the pro warming factions are themselves doing. Of course tactics like these are not intended to foster real debate or deeper understanding of the actual science of climate change but instead to limit discussion to the exclusion of all but the officially sanctioned point of view. But that is another discussion. If the government gets out of the way carbon mitigation will come about naturally. We need to make our buildings more energy efficient, absolutely. However, government policy has so distorted the housing market that it has put severe constraints on the ability of builders, architects and developers to provide the growing demand for energy efficient housing. Just let people keep the income they earn and they will do all of these things when they realize the gain that can accrue. It may begin with those who have a moral commitment to the environment but will spread to others when the economic benefits of reduced reliance on centralized energy distribution are realized. But who is going to put a solar collector on their roof when they can barely pay their mortgage? Or when local zoning regulations prohibit it? The overreach of government is exemplified in this story of zoning officials turning people into criminals for trying to get off the grid. Sadly, this is becoming too typical. As zoning laws have increasingly targeted the off-grid lifestyle, many have moved to the Southwestern U.S. The combination of lax zoning regulations, cheap property, and an already thriving community of self-reliant off-grid homesteaders has led to many new residents. The off-grid lifestyle, enjoyed by an estimated 10 million people, is now being threatened as county officials have recently made moves to essentially regulate and license the lifestyle into oblivion. There are indeed pressing environmental issues. We need to develop more effective means of minimizing the flow of both synthetic and biological wastes into the oceans. We absolutely must come up with strategies for minimizing or eliminating the 8 million tons of plastic trash ending up in the oceans each year. This is a global problem and cleaning up the oceans of this massive amount of accumulating

IS IT WARMER? VINCENT GRAY, SYUN-ICHI AKASOFU, ROBERT CARTER pdf

trash is a far more pressing environmental problem than carbon dioxide. Improvements in global sanitation have stagnated for the past decade while inadequate sewage disposal leading to water-borne illnesses are killing an estimated , children under the age of 5 every year. The pipeline of lies coming from Washington is running at full capacity. And this includes the lies about global warming and climate change, while those telling these lies accuse anyone who expresses an alternate opinion, whatsoever, of doing exactly what they are, in fact and in reality, doing themselves. Meanwhile the US war machine marches on, causing truly massive amounts of environmental and human destruction around the world, toppling governments, destabilizing and uprooting whole societies, squandering over a trillion dollars of resources every year in the vain pursuit of global hegemony and compromising our true national security in the process. Meanwhile the neoliberal, environmentalist left obsesses over an utterly miniscule change in atmospheric composition. How about big, fat lie number 1: I really hope somebody challenges me on this one. How about big, fat lie number 2: Weather and environmental events such as storms, hurricanes, tornadoes, floods, wildfires, etc. How about big, fat lie number 4: But what does this name calling say about the intellectual integrity these modern pharisees? Before concluding this humble homily, I think it would be valuable to look a little closer at some of these claims about big, bad Exxon. It was cosigned by a number of government, corporate and academic luminaries including several former officials of Exxon. Among these co-signers were Walter V. Clearly the bottom line of these investments stood to be directly affected by the outcome of the climate change debate. Howell, at the time serving both as director of Pfizer Pharmaceutical and Lead director of the Halliburton Company. CEO of Medtronic, on the board of directors of both ExxonMobile and Goldman Sachs and formerly senior executive with Litton Industries, a large defense contractor then in the process of being acquired by Northrup Grumman Corporation.

IS IT WARMER? VINCENT GRAY, SYUN-ICHI AKASOFU, ROBERT CARTER pdf

5: Do you know who these people are? | Yahoo Answers

/ Vincent Gray, Syun-Ichi Akasofu, Robert Carter --Looking for CO₂, / Tom Segalstad [and others] -- The ice-core man and the great CO₂, cover-up / Zbigniew Jaworowski -- Models and the limits of predictability / Hendrik Tennekes.

Pro Since my opponent failed to post a rebuttal, I will simply add on to my argument. Some fun EnviroNazi quotes: Large areas of coastline will have to be evacuated because of the stench of dead fish" - Quoted in "Giving society cheap, abundant energy Kyoto is "the first component of an authentic global governance" - Former French President Jacques Chirac. They have the freedom to exploit and psychologically rape their fellow human beings in the process Capitalism is destroying the Earth. We have to stop these Third World countries right where they are. Talk about the rich getting richer, eh? He also wrote one of the reports that led to the Global Cooling in the s. Heidi Cullen, climatologist at TWC, suggested disagreement should mean a loss of meteorological certification: When the Washington Post asked him why he thought Glenn was a Fascist he said that Glenn was "voicing doubts about global warming a few weeks back. Did you know that if every home in America replaced one standard light bulb with a fluorescent bulb assuming EVERY home actually did this the pollution saved in one year would be equal to taking , cars off the road? Not to mention it would take Ford roughly 15, years to sell that many SUVs. So to look at it another way, the co2 contribution of ONE country simply generating electricity in the next 12 years matches that of 3 billion SUVs being driven 15, miles a year. The solution to the warming trend quoted: I really hope he was kidding. Thank God for the skeptcs, eh? We pretty much save the planet. I have this debate all figured out, and I have for quite some time. Spend the money on making solar panels cheaper. Spend the money on buying land and reducing costs on creating wind famrs. Spend the money on making hydrogen cheaper. Spend it on making corn or soy into fuel in a more useful way than ethanol.

IS IT WARMER? VINCENT GRAY, SYUN-ICHI AKASOFU, ROBERT CARTER pdf

6: Global Warming: A Classic Case of Alarmism by Dr. David Evans | Climate Realists

The deniers by Solomon, Forging a consensus / Robert Carter, Richard Lindzen: Is it warmer? / Vincent Gray, Syun-Ichi Akasofu, Robert Carter.

Some Welcome Relief at Hand Posted on: Solomon forthrightly subtitled his book: Solomon argues that the intelligent layman must look to scientific authority for guidance in this technical area. And he insists that, contrary to media reports, the exaggerated claims regarding global warming simply do not responsibly reflect the opinion of the most competent scientific authorities. And he has absolutely no truck with Al Gore. And Solomon has assembled a sterling cast of characters to dispute the science-is-settled claim. Virtually every chapter introduces the reader to internationally recognized authorities at the top of their fields who dispute elements of the global warming crisis case. As Solomon points out, the thesis that we are facing catastrophic manmade global warming rests on a number of independent foundations. Like other smart people, and like most everyone, scientists accept the conventional wisdom in areas they know little about. Put another way, people are predisposed to accept what they believe to be a consensus. The Energy and Commerce Committee of the U. House of Representatives asked Dr. Edward Wegman to assess the chart. Likely due to Dr. But the global warming scare has focused largely on CO2 and the greenhouse effect as the principal drivers of climate change. Nir Shaviv is an accomplished astrophysicist. And those other factors are much less understood. In bringing forth the opinions of informed authorities, unknown to most of the public, Solomon directs our attention to Dr. For an evaluation of the ice-core record, Solomon turns to Zbigniew Jaworowski. The story that for millennia prior to the Industrial Revolution, CO2 levels were low and stable is a fable says Zbigniew Jaworowski: In fact, he says, the samples are fraught with errors. But Solomon goes even further in illuminating the state of the infant science of climate. To that end he cites several very insightful statements by Dr. Robert Carter, a research professor at the University of Adelaide. Much public discussion on global-warming is underpinned by two partly self-contradictory assumptions. Both assertions are unsustainable. The first because science is not, nor ever has been, about consensus, but about experimental and observational data and testable hypotheses. Second, regarding the number of sides to the debate, the reality is that small parts of the immensely complex climate system are better or less understood “depending on the subject” by many different groups of experts. In effect, there are nearly as many sides to the climate-change debate as there are expert scientists who consider it. Those Pesky Martians After discussing scientific research into other potential causes of climate change such as the Sun , Solomon begins a new chapter with this thought: Mars has been warming too. Its polar ice cap is shrinking, deep gullies in its landscape are now laid bare, and the Martian climate is the warmest it has been in decades or centuries. One of the scientists, Solomon cites to develop this idea is Dr. If the temperature of the ocean rises even a little, gigantic amounts of CO2 are released into the atmosphere through evaporation of water. Rather, as Hendrik Tennekes explains, the problem is that global-climate models reach a level of complexity so great that the predictions they issue can no longer be called scientific propositions. Those who harbor doubts about climate science do better to bite their tongues and keep their heads down. Solomon writes extensively about specific scientists and their accomplishments to establish their credentials in support of his thesis that in highly technical matters the intelligent layman should listen to the top people in any discipline. One of the impressive scientists Solomon cites is Physicist Freeman Dyson: As a mathematician and physicist, Dyson is known for the unification of three versions of quantum electrodynamics, for his work on the Orion project, which proposed space flight using nuclear pulse propulsion, and for developing the TRIGA, a small, inherently safe nuclear reactor used by hospitals and universities worldwide for the production of isotopes. As a theoretician, he is known for the Dyson sphere, the Dyson transform and the Dyson tree. As an activist and visionary, [Dyson] is known for his concern for global poverty, for his promotion of international cooperation, and for his work in furtherance of nuclear disarmament. As we will discuss in a moment, the UN was founded to evolve into an

IS IT WARMER? VINCENT GRAY, SYUN-ICHI AKASOFU, ROBERT CARTER pdf

unchallengeable supranational authority possessing eventually even a monopoly on military force. The architects of giving such authority to the UN recognize that this revolution in political arrangements is best driven through crises and by concealing their real agenda. As Solomon points out, Dr. Gray as an expert reviewer for many years. Resistance to all efforts to try and discuss or rectify these problems has convinced me that normal scientific procedures are not only rejected by the IPCC, but that this practice is endemic, and was part of the organization from the very beginning. I know of no major scientist with any long record in this field [the control of mosquito-borne diseases] who agrees with the pronouncements of the alarmists at the IPCC. On the contrary, all of us who work in the field are repeatedly stunned by the IPCC pronouncements. We protest, but are rarely quoted, and if so, usually as a codicil to the scary stuff. How could the UN have issued such dangerously amateurish advice on such a crucial issue, one that threatens hundreds of millions? But Solomon also notes: Moreover, the IPCC has twisted the peer-review process "essential to ensuring rigorous science". In effect, the science is spun, disagreements purged, and results predetermined. The media receives its share of the blame for misleading the public. Cliff Ollier [a geologist with the University of Western Australia]. Solomon refers to M. Professor Richard Lindzen for one explanation: Consequently, lies about climate change gain credence even when they fly in the face of the science that supposedly is their basis. Two eminent scientists in that category were Dr. The IPCC conceived its task as investigating manmade causes of climate change. He is particularly dismayed that the doomsayers have not only managed to divert significant resources away from more evident problems, but that in addressing the global warming scare they are actually doing damage to the environment. And he singles out the Kyoto treaty: But Kyoto is not an insurance policy. Just the opposite, it is the single, greatest threat today to the global environment, because it makes carbon into currency. Carbon is the element upon which all living things are built. With carbon a kind of currency "which is what all carbon taxes and carbon trading and similar schemes do" all ecosystems suddenly have a commercial value that makes them subject to manipulation for gain. This is not some abstract theoretical concern. We are already seeing environmental havoc from the new economic order that Kyoto has spawned. True to his determination not to arbitrate genuinely disputed scientific issues, Solomon concludes his investigation with cautious restraint: It is one environmental concern among many whose science is far from settled. And for those who want to dig deeper, Solomon provides numerous references to excellent original material posted on the Internet. And that is the critical part of the story, which desperately needs to be understood. For example, Solomon quotes Dr. Richard Lindzen as suggesting that the momentum behind the global warming scare originates because that is where the research money lies. But that just begs the further question as to why the money is there. The answer to that question is crucial. But what Solomon sets out to do, he accomplishes with excellence. And indirectly, his book sets the stage for a larger wake-up call, because it demonstrates that the leaders of public opinion and especially the politicians cannot be trusted. Although never advertised as such, the UN was intended to evolve into a world government controlled by these Insiders from behind the scenes. The UN continues to be a tool of the Insiders, particularly those at the Council on Foreign Relations, for subverting national independence and authority and accumulating global power. But with the apparent demise of the Communist threat new threats were needed, and the Insiders of this Conspiracy were ready with several candidates: Bloomfield, a secret study prepared under contract with the State Department by the private Institute for Defense Analyses in The principal outcomes of the Earth Summit, for example, were pre-programmed, mostly by Insiders through the Council on Foreign Relations, and given a semblance of give-and-take democracy in action at Rio. But the independence of this civil society is a sham. Here it is important to follow the money. Where do these groups get their funding? Who pays for aborigines to travel to a UN conference? Even a shallow investigation will disclose that Insider foundations fund many of these groups, who can then be expected to feed back publicly the line the Insiders want the public to hear, while leading the public to believe they are listening to the opinions of representatives of independent grassroots interests. Agenda 21 proposes an array of actions which are intended to be implemented by every person on Earth. Effective execution of Agenda 21 will require a profound reorientation of all human society, unlike

IS IT WARMER? VINCENT GRAY, SYUN-ICHI AKASOFU, ROBERT CARTER pdf

anything the world has every experienced – a major shift in the priorities of both governments and individuals and an unprecedented redeployment of human and financial resources. Admittedly, much more documentation would have to be supplied to make this outline convincing to those unfamiliar with the story. But this brief outline suggests what we are alleging is at stake with the manmade global warming scare. This demonstrates that pressure rules in Washington, not the facts. The public needs to understand where the predominant pressure is coming from and realize its obligation to apply its own pressure and thereby compete for control of our government. See, for example, p. Congress may just be going through the motions with some of these hearings, but our elected officials, through their staffs, have no excuse for not knowing the substance of what is presented there and recorded at taxpayer expense. We must help some individuals see the bigger picture so they will then organize to fight the Conspiracy that would use these ruses to rob us of our freedoms. This misinformation is not a trivial issue. If Americans continue to rely on such sources for their information, it is difficult to see how freedom can survive.

7: The Climate Realists of Norway | Klimarealistene

Timothy F. Ball Robert M. Carter Vincent R. Gray Richard Lindzen Garth Paltridge Hendrik Tennekes Antonino Zichichi Khabibullo Abdusamatov Sallie Baliunas George V. Chilingar Ian Clark Chris de Freitas David Douglass William M. Gray William Happer William Kininmonth George Kukla David Legates Tad Murty Tim Patterson Ian Plimer Tom Segalstad Nicola Scafetta Nir Shaviv Fred Singer Willie Soon.

8: The deniers | Open Library

From Mark Landsbaum. OK, OK, we know the story. The world's going to hell in a handbasket in a hurray. An increasingly warm handbasket, as the story goes. But what if that's all hype and mistakes?

9: Sacred Geometry International – Ask Randall: Climate Change – Who Are The Real Deniers?

On Fri, 22 Oct , Desertphile wrote: > On 22 Oct GMT, Sirius wrote: >> On Fri, 22 Oct

IS IT WARMER? VINCENT GRAY, SYUN-ICHI AKASOFU, ROBERT CARTER pdf

On the Third Hand Rousing the sleeper Rita pmp 7th edition The silver tassie States and societies of Sub-Saharan Africa The Common Market, sources of information Static timing analysis for nanometer designs Time allocation in 2003-2005 by employment status Slotted sculpture from cardboard Neurological evaluation: complementary exams Approach and attitude : how parents factor into the equation The Common Law (Dodo Press) Hamilton and other poems and lectures Fourth General conference on communications and transit. Glimpses of Midland Lotto black book system The Marthas Vineyard Cookbook, 4th New dictionary of modern sculpture. Coordination as a Mode of Adaptation A typical day in space The princes choice Terence Hawkes. Rs agarwal quantitative aptitude 2014 The Surgeons Mate (Aubrey/Maturin Novels) Pain; its causation and diagnostic significance in internal diseases. Collegiate Expectations Plastics Engineered Product Design Yahtzee score sheet and Proceedings of Indonesian Association of Geologists Lady Mary Wootons Green 337 Progress in comparative endocrinology Index-of.co.uk tutorials-2 piirustukset-pistooli. Cheap lives and dear limbs Subdivision Map Act Manual, 1993 Supplement Riding for a fall. Uses of swot analysis Are we not temps? 6. Against the Technocrats The theological papers of John Henry Newman on Biblical inspiration and on infallibility Evidence Exam Pro Microsoft Outlook 2000 for Windows for dummies quick reference