

### 1: George Zimmerman's injuries - Photo 4 - Pictures - CBS News

*The Thursday Theory There may be an example of exclusive reckoning in the account of the crucifixion. There is the phrase "after three days" in Matthew which the priests and Pharisees interpreted in the next verse to equal "the third day."*

I put it as a "Newsflash" to accompany that pertinent remark. ELM] The common opinion throughout the Christian world is that Christ was crucified on a Friday and resurrected near dawn on Sunday morning. There has been a vigorous minority who insist that Wednesday was the true crucifixion day. A few scholars have even opted for a Thursday. Those believing in the Wednesday view state with dogmatism that the traditional belief of Friday to Sunday morning an interval from late Friday afternoon when Christ was placed in the tomb to dawn on Sunday morning occupied only about 36 to 38 hours, a deficient period of time. And, to add resolute authority to their Wednesday opinion, some maintain that those 72 hours in the tomb represent the only sign that Christ was truly the Messiah. To deny that precise period of time is to overthrow the proof of the Savior himself in the only sign He gave that He was the Messiah! The truth is, however, this concept is carrying the matter too far. The fact is, however, Friday has good credentials, albeit with some difficulties here and there. But when Thursday is considered as a possible candidate, many of the difficulties involving Friday are ironed out, and the sensitivities of those demanding a Wednesday are also moderated. In this article, I show the weaknesses that abound in the Wednesday view, the difficulties attached to Friday, and how these problems are solved with a Thursday crucifixion. We will then let our readers decide for themselves which theory they prefer. What I want to do is to rightly evaluate the accounts that the writers of the Bible give us. We at ASK are convinced the biblical writers told the truth. We think this is possible to do! Problems of the Wednesday Theory It is the Wednesday theory that is the most vulnerable to positive criticism. It has deficiencies in almost any direction that a person looks. Thus, if one reckons back three days from a late Sunday afternoon, it would come out to a Friday for the crucifixion if that Sunday is numbered as the first day, or a Thursday if Sunday is not included. Look at it this way. Counting Methods We in our modern world often count things differently. Our American and British way of rendering such things is to exclude the day from which we start. Or, if the normal Jewish and Greek method of reckoning is used which almost always included the day from whence one started counting, then the first day for reckoning was the very day during which the disciples talked to Christ; the second day back would have been Saturday; and the third day back, a Friday. This may seem like an odd way of counting ordinal numbers, but this is clearly the manner which biblical personalities preferred. And even Christ himself gave such a reckoning. Nevertheless I must walk today, and tomorrow, and the day following. This is the common Jewish mode of inclusive dating of events. We will discuss the relevance of inclusive reckoning as having priority over our western view of using an exclusive method, later in this article. The case for the Wednesday theory is shipwrecked by this biblical indication alone. Its supporters have Christ placed in the tomb near sundown of Wednesday and resurrected exactly 72 hours later at the end of the weekly Sabbath. They even point to the Gospel of Matthew as promoting this belief. They usually maintain that the Thursday of crucifixion week was the high day Sabbath of Unleavened Bread, the Friday was an ordinary weekday, and at the end of the weekly Sabbath as it was beginning to dawn into Sunday that is, about 5: It was thus, to them, a near twilight of Saturday for the resurrection, not Sunday morning! The whole idea is nonsense. But if one will simply read the preceding section in Matthew And, if Matthew Notice what this fact does to the supposition that Christ was resurrected just as the sun was going down at the close of the weekly Sabbath. At that very time, according to Matthew, the chief priests and Pharisees were setting the guard before the tomb, and placing mortar around the stone to seal it. Since the authorities were very anxious to stop such a belief from developing, it is certainly very reasonable before they cemented the stone just after the weekly Sabbath had ended that they checked to see that the body of Christ was still there. Only with such security would they then have sealed the stone. Interestingly, it was the very charge that the disciples stole away the body by night that prevailed in the opinion of many Jews after the resurrection Matthew This again shows that the resurrection of Christ was

early Sunday morning, and in no way could it have occurred near sundown on Saturday evening. Wednesday Not Possible There is yet a third reason why a Wednesday crucifixion is out of the question. We have available absolute astronomical evidence that Nisan 14 the day of the crucifixion could not have occurred on a Wednesday from 29 C. It would involve an extra lunar month being added to the previous year when there was no need to do so. The fact is, Nisan 14 could have started at sundown on March 26th and would have lasted until sundown of March 27th. This period is after the Vernal Equinox a 1st century requirement for the celebration of Passover and it would have been a time when the barley would have been ripe enough to wave the necessary sheaves Leviticus And if the previous winter had been exceptionally cold and long, the mandatory sheaves of barley could have been obtained from the Jericho region where barley always became ripe in early March. And recall the area of Jericho was as much a part of the Holy Land as the region around Jerusalem and the barley fields were authorized ones for reaping the first sheaf. The point is, Nisan 14 in 31 C. This, of course, is nowhere near a Wednesday. And, in case someone still wants to insist for his theological beliefs that a month had to be added to the calendar in 31 C. Indeed, I can show that it is possible to figure the present Jewish calendar reckonings back at least to the middle of the 2nd century C. The Lunar-Solar calendar system of the Jews has often been misunderstood. Though certain rituals of observation of the moon and the state of the spring crops were supposed to be in operation during the time of Christ that caused the Jewish authorities in Palestine to postpone a new moon day or to add an extra month to the calendar year, these procedures were nothing more than antiquated rituals nostalgically performed in order to appease the traditionalists. They were no more significant than the modern ritual in the Parliament in London of yearly looking for the gunpowder that was to blow up the Parliament buildings by Guy Fawkes on November 5th, The ceremony in London for traditional purposes is still carried on to this day, though no one believes that any explosives from Guy Fawkes who lived in the 17th century are still in the basement of Parliament. And so it is with the rituals of watching for the crescents of new moons, and seeing if the spring barley was ripe in the Holy Land. All the new moons and thus all calendar dates of the year were known well in advance in the time of Christ. It is inconceivable that the Sanhedrin at Jerusalem which had to regulate the Lunar-Solar calendar for all the Jews scattered from the Spain to India did not have at their call competent astronomers who could have predicted any new moon, and the calendar years that went along with them, for years in advance. Why, even solar eclipses the most difficult of celestial occurrences to calculate were able to be predicted as early as the 5th century B. And as for the easier lunar eclipses, we have as one example alone the Roman military tribune Sulpicius Gallus in the 2nd century B. As early as the time of David, one was able to know beforehand the exact day of the new moon and in this case for the start of the new year. This shows that predicting the exact day for the occurrence of new moons was known at least a thousand years before the birth of Christ. It is a foregone conclusion that the times for the beginnings of all the ecclesiastical new years near springtime in Jerusalem in the time of Christ were known several years in advance. And it made no difference if the barley crops around Jerusalem were ripe or not, all the priests had to do was to obtain enough sheaves of barley to equal an omer of capacity about half a gallon from the area of Jericho where it was always ripe enough to officially begin each calculated year. It is sheer foolishness to suggest anything else as possible. Imagine the problem if the New Year depended on the state of the barley around Jerusalem. The Jews in foreign countries would not have known when to celebrate the springtime feasts at their proper times if all depended on whether the Land of Judaea at Jerusalem had such a long winter that the priests had to postpone the start of the year. The people throughout the Roman and Parthian worlds were no doubt told at least three years in advance or probably as much as eight or nineteen years before when the festivals would be held in Jerusalem. If such a standardized cycle for festival observance was not in force in the 1st century and if the calendar years were truly dependent solely upon the state of the late winter crops in the Holy Land , then the Romans, Greeks, Egyptians, Parthians, etc. Such, of course, was not the case. The Jewish authorities were as adept at astronomical matters as all the rest of the scientific world at the time. But what has all this to do with a Wednesday crucifixion for Christ? It makes the whole proposition completely untenable for a Wednesday, April 25, 31 C. We know from simple astronomical data that the only weekday possible for Nisan 14 in 31 C. When Could Nisan 14 Occur? Since a Wednesday crucifixion day is not possible from 29 C. Nisan 14 would

only have occurred on Monday, April 18th actually, the day commenced the evening before at sundown. We discussed 31 C. And in 33 C. First, a General Overview If it were not for the statement of Christ that his entombment was to last for three days and three nights Matthew About twenty-five years ago [in ] I began to understand the absurdity of the Wednesday crucifixion, which I had believed for twenty years in support of a denomination that demanded it. I then thought the only answer was the traditional Friday as the day of the crucifixion. However, the astute observations made by some associates of mine seemed to give Thursday good credentials. And when it was recognized that astronomy can now be used in evidence too, we feel it is time to put into the hands of our ASK supporters the information for a Thursday crucifixion. When one compares the evidence available, the Thursday theory has something to recommend it. But before we get into the matter of Thursday, it will be good to rehearse the evidence for a Friday. Believe me, that weekday is a strong contender and it cannot be lightly cast aside. Let us look at the evidence for Friday. The Friday Theory The most powerful warrant that a Friday crucifixion is correct is the persistent usage of inclusive reckoning for numbering days, months, years, and events in the Old and New Testaments. Again, understand what inclusive reckoning means when one starts counting anything in a series. Inclusive usage means it is essential to count the time or event current as number one in the sequence. Let me give a simple example from the Bible that can easily illustrate what this means. Now we in the western world would normally exclude Adam from being number one in our count. But this is not the way the Hebrews would reckon the matter. They always started with the events or the person current, that is, Adam is the first from Adam, and Enoch was the seventh from Adam by counting Adam as the first. The same thing can be found in the genealogy of Christ. Matthew records the fourteen generations from Abraham to David Matthew 1: This may seem odd to us westerners, because we would normally say that one generation from Abraham would be his son Isaac, and not for a moment would we think that Abraham is himself the first generation.

**2: Meeting Minutes | United States Courts**

, 87 Stat. 9) provided that the proposed rules "shall have no force or effect except to the extent, and with such amendments, as they may be expressly approved by Act of Congress".

Transcript This is a rush transcript. Copy may not be in its final form. This past Saturday, there were memorials held across the United States to mark the ninth anniversary of the attacks of September 11, September 11th, , when a US-backed coup led by General Augusto Pinochet ousted the democratically elected president, Salvador Allende. He died in the palace on that day. Welcome to Democracy Now! In fact, on Democracy Now! At the time, we did it at 9: And we were doing a special that day on the connection between terror and September 11th, , when Salvador Allende died in the palace. Tell us about that day. And this day, for the first time in history, of the Chilean history, the army revolted against the legitimate government. And this army overthrew the government and changed the regime and established, in place of the parliamentary democracy, a dictatorship, and through force, through massive arrests, through killings, [inaudible] " the president was Salvador Allende, a Democrat for forty years in the public life of Chile, a convinced Democrat, that fought until his last moment of life for defending the law and defending the freedom of all the Chilean citizens. So, you were in the palace. I was inside the palace. You were with Allende. The last adviser to be with him. Well, two hours after the attack, the president asked me to leave the palace in a moment in which fifteen minutes of truce. Before the airplanes attacked the palace, the army was put back. And in this moment, he ordered me to save my life. That is why we can speak now. What do you think? President was " the President Allende was willing to fight against the putschists, the revolter, the troops. He was a commander-in-chief. What happened in the last minutes, last seconds, he was killed by the revolters, the soldiers, or he killed himself with his last bullet, is indifferent. What is important is that he fought for preserving the freedom of his people. So you left Chile. You ultimately ended up in Spain, and you have made world history for trying to hold Augusto Pinochet accountable over all of these years. You are a crusading lawyer who, when Augusto Pinochet went for a medical appointment in Britain, succeeded in having the Spanish government demand his arrest, and hopefully " you wanted extradition to Spain, where he would stand trial for crimes against humanity. On what legal grounds were you able to do this in Spain? Let me explain that. And the [inaudible] international law that has been developing since is the law of the victors, the law of the democratic powers. And according to this law, crimes against humanity " genocide " should be punished, should be first prevented, or punished, if not prevented in time. So, Spain is my country, and what I have been looking for is to implement this law. And that is not easy, because sometimes courts of justice are not ready to apply the law as it is in the Constitution or it is in the law. Democracy as law is a fight for every day. So we are trying to help to exercise " to the people to exercise their rights and making accountable of their crimes, big crimes, to the highest levels of government that are implicated in making those crimes possible. But it was you who pushed, under developing this case against Pinochet, for the Clinton administration to declassify thousands of documents that proved this. What did you learn about our role, the US role, in Chile? So, I thank the US Congress and the US executive, under Clinton administration, to decide to put those classified documents, available now via our internet, as a clear message that this should not be done. And I hope that the message is understood, because we are now living in a period of trouble, economic, social trouble. We know that that will mean challenge for democracy in every country in the world, including inside the United States. There will be people ready to sacrifice freedoms and liberties under the message of the order in the economy, and people are ready to organize massive killings under this pretext. That has happened already in history, and we should prevent that that happens again. And for that, we will " we should alert the population. He has now been suspended, though he gained world fame for pursuing Pinochet, among others, and is under siege in your own country, in Spain. Ultimately, Pinochet did get back to Chile, on the grounds that he was, what, suffering dementia or he was too sick, but do you still feel it was a victory, what you did, keeping him in Britain for over a year? There was a legal battle in the courts of justice in Spain and the United Kingdom. And the outcome of this judicial battle was that the extradition was granted by the

House of Lords, the highest court in the United Kingdom, to Spain. So the legal case was won by those that asked for implementing the international law against crimes for genocide and against humanity. Now, this is a fight, a universal fight, where we have coalitions, informal coalitions or formal coalitions that are against impunity or for impunity. When Pinochet was arrested in London, people as the Pope, the Catholic Pope, as Kissinger, the other people in the world, were mobilizing to put pressure over the courts and the government of the United Kingdom to put Pinochet in a plane and send him freed for Chile. And there was another informal coalition, universal, that was " wanted to put him on trial. These coalitions are still " are always acting. And another one that said, well, the law is there for to be implemented. So we need judges ready to apply the law. And this is the current fight inside Spain, with a difference, that the Spanish judiciary are under the judicial authority, jurisdiction, of the European Court of Human Rights, that has a constant jurisprudence saying that the states are under the obligation to inquire and to put on trial the people that are responsible for crimes of genocide. So, this is a permanent fight, and that will continue, because both " tendency is that both coalitions always in fight, one against the other. Remember, this year of That was the beginning of the World War II. During the war, Hitler asked his generals to be ready to invade Poland, and not only to occupy the territory, but to exterminate the population in those territories, because German population should replace this population. Thousands of people will be killed, and there will be blame for us. Twenty years ago was a massacre of Armenians. More than one million Armenians were massacred by the Turkish, in the Turkish Empire. Who remembers now the Armenians? And around 2, " more than 2, people " , people " more than , people were killed or disappeared. But simultaneously with their killings, the courts of justice were being closed to investigate those crimes. For investigating the crimes of Franco. And the whole judicial system wanted to crush the judge there. And he has been suspended as a result. You actually fear for his life right now. And that is real power, those gangs, what is behind that. And those people that have been arrested by him, put on trial by him, want him. And this, I fear for him. I fear for him. Well, I talk about the others. Well, we will certainly continue to follow this case. Just in thirty seconds, if you could say, what are the crimes against humanity that you feel General Franco committed that you want pursued right now? Those crimes " these kind of crimes are indescribable. And there are still people in Spain alive that were a participant in those crimes. And what we want to show to the Spanish population is that if they want to build in a strong democracy that could be " with a possibility to resist any wave of crimes of this nature, they should know what happened during the dictatorship and become conscious of that, in order to not only to punish the people that are still alive of committing the crimes, but also preventing. That is the most important, to prevent new crimes of this order. He won it in He is a crusading attorney in Spain, the sole surviving personal adviser to Salvador Allende, who died in the Chilean palace in Santiago, September 11th, Please attribute legal copies of this work to democracynow. Some of the work s that this program incorporates, however, may be separately licensed. For further information or additional permissions, contact us. Next story from this daily show.

### 3: Torso () - IMDb

*Note: Citations are based on reference standards. However, formatting rules can vary widely between applications and fields of interest or study. The specific requirements or preferences of your reviewing publisher, classroom teacher, institution or organization should be applied.*

Three days later, it was made public during the televised testimony of Butterfield, when he was asked about the possibility of a White House taping system by Senate Counsel Fred Thompson. Saturday Night Massacre President Nixon initially refused to release the tapes, for two reasons: While playing the tape on a Uher, she answered a phone call. Reaching for the Uher stop button, she said that she mistakenly hit the button next to it, the record button. She later insisted that she was not responsible for the remaining 13 minutes of buzz. The contents missing from the recording remain unknown, though the gap occurs during a conversation between Nixon and H. Seated at a desk, she reached far back over her left shoulder for a telephone as her foot applied pressure to the pedal controlling the transcription machine. Her posture during the demonstration, dubbed the "Rose Mary Stretch", resulted in many political commentators questioning the validity of the explanation. He said that when he later heard that 18 minutes were missing, "I practically blew my stack. Years later, former White House Chief of Staff Alexander Haig speculated that the erasures may conceivably have been caused by Nixon himself. In , Haig had speculated aloud that the erasure was caused by an unidentified "sinister force". He claimed that it was an intensive investigation but came up empty. The panel was supplied with the Evidence Tape, the seven tape recorders from the Oval Office and Executive Office Building, and the two Uher recorders. One Uher was marked "Secret Service". The other was accompanied by a foot pedal, respectively labeled Government Exhibit 60 and 60B. The panel determined that the buzz was of no consequence, and that the gap was due to erasure [26] performed on the Exhibit 60 Uher. The final report, dated May 31, , found these other explanations did not contradict the original findings. Sirica, acting on a request from Jaworski, issued a subpoena for the tapes of 64 presidential conversations to use as evidence in the criminal cases against indicted former Nixon administration officials. Nixon refused, and Jaworski appealed to the U. Supreme Court to force Nixon to turn over the tapes. On July 24, , the Supreme Court ordered Nixon to release the tapes. Nixon found that President Nixon was wrong in arguing that courts are compelled to honor, without question, any presidential claim of executive privilege. One of those tapes was the so-called "smoking gun" [35] tape, from June 23, , six days after the Watergate break-in. Walters, Deputy Director, and ask them to request L. The special prosecutor felt that Nixon, in so agreeing, had entered into a criminal conspiracy whose goal was the obstruction of justice. The ten Republicans on the House Judiciary Committee who had voted against impeachment in committee announced that they would now vote for impeachment once the matter reached the House floor. He lacked substantial support in the Senate as well; Barry Goldwater and Hugh Scott estimated no more than 15 Senators were willing to even consider acquittal. Facing certain impeachment in the House of Representatives and equally certain conviction in the Senate, Nixon announced his resignation on the evening of Thursday, August 8, , effective as of noon the next day. From the time that the federal government seized his records until his death, Nixon was locked in frequent legal battles over control of the tapes; Nixon argued that the act was unconstitutional in that it violated the constitutional principles of separation of powers and executive privilege, and infringed on his personal privacy rights and First Amendment right of association. He initially lost several cases, [39] but the courts ruled in that some hours and 42 million pages of documents were his personal private property and had to be returned to his estate. Archived from the original on December 15, Retrieved December 30,

**4: A Texas Man Became the 17th Inmate Executed This Year**

*MAY 17, - PUBLIC HEARING Minutes of a Public Hearing of the Town Board of the Town of Somers held on Thursday evening May 17, at PM at the Town House, Route , Somers, New York.*

Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit is seen in Washington. Conservatives who care about the court say they have no such worry this time around. Court of Appeals, Eleventh Circuit speaks in Washington. Neil Gorsuch, Thomas Hardiman and William Pryor are the three federal appeals court judges who are seen as the leading candidates. Each was appointed to the appellate bench by President George W. Circuit Court of Appeals in Denver, where he has made a name for himself as a facile writer. If chosen, he would be the first justice to serve with a colleague for whom he once worked. He was confirmed by a voice vote in Gorsuch has written majority opinions and 65 concurrences or dissents in his decade on the 10th Circuit, according to Rebecca Love Kourlis, a former Colorado Supreme Court justice. Gorsuch also is a notable advocate for simplifying the justice system to make it more accessible, Kourlis said. Gorsuch is also an avid skier, fly fisherman and horseback rider, Kourlis said. Circuit Court of Appeals. He drove a taxi to support himself during his undergraduate years at the University of Notre Dame. He received his law degree from Georgetown University. Hardiman became a partner in a major law firm at age 30 and a federal district judge at The Senate confirmed him to his current job in April His prominent opinions on the appeals court include siding with jails seeking to strip search inmates arrested for even minor offenses and backing the collection of genetic evidence from people at the time of their arrest. Hardiman has supported gun rights, dissenting in a case that upheld a New Jersey law to strengthen requirements to carry a handgun in public. In earlier oral arguments. Hardiman was raised in Waltham, Massachusetts, and eventually settled in Pittsburgh, where his wife comes from a family of prominent Democrats. The Hardimans have three children. He was confirmed by a vote in , part of a bipartisan deal to limit Senate delays of appellate nominations. Pryor has a reputation as staunch conservative. He once called the landmark Roe v. Wade decision legalizing abortion the "worst abomination in the history of constitutional law. He also ruled that a Supreme Court decision banning automatic life sentences for juvenile defendants should not be applied to older cases. The high court later disagreed, ruling that the decision did apply retroactively. On religious freedom, in , he wrote a separate opinion in favor of a Catholic television station that objected to the contraception coverage mandate of the Affordable Care Act. The son of two teachers, Pryor traced the roots of his conservatism to his staunch Catholic upbringing. He said the abortion ruling, handed down when he was a boy, influenced his decision to become a Republican and a lawyer. He was a law clerk to Judge John Minor Wisdom, whose rulings helped end segregation.

## 5: Watergate scandal - Wikipedia

*Political and Constitutional Reform Committee - Minutes of Evidence [Back to Report] Parliament's role in conflict decisions Here you can browse the Minutes of Evidence which were ordered by the House of Commons to be printed 10 May*

Carl Isaacs executed Convicted murderer Carl Isaacs has been scheduled to die by lethal injection, after the U. Supreme Court upheld his death sentence, the Georgia attorney general said. The Supreme Court denied his appeal Monday, ending his last chance to escape the death penalty. Isaacs, convicted as the ringleader of the Alday family murders in Seminole County, has been on death row for 30 years. Isaacs, 49, and two other men, George Dungee and Wayne Coleman, were convicted and sentenced to die in But a federal appeals court granted them a new trial on grounds that pretrial publicity and community outrage prevented them from getting a fair trial. Isaacs was convicted again and sentenced to die after a trial in Houston County Superior Court, but Dungee and Coleman had their sentences reduced to life in prison. Isaacs appealed again in to the 11th U. Circuit Court of Appeals, claiming his rights were violated 32 times during the retrial. But that court upheld his death sentence last year. In May of , Carl Isaacs escaped from a Maryland penal institution and, accompanied by his younger brother Billy Isaacs, his half-brother Wayne Coleman and a friend, George Dungee, drove to Florida. On the afternoon of May 14, , they were in Seminole County, Georgia, and their car was almost out of gas. They thought they saw a gas pump behind the rural mobile home belonging to Jerry Alday and Mary Alday and stopped to investigate it. They discovered there was no pump; however, the trailer was empty, and they decided to burglarize it. Dungee remained in the car while Carl Isaacs and Wayne Coleman entered the trailer. While they were inside, Billy Isaacs warned them two men were approaching in a jeep. Jerry Alday and his father Ned Alday pulled in behind the trailer, unaware that it was being burglarized. Carl Isaacs met them and ordered them inside at gunpoint. After their pockets were emptied, Jerry Alday was taken into the south bedroom of the trailer while Ned was taken to the north bedroom. He was taken into the living room and forced to lie on the sofa. Carl Isaacs shot and killed him. Carl Isaacs entered the trailer behind her and accosted her. Leaving Coleman and Dungee to watch Mary Alday, Carl and Billy Isaacs went outside to confront the two men, and forced them at gunpoint into the trailer. Once inside, Aubrey was taken to the south bedroom where Carl Isaacs shot and killed him, while Chester Alday was taken to the north bedroom and killed by Coleman. Coleman and Carl Isaacs raped Mary Alday on her kitchen table. Afterward, they drove to a heavily wooded area several miles away where Mary Alday was raped again. They stole another car there, and were arrested a few days later in West Virginia, in possession of guns later identified as the murder weapons, and property belonging to the victims. After his original trial, Carl Isaacs was interviewed by a film maker who was producing a documentary about the case. These admissions were introduced in evidence at the retrial. A community left hanging for almost three decades by the legal tap dance of a convicted killer trying to evade execution will soon close a tragic chapter of its history. Carl Isaacs, 49, has been on death row since Supreme Court denied his final appeal and Isaacs, convicted as the ringleader of the massacre of the Alday family, is now scheduled to die by lethal injection on May 6. The Gateway Restaurant on U. Highway 84 serves as a gathering place for Donalsonville residents to swap the latest news. Local residents sitting there Wednesday said it was high time justice was served. They should have let him die then. Isaacs, his stepbrother Wayne Coleman, and George Dungee were convicted in for the murder of the Aldays and sentenced to die. The three received retrials in another Georgia county in Isaacs was again sentenced to die, but Coleman and Dungee had their sentences reduced to life. Isaacs appealed his sentence in , claiming his rights were violated 32 times during the retrial. Other Donalsonville residents also expressed their frustration with the lengthy appeals process Isaacs has gone through but said they were relieved justice would soon finally be served. Register said the retrial angered local residents, who he said gave Isaacs and his gang a fair trial. A daughter-in-law was raped and killed by the gang. Just about everyone in Donalsonville has some connection to the Aldays, and thus to the crime. She said the tragedy changed the small farming community forever, as people who never worried about locking their doors learned the meaning of fear. Things like that happened in

other states. Many family members and friends of the slain family have died in the almost 30 years Isaacs has been on death row. Over the years surviving, members of the Alday family have expressed bitterness over the length of time it has taken to get Isaacs into the Georgia death house. In a letter to the editor of a local newspaper in , Faye Alday Barber, the daughter of Ned Alday, said there was something wrong with a legal system. She wrote that her family had become the victims of "legal plunder" and a justice system that acted like a "predator. For 25 years my family has pursued justice," Barber wrote. Our courts and legislators are nothing but vandals at the gates of justice. It took them a quarter of a century, but they beat us; they won. Like Pontius Pilate, they simply washed their hands of innocent blood. We lost our family, our farms, and our heritage. He became so thin that when it rained, he could have crawled under a honeysuckle vine to keep from getting wet. A veterinarian said Tub grieved himself to death. That dog had more compassion for my family than our courts. They are remembered with gray marble headstones. Seminole County Sherriff Jerry Godby, who knew the Aldays before he became sheriff, said they were a hardworking family that had raised peanuts, cotton, corn, wheat and soybeans and also had raised hogs. Godby said he has asked to witness the execution of Isaacs. If executed, Isaacs will die eight days from the 30th anniversary of the slayings. Asked what he thought of the nearly year wait to get Isaacs into the death house, Godby said: The proposal was inspired by the family of Ken Alday, who was killed in Seminole County in

6: Sorry, this content is not available in your region.

*On November 17, , the White House informed Federal District Judge John Sirica that the 18 1/2 minute Nixon-Haldeman conversation of June 20, , had been erased.*

Howard Hunt and G. Gordon Liddy, who led the Watergate break-in team, were stationed in a Watergate Hotel room while the burglary was underway. A lookout was posted across the street at the Howard Johnson Hotel. During the break-in, Hunt and Liddy would remain in contact with each other and with the burglars by radio. According to Dean, this marked "the opening scene of the worst political scandal of the twentieth century and the beginning of the end of the Nixon presidency". Liddy was nominally in charge of the operation, but has since insisted that he was duped by both Dean and at least two of his subordinates, which included former CIA officers E. Baldwin III to carry out the wiretapping and monitor the telephone conversations afterward. Gordon Liddy and E. Howard Hunt , [22] McCord and his team of burglars prepared for their first Watergate break-in, [23] which began on May At the time, Oliver was working as the executive director of the Association of State Democratic Chairmen. He removed the tape, thinking nothing of it. When he returned a short time later and discovered that someone had retaped the locks, he called the police. Responding to the call was an unmarked car with three plainclothes officers working the overnight "bum squad" - dressed as hippies and on the lookout for drug deals and other street crimes. By the time Baldwin noticed unusual activity on the sixth floor and radioed the burglars, it was already too late. The burglars were tried by a jury, with Judge John Sirica officiating, and pled guilty or were convicted on January 30, Nixon administration officials were concerned because Hunt and Liddy were also involved in a separate secret activity known as the " White House Plumbers ", which was set up to stop security " leaks " and investigate other sensitive security matters. Ehrlichman subsequently denied this. Watergate prosecutor James Neal was sure that Nixon had not known in advance of the break-in. As evidence, he cited a conversation taped on June 23 between the President and his Chief of Staff, H. Haldeman , in which Nixon asked, "Who was the asshole that did? Nixon furthermore said, "I can say categorically that Please help improve this article by adding citations to reliable sources. Unsourced material may be challenged and removed. March Learn how and when to remove this template message On June 19, , the press reported that one of the Watergate burglars was a Republican Party security aide. Those individuals were the Committee bookkeeper and its treasurer, Hugh Sloan. As a private organization, the committee followed normal business practice in allowing only duly authorized individuals to accept and endorse checks on behalf of the Committee. No financial institution could accept or process a check on behalf of the committee unless a duly authorized individual endorsed it. However, once Sloan had endorsed a check made payable to the Committee, he had a legal and fiduciary responsibility to see that the check was deposited only into the accounts named on the check. Sloan failed to do that. When confronted with the potential charge of federal bank fraud, he revealed that committee deputy director Jeb Magruder and finance director Maurice Stans had directed him to give the money to G. Liddy, in turn, gave the money to Barker, and attempted to hide its origin. Barker tried to disguise the funds by depositing them into accounts in banks outside of the United States. What Barker, Liddy, and Sloan did not know was that the complete record of all such transactions were held for roughly six months. All five Watergate burglars were directly or indirectly tied to the CRP, thus causing Judge Sirica to suspect a conspiracy involving higher-echelon government officials. On October 10, the FBI reported the Watergate break-in was part of a massive campaign of political spying and sabotage on behalf of the Nixon re-election committee. Role of the media The connection between the break-in and the re-election committee was highlighted by media coverageâ€”in particular, investigative coverage by The Washington Post , Time , and The New York Times. The coverage dramatically increased publicity and consequent political and legal repercussions. Relying heavily upon anonymous sources , Post reporters Bob Woodward and Carl Bernstein uncovered information suggesting that knowledge of the break-in, and attempts to cover it up, led deeply into the upper reaches of the Justice Department, FBI, CIA, and the White House. Woodward and Bernstein interviewed Judy Hoback Miller , the bookkeeper for Nixon, who revealed to them information about the

mishandling of funds and records being destroyed. Felt warned Woodward that the FBI wanted to know where he and other reporters were getting their information, as they were uncovering a wider web of crimes than the FBI first disclosed. All of the secret meetings between Woodward and Felt took place at an underground parking garage somewhere in Rosslyn over a period from June to January. During this early period, most of the media failed to grasp the full implications of the scandal, and concentrated reporting on other topics related to the presidential election. After the Post revealed that H. Time magazine described Nixon as undergoing "daily hell and very little trust. In , the White House requested an audit of the tax return of the editor of Newsday , after he wrote a series of articles about the financial dealings of Charles "Bebe" Rebozo , a friend of Nixon. Nixon created a new conspiracy to effect a cover-up of the cover-up which began in late March and became fully formed in May and June , operating until his presidency ended on August 9, Trying to make them talk, Sirica gave Hunt and two burglars provisional sentences of up to 40 years. On April 13, Magruder told U. Dean wanted to protect the president and have his four closest men take the fall for telling the truth. It was during this meeting that Dean felt that he was being recorded. Dean mentioned this observation while testifying to the Senate Committee on Watergate, exposing the thread of what were taped conversations that would unravel the fabric of the conspiracy. On that same day, U. They were later both indicted, convicted, and ultimately sentenced to prison. He asked for the resignation of Attorney General Kleindienst, to ensure no one could claim that his innocent friendship with Haldeman and Ehrlichman could be construed as a conflict. He fired White House Counsel John Dean, who went on to testify before the Senate Watergate Committee and said that he believed and suspected the conversations in the Oval Office were being taped. This information became the bombshell that helped force Richard Nixon to resign rather than be impeached. In one of the most difficult decisions of my Presidency, I accepted the resignations of two of my closest associates in the White House, Bob Haldeman, John Ehrlichman, two of the finest public servants it has been my privilege to know. Because Attorney General Kleindienst, though a distinguished public servant, my personal friend for 20 years, with no personal involvement whatsoever in this matter has been a close personal and professional associate of some of those who are involved in this case, he and I both felt that it was also necessary to name a new Attorney General. The Counsel to the President , John Dean, has also resigned. In May , Richardson named Archibald Cox to the position.

### 7: Nixon White House tapes - Wikipedia

*Executive Order Creating an emergency board to investigate a dispute between the Port Authority Trans-Hudson Corporation and certain of its employees Signed: January 2, Federal Register page and date: 38 FR ; January 4, Revoked by: EO , February 25, Executive Order Further providing for the stabilization of the economy.*

### 8: The Case for a Thursday Crucifixion

*The year-old Clark became the 17th inmate put to death this year in the U.S. and the ninth given a lethal injection in Texas, the nation's busiest capital punishment state. Clark is the first.*

### 9: Arkansas Judiciary |

*The list includes cases in which the release occurred or later. See also DPIC's Innocence Database -Searchable database of all exonerations since - allows you to search and sort for cases by year, state, race, and other variables.*

*Patil, B. Mahavira : prophet of non-violence. A\_handbook\_of\_data collection tools. Original Farmall hundred series tractors, 1954-1958 Shaping of Cambridge botany Hunger of memory chapter 1 From land and sea Financial numbers games chapter 1 19. Southern Strategy 315 Windows NT 4.0 visual desk reference Major problems in american immigration and ethnic history The Antiques directory. Electronic noses sensors for the detection of explosives Last Boat to Astrakhan Changing compensation structures Acting for singers Barrier of Spears The taming of Leviathan. Feeding the horse Smithsonian steps out Rev. Dr. David O.V. Lot O is for Oval, Oswald and Osama The blood of his servants Part I: Society and culture. Stephen Lassonde AutoCAD Release 14 Update Training Instructor Guide German V. [2]. Sacramentary. Reflection on the nature of princes Manual documentation summary : it has to be you. ZENU U ATU And The ROSY CROSS Adobe default mode The Twin Pillars of the Christian Life Jax Fish House book of fish F.A. Daviss Practice Guide for the Radiography Examination 50 Receiver r-f amplifier 72 Hard cases in wicked legal systems Bc science 6 workbook answer key Advanced medical systems : the 3rd century High school printable assignment sheet Visitor counter project without microcontroller Roads to Hilton Head Island Introduction : war without exits*