

## 1: Rule 60 - Relief from a Judgment or Order | Federal Rules of Civil Procedure

*(a) Clerical Mistakes. Clerical mistakes in judgments, decrees, or other parts of the record and errors therein arising from oversight or omission may be corrected by the court at any time on its own initiative or on the motion of any party and after such notice, if any, as the court orders.*

Relief from judgments a Collateral attack. A judgment void on its face may be attacked in any court by any person. In all other instances, judgments shall be subject to attack only by a direct proceeding brought for that purpose in one of the methods prescribed in this Code section. A judgment may be attacked by motion for a new trial or motion to set aside. Judgments may be attacked by motion only in the court of rendition. A motion for new trial must be predicated upon some intrinsic defect which does not appear upon the face of the record or pleadings. A motion to set aside may be brought to set aside a judgment based upon: Under this paragraph, it is not sufficient that the complaint or other pleading fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, but the pleadings must affirmatively show no claim in fact existed. The use of a complaint in equity to set aside a judgment is prohibited. Reasonable notice shall be afforded the parties on all motions. Motions to set aside judgments may be served by any means by which an original complaint may be legally served if it cannot be legally served as any other motion. A judgment void because of lack of jurisdiction of the person or subject matter may be attacked at any time. Motions for new trial must be brought within the time prescribed by law. In all other instances, all motions to set aside judgments shall be brought within three years from entry of the judgment complained of. Clerical mistakes in judgments, orders, or other parts of the record and errors therein arising from oversight or omission may be corrected by the court at any time of its own initiative or on the motion of any party and after such notice, if any, as the court orders. The law of the case rule is abolished; but generally judgments and orders shall not be set aside or modified without just cause and, in setting aside or otherwise modifying judgments and orders, the court shall consider whether rights have vested thereunder and whether or not innocent parties would be injured thereby; provided, however, that any ruling by the Supreme Court or the Court of Appeals in a case shall be binding in all subsequent proceedings in that case in the lower court and in the Supreme Court or the Court of Appeals as the case may be. These codes may not be the most recent version. Georgia may have more current or accurate information. We make no warranties or guarantees about the accuracy, completeness, or adequacy of the information contained on this site or the information linked to on the state site. Please check official sources.

## 2: Defaults and Final Judgments Thereon – Florida Rules of Civil Procedure

*The final sentence of former Rule 60(b) said that the procedure for obtaining any relief from a judgment was by motion as prescribed in the Civil Rules or by an independent action. That provision is deleted as unnecessary.*

Request for interrogatories Note Some people have trouble opening a file. If you cannot open a file, try "right clicking". If you still have problems getting the file, Contact us. You need a PDF viewer to see this file. Answer -If you got a summons and complaint from a creditor, you can use this form to respond. This is the form where you give your defenses and counterclaims. Learn more about a legal Answer. Or, use our online interview that asks you questions. You will get an Answer that you can save on your computer, print and take to court. Cease and Desist Letter - If you do not want a debt collector to call you, send them this Cease and Desist letter. See Debt Collectors to learn if you can use this letter. See a sample Cease and Desist Letter. Collection Evidence Record Debt Collection Tracker Worksheet- If creditors or debt collectors are calling you, and harrassing you, use this debt collection tracker sheet to track their calls. Letter to Creditor Requesting Verification of Debt Investigate the error in my credit report letter - If a credit reporting agency is reporting something wrong, send this letter to the agency. See What can I do about a problem on my credit report? See How do I get discovery? The plaintiff must respond to the request for production of documents within 30 days of when you serve, or mail the request. See What happens if the plaintiff does not give me responses to my discovery requests? Request for Interrogatories - Interrogatories are questions you can ask the plaintiff to get information about their case against you. The plaintiff must give you responses to the request for interrogatories within 45 days of when you mailed the request. Request for Admissions- Admissions are statements you give to the plaintiff to find out if they are true. The plaintiff must give you responses to your request for admissions within 30 days. Final Request for Interrogatories - If the plaintiff does not respond to your Interrogatory, send them a final request. If they do not respond within the 40 day deadline you can send the court an application for entry of final judgment or dismissal. Motion to Compel - If the opposing party does not give you the documents you requested, you can file this motion for order compelling discovery. Motion to Dismiss - If the plaintiff does not give you the documents you requested, and you already got an order from the judge compelling discovery, you can file this motion to dismiss. Motion to Remove Default - If the court enters a judgement against you because you did not do something you were supposed to, you can file a motion to remove default to ask the judge to reopen your case. See What is a Default Judgment and what do I do? Motion for Relief from Judgment pro se Application for Judgment and Dismissal re Interrogatories - If the other side does not give you answers to your interrogatory questions , and you have sent a final request for interrogatories, you can send this application for entry of dismissal.

## 3: Civil Procedure Rule Relief from judgment or order | [www.enganchecubano.com](http://www.enganchecubano.com)

*A motion for relief from judgment must be made within a reasonable time. For reasons (1), (2), and (3) a motion for relief from judgment must be made no more than a year after the entry of the judgment or order or the date of the proceeding.*

Relief from a Judgment or Order Rule The court may correct a clerical mistake or a mistake arising from oversight or omission whenever one is found in a judgment, order, or other part of the record. The court may do so on motion or on its own, with or without notice. On motion and just terms, the court may relieve a party or its legal representative from a final judgment, order, or proceeding for the following reasons: A motion under Rule 60 b must be made within a reasonable time and for reasons 1, 2, and 3 no more than a year after the entry of the judgment or order or the date of the proceeding. The following are abolished: Notes As amended Dec. For an example of a very liberal provision for the correction of clerical errors and for amendment after judgment, see Va. Note to Subdivision b. Application to the court under this subdivision does not extend the time for taking an appeal, as distinguished from the motion for new trial. This section is based upon Calif. For the independent action to relieve against mistake, etc. The amendment incorporates the view expressed in *Perlman v. Some courts have thought that upon the taking of an appeal the district court lost its power to act. When promulgated, the rules contained a number of provisions, including those found in Rule 60 b, describing the practice by a motion to obtain relief from judgments, and these rules, coupled with the reservation in Rule 60 b of the right to entertain a new action to relieve a party from a judgment, were generally supposed to cover the field. Since the rules have been in force, decisions have been rendered that the use of bills of review, coram nobis, or audita querela, to obtain relief from final judgments is still proper, and that various remedies of this kind still exist although they are not mentioned in the rules and the practice is not prescribed in the rules. It is obvious that the rules should be complete in this respect and define the practice with respect to any existing rights or remedies to obtain relief from final judgments. For extended discussion of the old common law writs and equitable remedies, the interpretation of Rule 60, and proposals for change, see Moore and Rogers, Federal Relief from Civil Judgments 55 Yale L. The reconstruction of Rule 60 b has for one of its purposes a clarification of this situation. Two types of procedure to obtain relief from judgments are specified in the rules as it is proposed to amend them. One procedure is by motion in the court and in the action in which the judgment was rendered. The other procedure is by a new or independent action to obtain relief from a judgment, which action may or may not be begun in the court which rendered the judgment. Various rules, such as the one dealing with a motion for new trial and for amendment of judgments, Rule 59, one for amended findings, Rule 52, and one for judgment notwithstanding the verdict, Rule 50 b, and including the provisions of Rule 60 b as amended, prescribe the various types of cases in which the practice by motion is permitted. In each case there is a limit upon the time within which resort to a motion is permitted, and this time limit may not be enlarged under Rule 6 b. If the right to make a motion is lost by the expiration of the time limits fixed in these rules, the only other procedural remedy is by a new or independent action to set aside a judgment upon those principles which have heretofore been applied in such an action. Where the independent action is resorted to, the limitations of time are those of laches or statutes of limitations. The Committee has endeavored to ascertain all the remedies and types of relief heretofore available by coram nobis, coram vobis, audita querela, bill of review, or bill in the nature of a bill of review. It endeavored then to amend the rules to permit, either by motion or by independent action, the granting of various kinds of relief from judgments which were permitted in the federal courts prior to the adoption of these rules, and the amendment concludes with a provision abolishing the use of bills of review and the other common law writs referred to, and requiring the practice to be by motion or by independent action. To illustrate the operation of the amendment, it will be noted that under Rule 59 b as it now stands, without amendment, a motion for new trial on the ground of newly discovered evidence is permitted within ten days after the entry of the judgment, or after that time upon leave of the court. It is proposed to amend Rule 59 b by providing that under that rule a motion for new trial shall be served not later than ten days after the entry of the judgment, whatever the ground be for the motion, whether error by the court or newly discovered evidence. On the other hand, one of*

the purposes of the bill of review in equity was to afford relief on the ground of newly discovered evidence long after the entry of the judgment. Therefore, to permit relief by a motion similar to that heretofore obtained on bill of review, Rule 60 b as amended permits an application for relief to be made by motion, on the ground of newly discovered evidence, within one year after judgment. Such a motion under Rule 60 b does not affect the finality of the judgment, but a motion under Rule 59, made within 10 days, does affect finality and the running of the time for appeal. If these various amendments, including principally those to Rule 60 b , accomplish the purpose for which they are intended, the federal rules will deal with the practice in every sort of case in which relief from final judgments is asked, and prescribe the practice. With reference to the question whether, as the rules now exist, relief by coram nobis, bills of review, and so forth, is permissible, the generally accepted view is that the remedies are still available, although the precise relief obtained in a particular case by use of these ancillary remedies is shrouded in ancient lore and mystery. *South Atlantic Steamship Co. Fraud*, whether intrinsic or extrinsic, misrepresentation, or other misconduct of an adverse party are express grounds for relief by motion under amended subdivision b. There is no sound reason for their exclusion. The incorporation of fraud and the like within the scope of the rule also removes confusion as to the proper procedure. On the other hand, it has been suggested that in view of the fact that fraud was omitted from original Rule 60 b as a ground for relief, an independent action was the only proper remedy. The amendment settles this problem by making fraud an express ground for relief by motion; and under the saving clause, fraud may be urged as a basis for relief by independent action insofar as established doctrine permits. And the rule expressly does not limit the power of the court, when fraud has been perpetrated upon it, to give relief under the saving clause. As an illustration of this situation, see *Hazel-Atlas Glass Co.* The time limit for relief by motion in the court and in the action in which the judgment was rendered has been enlarged from six months to one year. It should be noted that Rule 60 b does not assume to define the substantive law as to the grounds for vacating judgments, but merely prescribes the practice in proceedings to obtain relief. Notes of Advisory Committee on Rulesâ€™ Amendment The amendment substitutes the present statutory reference. No substantive change is intended. Committee Notes on Rulesâ€™ Amendment The language of Rule 60 has been amended as part of the general restyling of the Civil Rules to make them more easily understood and to make style and terminology consistent throughout the rules. These changes are intended to be stylistic only. The final sentence of former Rule 60 b said that the procedure for obtaining any relief from a judgment was by motion as prescribed in the Civil Rules or by an independent action. That provision is deleted as unnecessary. Relief continues to be available only as provided in the Civil Rules or by independent action.

**4: Motion to Vacate Final Judgment Response | Massey & Duffy: Gainesville & Ocala FL Attorneys**

*(b) Grounds for Relief from a Final Judgment, Order, or Proceeding. On motion and just terms, the court may relieve a party or its legal representative from a final judgment, order, or proceeding for the following reasons.*

Table of Contents a Clerical mistakes Clerical mistakes in judgments, orders or other parts of the record and errors therein arising from oversight or omission may be corrected by the court at any time of its own initiative or on the motion of any party and after such notice, if any, as the court orders. During the pendency of an appeal, such mistakes may be so corrected before the appeal is docketed in the appellate court, and thereafter while the appeal is pending may be so corrected with leave of the appellate court. On motion and upon such terms as are just, the court may relieve a party or his legal representative from a final judgment, order, or proceeding for the following reasons: The motion shall be made within a reasonable time, and for reasons 1 , 2 , and 3 not more than one year after the judgment, order or proceeding was entered or taken. A motion under this subdivision b does not affect the finality of a judgment or suspend its operation. This rule does not limit the power of a court to entertain an independent action to relieve a party from a judgment, order, or proceeding, or to set aside a judgment for fraud upon the court. Writs of review, of error, of audita querela, and petitions to vacate judgment are abolished, and the procedure for obtaining any relief from a judgment shall be by motion as prescribed in these rules or by an independent action. Since the text of the Massachusetts Rules of Civil Procedure does not refer to motions for reconsideration, a motion for reconsideration, if served within ten days of judgment, could have been treated as a motion under Rule 59 for new trial or to alter or amend judgment or as a motion under Rule 60 b for relief from judgment. If treated as a Rule 59 motion, the motion for reconsideration would have operated to toll the time period to claim an appeal. If treated as a Rule 60 b motion, the motion for reconsideration would not have served to toll the time period to claim an appeal. Included in Rule 60 b are all possible grounds for relief from a final judgment. A motion under Rule 60 b performs the same function as the former Massachusetts procedures of writ of review, writ of error, writ of audita querela and petition to vacate judgment. As will be noted below, Rule 60 preserves the substance of these remedies. But with the adoption of Rule 60, the relief is available through simple "motion" under Rule 60 b. In addition, Rule 60 does not prohibit the court from entertaining an independent action to relieve a party from a judgment. *Farmers Co-operative Elevator Association v. Further*, because a Rule 60 b motion does not affect the finality of the judgment, it does not toll the time for taking an appeal. Rule 60 a is limited to the correction of purely clerical errors. Errors within the purview of Rule 60 a include "misprisions, oversights, omissions, unintended acts or failures to act. *National Airlines, F.* In effect, Rule 60 a merely seeks to ensure that the record of judgment reflects what actually took place. Substantive errors or mistakes are outside the scope of Rule 60 a. *United States, F.* Further, Rule 60 a does not apply unless the mistake springs from some oversight or omission; it does not cover mistakes which result from deliberate action. The word "record" in Rule 60 a refers not only to process, pleadings, and verdict but also to evidentiary documents, testimony taken, instructions to the jury, and all other matters pertaining to the case of which there is a written record. Rule 60 a covers mistakes or errors of the clerk, the court, the jury, or a party. The taking of an appeal does not divest the trial court of power to correct errors. Rule 60 b affords a "Party or his legal representative" a means of obtaining substantial relief from a "final judgment, order or proceeding. They remain subject to the complete power of the court rendering them to afford such relief from them as justice requires. This has long been the federal rule. Rule 60 b leaves this unchanged. Rule 60 b incorporates all possible grounds for relief from judgment, such relief must be sought by "motion as prescribed in these rules or by an independent action. *United States, U.* The court now has power "to vacate judgments whenever such action is appropriate to accomplish justice. Thus, as presently interpreted, Rule 60 b contains the substance of the older remedies while simplifying the procedure for obtaining such relief. Rule 60 b 1 allows relief for "mistake, inadvertence, surprise or excusable neglect. Thus Rule 60 b 1 has been held to permit granting of relief where the court overlooked one small item of damages concerned with the major issues of the case. *Socony Vacuum Oil Co.* Where a default judgment was based on a misunderstanding as to appearance and representation by counsel,

relief was granted under Rule 60 b 1. Standard Grate Bar Go. The "excusable neglect" clause of the section has been frequently interpreted. It seems clear that relief will be granted only if the party seeking relief demonstrates that the mistake, misunderstanding, or neglect was excusable and was not due to his own carelessness. The party seeking the relief bears the burden of justifying failure to avoid the mistake or inadvertence. The reasons must be substantial. Likewise, ignorance of the rules of civil procedure has been held not to be "excusable neglect. Rule 60 b 2 affords a party relief from a final judgment, order or proceeding on the ground of newly discovered evidence. The movant bears the burden of showing that the evidence could not have been discovered by due diligence in time to move for a new trial under Rule 59 b. Finally, the evidence must be of a material nature and so controlling as probably to induce a different result. Rule 60 b 3 allows relief from a final judgment, order or proceeding on the basis of "fraud whether heretofore denominated intrinsic or extrinsic , misrepresentation or other misconduct of an adverse party". The section does not limit the power of the court to: Since neither the fraud nor misrepresentation is presumed the moving party has the burden of proving by clear and convincing evidence that the alleged fraud or misrepresentation exists and that he is entitled to relief. Prior to the adoption of Federal Rule 60 b , relief was afforded for extrinsic fraud, that is, fraud collateral to the subject matter, but denied for intrinsic fraud relating to the subject matter of the action. Because of difficulty in differentiation, Rule 60 b explicitly abolishes the distinction, at least with respect to a timely motion under Rule 60 b 3. These distinctions may, however continue to exist with respect to the independent action and the action of the court on its own initiative. Rule 60 b 3 includes any wrongful act by which a party obtains a judgment under circumstances which would make it inequitable for him to retain its benefit. Fraud covered by Rule 60 b 3 must be of such a nature as to have prevented the moving party from presenting the merits of his case. Rule 60 b 3 refers to "misconduct of an adverse party," and thus does not literally apply to the conduct of third persons. However, it is safe to assume that if the fraud is derivatively attributable to one of the parties as for example, fraud by his attorney , it is within Rule 60 b 3. Even if the fraud is not attributable to one of the parties, relief may still be available through an "independent action" or the residual clause, Rule 60 b 6. A judgment is either void or valid. Having resolved that question, the court must act accordingly. An erroneous judgment is not a void judgment. A judgment is void only if the court rendering it lacked jurisdiction of the subject matter or of the parties, or where it acted in a manner inconsistent with due process of law. Although Rule 60 b 4 is ostensibly subject to the "reasonable" time limit of Rule 60 b , at least one court has held that no time limit applies to a motion under the Rule 60 b 4 because a void judgment can never acquire validity through laches. Finally, a party may obtain relief from a void judgment through an independent action to enjoin its enforcement. Rule 60 b 5 affords relief if "the judgment has been satisfied, released or discharged, or a prior judgment upon which it is based has been reversed or otherwise vacated, or it is no longer equitable that the judgment should have prospective application. It is important to note that relief under this clause is available only where the judgment is based on a prior judgment which has been reversed or otherwise vacated. Rule 60 b 5 may not be used as a substitute for appeal. It does not authorize relief from a judgment on the ground that the law applied by the court in making its adjudication has been subsequently overruled or declared erroneous in another and unrelated proceeding. Rule 60 b 5 significantly affects appellate procedure where, for example, a judgment is based upon a prior judgment and the two judgments are appealed simultaneously. In this situation it would be proper for the appellate court to consolidate the two appeals and make a final adjudication based on both judgments.

**5: Relief from Judgment, Decrees or Orders – Florida Rules of Civil Procedure**

*The motion shall be made within a reasonable time, and for reasons (1), (2), and (3) not more than 1 year after the judgment, decree, order, or proceeding as entered or taken. A motion under this subdivision does not affect the finality of a judgment or decree or suspend its operation.*

**Stay of Proceedings to Enforce a Judgment Rule** Except as stated in this rule, no execution may issue on a judgment, nor may proceedings be taken to enforce it, until 14 days have passed after its entry. But unless the court orders otherwise, the following are not stayed after being entered, even if an appeal is taken: If the judgment appealed from is rendered by a statutory three-judge district court, the order must be made either: If an appeal is taken, the appellant may obtain a stay by supersedeas bond, except in an action described in Rule 62 a 1 or 2. The bond may be given upon or after filing the notice of appeal or after obtaining the order allowing the appeal. The stay takes effect when the court approves the bond. The court must not require a bond, obligation, or other security from the appellant when granting a stay on an appeal by the United States, its officers, or its agencies or on an appeal directed by a department of the federal government. This rule does not limit the power of the appellate court or one of its judges or justices: A court may stay the enforcement of a final judgment entered under Rule 54 b until it enters a later judgment or judgments, and may prescribe terms necessary to secure the benefit of the stayed judgment for the party in whose favor it was entered. Notes As amended Dec. July 19, ; Mar. The first sentence states the substance of the last sentence of U. The remainder of the subdivision states the substance of the last clause of U. Note to Subdivision b. Note to Subdivision c. Louisiana Public Service Commission, U. For statutes providing for a specially constituted district court of three judges, see: See Rule 36 2 , Rules of the Supreme Court of the United States, which governs supersedeas bonds on direct appeals to the Supreme Court, and Rule 73 d , of these rules, which governs supersedeas bonds on appeals to a circuit court of appeals. The provisions governing supersedeas bonds in both kinds of appeals are substantially the same. Note to Subdivision e. This states the substance of U. Note to Subdivision f. Section of the Act [50 U. See also Note to Rule 64 herein. This change was necessary because of the proposed addition to Rule 59 of subdivision e. In proposing to revise Rule 54 b , the Committee thought it advisable to include a separate provision in Rule 62 for stay of enforcement of a final judgment in cases involving multiple claims. No substantive change is intended. Committee Notes on Rules – Amendment The language of Rule 62 has been amended as part of the general restyling of the Civil Rules to make them more easily understood and to make style and terminology consistent throughout the rules. These changes are intended to be stylistic only. The final sentence of former Rule 62 a referred to Rule 62 c. It is deleted as an unnecessary [sic]. Rule 62 c governs of its own force. Committee Notes on Rules – Amendment The time set in the former rule at 10 days has been revised to 14 days. See the Note to Rule 6.

**6: Washington State Courts - Court Rules**

*Rule 60(b)(2) affords a party relief from a final judgment, order or proceeding on the ground of newly discovered evidence. The movant bears the burden of showing that the evidence could not have been discovered by due diligence in time to move for a new trial under Rule 59(b).*

This field is for validation purposes and should be left unchanged. This iframe contains the logic required to handle Ajax powered Gravity Forms. The standard for such motions is well established in the Eleventh Circuit, which requires that the defaulting party must i establish a meritorious defense to support vacating a default judgment, ii prove lack of substantial prejudice to the non-moving party, and iii present a good reason for failing to respond to the complaint. As to the first prong, SBSFLD seems to dispute only the amounts to which Plaintiff is entitled without establishing a meritorious defense to the action itself. In fact, the affidavit of Mr. Thus, it is inadequate as a matter of law. Burnett, by the granting of the instant motion. Background On August 13, , Plaintiff filed her complaint against Defendant for overtime violations. As stated by the 11th Circuit: Bertrand are not specific enough as to what happened during the three and a half months in question. Second, during this period of time, Eurisol failed to check with Mr. Under the circumstances, Eurisol cannot simply shift the blame to Mr. Bertrand, its French attorney, and thereby obtain relief from the default judgment. The address provided by Mr. The same structure that was obviously created to evade legal process is the one SBSFLD wishes to utilize to evade proper service on it by Plaintiff. The affidavit remains completely silent about how much contact Mr. Thus, its Motion should likewise be denied. Gulf Coast Fans, Inc. The mere assertion of general denials and affirmative defenses to a complaint are insufficient to demonstrate a meritorious defense justifying an order setting aside a default judgment. Seeking to set aside the final default judgment under Rule 60 b 1 , Valdez argued that his meritorious defense was demonstrated by his Answer and Affirmative Defenses to the Complaint. Stated differently, merely offering conclusions that a defense exists is not enough “ a party must make an affirmative showing of fact and explain how the defense exists at law. Moreover, attaching an Answer and Affirmative Defenses to its Motion is an approach previously rejected by the Eleventh Circuit in Valdez. If it does know the amount of overtime hours worked, its Motion fails for lack of specificity because it has chosen to challenge the damages suffered by Plaintiff. Having provided nothing to show that the purported defenses exist, much less have any merit, SBSFLD has not satisfied its burden of proving a meritorious defense sufficient to warrant entry of an order setting aside the Judgment. Accordingly, its Motion should be denied. As an initial matter, SBSFLD is not required to establish prejudice in order to be entitled to maintain the judgment; instead it must show the absence of prejudice. The Motion offers nothing to satisfy this burden. The Eleventh Circuit has recognized that there is an inherent prejudice to the nonmoving party where a default final judgment is ultimately set aside: While the prejudice in this case is not particularly pronounced, we find “ as did the bankruptcy court “ that there is some prejudice to Feltman [bankruptcy trustee]. Additionally, Plaintiff can demonstrate actual prejudice should the Default be vacated and the frozen funds released by Wells Fargo. In Valdez, despite only slight prejudice to the non-moving party, the Eleventh Circuit found: Stated differently, while the barest showing of prejudice may have to give way to the desire to resolve cases on their merits where the defaulting party has a legitimate defense, even the most minimal prejudice is sufficient. Here, the substantial risk that the frozen funds will disappear if unfrozen is prejudicial to Plaintiff. The affidavits attached to the Motion plainly show that Defendant purposefully set up a system by which it advised its registered agent VCORP not to notify it of lawsuits. See attached Exhibits A and C. Florida Physicians Insurance Co. Air Canada, F. Such lack of diligence does not constitute default through excusable neglect: He did not do so, and we find that the district court did not abuse its discretion in finding that [the defendant] did not establish good cause for his default.



## 7: Wisconsin Legislature:

*The final decision of the After the issue is fully briefed, the Court will enter a final order on the Motion for Relief from Judgment. II. ORDER.*

When a party against whom a judgment for affirmative relief is sought has failed to appear, plead, or otherwise defend as provided by these rules and that fact is made to appear by motion and affidavit, a motion for default may be made. Any party may respond to any pleading or otherwise defend at any time before a motion for default and supporting affidavit is filed, whether the party previously has appeared or not. If the party has appeared before the motion is filed, the party may respond to the pleading or otherwise defend at any time before the hearing on the motion. If the party has not appeared before the motion is filed he may not respond to the pleading nor otherwise defend without leave of court. Any appearances for any purpose in the action shall be for all purposes under this rule. Any party who has appeared in the action for any purpose shall be served with a written notice of motion for default and the supporting affidavit at least 5 days before the hearing on the motion. Any party who has not appeared before the motion for default and supporting affidavit are filed is not entitled to a notice of the motion, except as provided in rule 55 f 2 A. A motion for default shall include a statement of the basis for venue in the action. A default shall not be entered if it clearly appears to the court from the papers on file that the action was brought in an improper county. As limited in rule 54 c , judgment after default may be entered as follows, if proof of service is on file as required by subsection b 4: When the claim against a party, whose default has been entered under section a , is for a sum certain or for a sum which can by computation be made certain, the court upon motion and affidavit of the amount due shall enter judgment for that amount and costs against the party in default, if the party is not an infant or incompetent person. No judgment by default shall be entered against an infant or incompetent person unless represented by a general guardian or guardian ad litem. Findings of fact and conclusions of law are not necessary under this subsection even though reasonable attorney fees are requested and allowed. If, in order to enable the court to enter judgment or to carry it into effect, it is necessary to take an account or to determine the amount of damages or to establish the truth of any averment by evidence or to make an investigation of any other matter, the court may conduct such hearings as are deemed necessary or, when required by statute, shall have such matters resolved by a jury. Findings of fact and conclusions of law are required under this subsection. In an action where the service of the summons was by publication, or by mail under rule 4 d 4 , the plaintiff, upon the expiration of the time for answering, may, upon proof of service, apply for judgment. Costs shall not be awarded and default judgment shall not be rendered unless proof of service is on file with the court. For good cause shown and upon such terms as the court deems just, the court may set aside an entry of default and, if a judgment by default has been entered, may likewise set it aside in accordance with rule 60 b. A default judgment entered in a county of improper venue is valid but will on motion be vacated for irregularity pursuant to rule 60 b 1. A party who procures the entry of the judgment, shall in the vacation proceedings, be required to pay to the party seeking vacation the costs and reasonable attorney fees incurred by the party in seeking vacation if the party procuring the judgment could have determined the county of proper venue with reasonable diligence. This subsection does not apply if either: The provisions of this rule apply whether the party entitled to the judgment by default is a plaintiff, a third party plaintiff, or a party who has pleaded a cross claim or counterclaim. In all cases a judgment by default is subject to the limitations of rule 54 c. When more than 1 year has elapsed after service of summons with no appearance being made, the court shall not sign an order of default or enter a judgment until a notice of the time and place of the application for the order or judgment is served on the party in default, not less than 10 days prior to the entry. Proof by affidavit of the service of the notice shall be filed before entry of the judgment. Service of notice of the time and place on the application for the order of default or default judgment shall be made as follows: A by service upon the attorney of record; B if there is no attorney of record, then by service upon the defendant by certified mail with return receipt of said service to be attached to the affidavit in support of the application; or C by a personal service upon the defendant in the same manner provided for service of process. D If service

## **MOTIONS FOR RELIEF FROM JUDGMENTS OR FINAL ORDERS pdf**

of notice cannot be made under subsections A and C , the notice may be given by publication in a newspaper of general circulation in the county in which the action is pending for one publication, and by mailing a copy to the last known address of each defendant. Both the publication and mailing shall be done 10 days prior to the hearing.

**8: Forms and Sample Letters - MassLegalHelp**

*Because SBSFLD's Motion completely fails to satisfy one or more of the Eleventh Circuit's requirements for a motion to set aside a default judgment, Plaintiff requests that this Court enter an order denying the relief requested in the Amended Motion for Relief from Final Default Judgment.*

If no person appears and answers within the time allowed, the court may then, without notice, upon motion of the plaintiff, if the court finds that the matter is obscene, make an adjudication against the matter that the same is obscene. If an answer is filed, the case shall be set down for a speedy hearing, but an adjudication of default and order shall first be entered against all persons who have not appeared and answered in the manner provided in sub. If any person answering so demands, the trial shall not be adjourned for a period of longer than 72 hours beyond the opening of court on the day following the filing of the answer. At such hearing, subject to chs. The dominant effect of the whole of such matter shall be determinative of whether said matter is obscene. If, after the hearing, the court or jury, unless its finding is contrary to law or to the great weight and clear preponderance of the evidence, determines that the matter is obscene, the court shall enter judgment that the matter is obscene. Any judgment under this subsection may be appealed to the court of appeals under chs. In any trial for a violation of s. The judgment shall be entered by the clerk upon rendition. If the party in whose favor the judgment is rendered causes it to be entered, the party shall perfect the judgment within 30 days of entry or forfeit the right to recover costs. If the party against whom the judgment is rendered causes it to be entered, the party in whose favor the judgment is rendered shall perfect it within 30 days of service of notice of entry of judgment or forfeit the right to recover costs. If proceedings are stayed under s. If the parties agree to settle all issues but fail to file a notice of dismissal, the judge may direct the clerk to draft an order dismissing the action. No execution shall issue until the judgment is perfected or until the expiration of the time for perfection, unless the party seeking execution shall file a written waiver of entitlement to costs. The notice of entry must be a written document, other than the judgment or order, containing the date of entry and served after the entry of the judgment or order. The notice must accurately and completely inform the opposing party as to the date of entry. *Red Owl Stores, Inc. First Enterprises, Wis. Winnebago County, Wis. Prihoda, WI , Wis. Cap Gemini America, Inc.* An order for consolidation is not a judgment and cannot trigger the time limits under sub. There is no distinction between a claim for attorney fees based on a contract as opposed to one based on a statute. A motion based on sub. A motion under this section does not affect the finality of a judgment or suspend its operation. This section does not limit the power of a court to entertain an independent action to relieve a party from judgment, order, or proceeding, or to set aside a judgment for fraud on the court. A petition for termination of parental rights under s. *In Matter of Estate of Smith, 82 Wis. Family Savings and Loan Association v. Milwaukee River Restoration Council, Wis. Wisconsin Public Service Corporation v. Kahl Insurance Agency, Inc. Eau Claire County v. Employers Insurance of Wausau, Wis.* The trial court may exercise discretion in determining whether there are factors militating against reopening the judgment. See also *Schwochert v. American Family Insurance Co. Mid Wisconsin Bank, Wis.* Prompt response to the default is also considered. Relief from a judgment will not be granted because the law relied on in adjudicating a case has been overruled in unrelated proceedings. *Midland Machinery, Wis. Wisconsin Physicians Service Insurance Corp.* In addition, for the preemptive use of s. A contradictory report merely confirms that mental health professionals will sometimes disagree on matters of diagnosis. *Williams, WI App , Wis.* When it does so, the parties must have notice and the opportunity to be heard. *Abram, WI App , Wis.* See also *Larry v. Harris, WI 81 , Wis. Franke, WI 8 , Wis.* Res judicata and collateral estoppel are founded on principles of fundamental fairness and should not deprive a party of the opportunity to have a full and fair determination of an issue. When the record demonstrated that an adjudicated father never had an opportunity for a full and fair determination of the question of paternity, res judicata should not have barred relief. Accordingly, it is not true that a motion for relief from judgment on grounds of lack of circuit court competency may be made at any time. If a judgment is entered by a circuit court lacking competency and a competency challenge has been waived, sub. *Village of Trempealeau v. Mikrut, WI 79 , Wis.* The court must

also consider that the law favors the finality of judgments, and the reluctance to excuse neglect when too easy a standard for the vacatur of default judgments would reduce deterrence to litigation-delay. *First Union Securities, Inc.* The court should consider several factors, including whether: While a circuit court should consider factors bearing upon the equities of the case, the mind set of the supreme court is not such a factor. A circuit court is to consider the 5 interest of justice factors in determining whether extraordinary circumstances are present under sub. The Hanover Insurance Co. *Henley*, WI 97 , Wis. *Hendree*, WI 10 , Wis.

### 9: Relief from Judgment Law and Legal Definition | USLegal, Inc.

*A motion for reconsideration of an interlocutory order can be brought at any time before the conclusion of the case. 9 The day time limitation of R. , which deals with motions to alter or amend judgments, does not apply to motions for reconsideration of interlocutory orders. 10 R. applies only to final judgments or final orders.*

*Teddy takes on the trusts Project arcade build your own arcade machine Works of Lucian of Samosata Lets Explore Uranus (Space Launch!) Three-dimensional radiation treatment Smugglers, secessionists loyal citizens on the Ghana-Togo frontier Blood and bone marrow morphology, blood coagulation Human resource management torrington 8th edition Speak into the mirror Wireless XML Developers Guide (Application Development) Antebellum slave narratives Strange Tales From The Broken City Funny boy critical analysis The Brazilian revolution : social changes since 1930 Charles Wagley Propagation handbook Essential nutrients and functions Part III: Balancing debts with virtue Adolescent Catechesis, Spotlight on (Resources from the Living Light) You Decide! 2005 Edition (2nd Edition) Strategic Play (Progress in Chess, Volume 9) The rough guide Polish phrasebook Bibi, Baba, and Bobo. 2. Separate denominations. Statistics, history, doctrine, organization, and work. International trade and sustainable development New Shops Boutiques The myths of standardized tests Database/electronic publishing V. [13]. Cumulative index for volumes 1 to 12 Generating Context The dont be scared book Wisdom in practice Protest the hero scurrilous tab book Estimates of the population of Kansas counties and metropolitan areas Honda generator parts manual Running For The Bench Conflict resolution: Chiapas, Mexico and the search for peace Moss Memoirs shortstory by Lancer Kind Organic values Michael Sligh and Thomas Cierpka AIDS in Africa and the Caribbean Jurgen Partenheimer*