

PART III. ON UTOPIA AND AMERICA: POST-CONSTITUTIONAL AMERICA; AMERITOPIA. pdf

1: Ameritopia: The Unmaking of America by Mark R. Levin

Introduction -- Part I. On utopianism: the tyranny of utopia; Plato's Republic and the myth of the perfect society; Thomas More's Utopia and the loss of individualism; Thomas Hobbes' Leviathan and the all powerful state; Karl Marx's Communist manifesto and radical egalitarianism -- Part II.

His father, Jack E. Levin, was the author of several books. Department of Education , and deputy solicitor of the U. Department of the Interior. Koch Charitable Foundation and ExxonMobil. The Mark Levin Show Levin began his broadcasting career as a guest on conservative talk radio programs. For many years, he was a frequent contributor of legal opinions to The Rush Limbaugh Show , where Limbaugh referred to him on-air as "F. Lee Levin", [23] a tongue-in-cheek reference to the defense attorney F. He went really crazy right and got a big audience, and he dragged Hannity to the dark side. He dragged Rush to the dark side. Writer Levin authored the book Men In Black: How The Supreme Court Is Destroying America, in which he advanced his thesis that activist judges on the Supreme Court from all parts of the political spectrum have "legislated from the bench". A Conservative Manifesto was released in , and became a 1 New York Times best seller for eleven of twelve weeks, [34] as well as No. In Liberty and Tyranny Levin repudiates the use of the term "progressive" to describe "modern Liberals" and instead argues a proper term should be "Statist". The Unmaking of America was released in In Ameritopia, Levin discusses the origins and development of both the modern day conservative and liberal political philosophies, the latter of which he refers to as "statist", through the works of some of the leading figures in American history. Restoring the American Republic suggests eleven new Constitutional amendments. Levin will have performed a great national service". Levin and Ted Cruz at the CPAC conference Views on political issues, groups and politicians This section has been nominated to be checked for its neutrality. Discussion of this nomination can be found on the talk page. Bush speechwriter David Frum a "complete and utter fraud". Representative Mo Brooks in his campaign in the Alabama special election against incumbent Luther Strange , who had received a temporary appointment earlier that year. Levin said to the caller, "so Sarah Palin was right. Levin protested the AP report vigorously, demanding a retraction and an apology on the grounds that his sources for the statement included The New York Times and other newspapers. Graham of The Atlantic noted: It is the use of the law to subvert the election. However, the memo says Steele was a "longtime FBI source" and that the separate investigation into Russian contacts with the Trump campaign was not based on information in the Steele dossier ; Page had under FBI investigation since at least The following day on his radio show, Levin further clarified his position by remarking that "DNA is a science, evolution is not a science". Archived from the original on January 30, Retrieved January 7, The New York Times.

PART III. ON UTOPIA AND AMERICA: POST-CONSTITUTIONAL AMERICA; AMERITOPIA. pdf

2: Obama Establishes "Council Of Governors"

Post-constitutional America ; Ameritopia Levin explores the philosophical basis of America's foundations and the crisis that the government faces today Bookplateleaf Boxid IA

On the contrary, the year-old refugee speaks for a solid hour, and although his wounds bear perpetual testimony to the physical brutality he suffered, the greater travesty of justice, he tells his audience, is the psychological dehumanization that takes place in the repressive environment. Shin refers to his former self as a predator, trained from birth to inform without remorse on family and fellow prisoners. Secularists who fancy twenty-first-century man as enlightened beyond hunter-gatherer savagery are hard-pressed to come up with an explanation for what Shin represents. But however they may try to explain him away, there he stands: Toward that urgently needed end, Mark Levin has produced an excellent tool in his latest book, *Ameritopia*. If people believed "this myth," Plato explained, "that would have a good effect of making them more inclined to care for the state and one another. Therefore, citizens were conditioned to accept their positions and surrender their personal desires for the good of the body politic. Accordingly, in the ideal city, the nuclear family was abolished. Headed by philosopher kings, the most select and elite of all citizens, the government was free to exercise absolute control over the individual. After mentally building and rebuilding his city, Plato eventually abandoned the quest as an impossibility, but the dream lived on. In all versions of utopia, the individual and his family were subservient to the state, which was ruled by mastermind sovereigns holding all power.

Americanism Contrast the utopian model with the American constitutional model, which Levin simply refers to as Americanism, a fitting label since it originated with America. Since laws are indeed necessary for people to peaceably coexist, the founders of America, products of the Enlightenment, first thought deeply about the purpose of government and the form government should take. Having been subject to a monarch prone to despotism, they were heavily influenced by the English thinker John Locke, who has been called the Father of Classical Liberalism not to be confused with modern liberalism. Locke saw that, far from being an imbecile requiring tutelage and control, the individual possessed a formidable capacity for self-rule, and for reason, ingenuity, productive labor, and responsible management of his own affairs. Moreover, the individual had value, dignity, and worth that preceded and transcended government. This revolutionary concept became the basis upon which all future political liberties and human rights would rest. Furthermore, says Levin, applying this principle to one of the pressing issues of today: In this sense, property rights are the great equalizer, not of outcomes but opportunity. Governments were instituted, the Declaration continued, not to provide for the everyday needs of the governed—"free men must do that for themselves"—but "to secure these rights. *Ameritopia* Liberty, based on God-given inalienable rights secured by legitimate government structures, is the heritage of the American people. Worse, an alarming segment of the population has foregone self-reliance and individual industry in favor of dependence on the rest. In such an environment, those traits which represent the best in the nature of man—"initiative, drive, and selflessness"—inevitably languish and falter, while, as Shin Dong-hyuk warns, those which represent the worst—"indolence, envy, and predation"—thrive. Tyranny, as Levin broadly defines it, "is the use of power to dehumanize the individual and delegitimize his nature. Political utopianism is tyranny disguised as a desirable, workable, and even paradisiacal governing ideology. It is literally a matter of life and death.

PART III. ON UTOPIA AND AMERICA: POST-CONSTITUTIONAL AMERICA; AMERITOPIA. pdf

3: History of America's Education

Get this from a library! Ameritopia: the unmaking of America. [Mark R Levin] -- Levin explores the philosophical basis of America's foundations and the crisis that the government faces today.

By the close of , there were colleges in America. Seventeen of these were state institutions; almost all others were founded by Christian denominations and by individuals who avowed religious purposes. An Original Rule of Harvard College: The College of William and Mary was started mainly due to the efforts of Rev. James Blair in order, according to its charter of , "that the Church or Virginia may be furnished with a seminary of ministers of the gospel, and that the youth may be piously educated in good letters and manners, and that the Christian religion may be promulgated among the Western Indians to the Glory of Almighty God. Yale University was started by Congregational ministers in , "for the liberal and religious education of suitable youth Associated with the Great Awakening, Princeton was founded by the Presbyterians in Jonathan Dickinson became the first president, declaring, "cursed be all that learning that is contrary to the cross of Christ. Benjamin Franklin had much to do with the beginning of the University of Pennsylvania. It was not started by a denomination, but its laws reflect its Christian character. Consider the first two University Laws, relating to Moral Conduct from None of the students or scholars, belonging to this seminary, shall make use of any indecent or immoral language: None of them shall, without good and sufficient reason, be absent from school, or late in his attendance; more particularly at the time of prayers, and of the reading of the Holy Scriptures. Some other colleges started before American independence include: The Bible as the Textbook: It may surprise many to know that the Bible was truly the first textbook. The New Haven Code of required that children be made "able duly to read the Scriptures What a Utopia; what a Paradise would this region be! Hornbooks were brought to America, from Europe, by the colonists. Hornbooks were common from the s to the s. A hornbook was a flat piece of wood with a handle, upon which a sheet of printed paper was attached and covered with transparent animal horn to protect it. There were over different catechisms used in early American education. Later, the Westminster Catechism became the most prominent one. The New England Primer: The New England Primer was the most prominent schoolbook for about years and was used through the s. It sold over three million copies in years. First published in , this textbook sold over million copies. Written by minister and university professor William Holmes McGuffey, the McGuffey Readers represent the most significant force, other than the Bible, in framing our national morals and tastes. While there were many other textbooks especially in the s , the ones just mentioned were some of the most important. Education in religion was central to our Founders. Benjamin Rush, signer of the Declaration of Independence, wrote, "the only foundation for a useful education in a republic is to be laid in religion. Without this, there can be no virtue, and without virtue, there can be no liberty, and liberty is the direct object and life of all republican governments. It imparted Christian character and produced honest, industrious, compassionate, respectful, and law-abiding men. It imparted a Biblical world view and produced people who were principled thinkers.

PART III. ON UTOPIA AND AMERICA: POST-CONSTITUTIONAL AMERICA; AMERITOPIA. pdf

4: United States Constitution | Thegeeman's Blog | Page 6

John Locke and the nature of man; the influence of Locke on the founders; Charles Montesquieu and republican government; the influence of Montesquieu on the framers; Alexis de Tocqueville and Democracy in America --Part III. On utopia and America: post-constitutional America; Ameritopia.

Liberty In this book, Mark Levins reiterates the goals of our founding fathers when they gathered to write the constitution and form our unique brand of American democracy. This book gives a logical explanation of where conservative ideals originate and how their simple goal for all men to pursue happiness in liberty has become corrupted since World War I. This book lists point by point historical evidence that the socialist characteristics of government, praised by liberals as humanitarian, have the effect of strangling individual self determination and is therefore detrimental to the American way of life. But I found his arguments compelling, if not sad. By this account, we have strayed a long way from what our founding fathers envisioned. I wish I had had ANY of this content taught to me in the s and s. Who would you have cast as narrator instead of Adam Grupper and Mark R. This is the problem area. The worst part is being able to determine or not whose voice Adam is reading. A good way improve that would be This is only real point I care to make improve the narration. All the ways people expressed their thought in past centuries or past millennia even, their writings take more attention or energy to fully appreciate. Global 5 out of 5 stars Performance 5 out of 5 stars Histoire 5 out of 5 stars Hans Best conservative book out there Would you recommend this audiobook to a friend? Everyone should recommend this audiobook it will give you a solid understanding of our nation. Was there a moment in the book that particularly moved you? I had always known that stateism was a prevailing ailment of our county but never had a strong grasp of just how far back the usurpation of liberty went. Global 5 out of 5 stars Performance 4 out of 5 stars Histoire 5 out of 5 stars Jesse A great and scholarly book. A very timely read Would you consider the audio edition of Ameritopia to be better than the print version? What other book might you compare Ameritopia to and why? Global 5 out of 5 stars Performance 3 out of 5 stars Histoire 5 out of 5 stars Gary M. I hope someday they can re-record it with Mark Levin doing the intro with the kind of passion and emphasis that he gives his radio audience. He read it very quickly, in a bit of a monotone. May I suggest a third narrator take over for the quotes? Like Richard Dawkins did in his recent books? Much easier on the ear, and easier to keep straight. Performance aside, this is a stunning book which makes clear the evil philosophies that have led us down the rat hole of statism. I recommend it to anyone who wants to flesh out the theoretical underpinnings of modern conservatism and libertarianism. Global 5 out of 5 stars Performance 5 out of 5 stars Histoire.

PART III. ON UTOPIA AND AMERICA: POST-CONSTITUTIONAL AMERICA; AMERITOPIA. pdf

5: Mark Levin's 'Ameritopia' Reminds Us What's Worth Fighting For | www.enganchecubano.com

(www.enganchecubano.com) - In an interview with www.enganchecubano.com about his new book "Ameritopia: The Unmaking of America" Mark R. Levin said he believes America has already largely become "a post-constitutional country."

Bobby Gee Leave a comment Once again the arrogance of Obama is coming to the forefront. Obama thinks he is the ruler over us all. Finally John Boehner and his silly band of idiots are beginning to realize that Obama wants to wipe the Republican Party off the face of the earth forever. Yet, the wizards of smart as Rush Limbaugh calls them the fake conservative pundits on Fox really do not see this very fact. Many of us have known this for five or more years. Actually Rush, myself, and Mark Levin have know the Democrat Party, the Left, and the state run media want all of us conservatives destroyed and sent to hell since Obama thinks we have too much liberty and freedom. The statistis want to rule our lives. Obama thinks we are too stupid to run our own lives. We need a King to tell us when we have shit, shower, and shave. We are too dumb to know what kind of cars to drive, what kind of food to eat; how to raise our kids, hell need I say more? This truth is staring many in the face yet many folks want to give Obama the benefit of doubt. He has spent more than six trillion dollars. He is has written executive order after executive order to ram through our country to give illegals amnesty. He is now in the process of changing the Second Amendment without going through the process of having to pass both the House and Senate by two thirds then send to the states to vote on changing the amendment. Three fourths of the state legislatures must pass the amendment for it to become an amendment Many of the wizards of smart in the media tell us he has the right to do this. Here is how it works. One has never been used. The first method is for a bill to pass both houses of the legislature, by a two-thirds majority in each. Once the bill has passed both houses, it goes on to the states. This is the route taken by all current amendments. Because of some long outstanding amendments, such as the 27th , Congress will normally put a time limit typically seven years for the bill to be approved as an amendment for example, see the 21st and 22nd. The second method prescribed is for a Constitutional Convention to be called by two-thirds of the legislatures of the States, and for that Convention to propose one or more amendments. These amendments are then sent to the states to be approved by three-fourths of the legislatures or conventions. This route has never been taken, and there is discussion in political science circles about just how such a convention would be convened, and what kind of changes it would bring about. Regardless of which of the two proposal routes is taken, the amendment must be ratified, or approved, by three-fourths of states. There are two ways to do this, too. The text of the amendment may specify whether the bill must be passed by the state legislatures or by a state convention. See the Ratification Convention Page for a discussion of the make up of a convention. Amendments are sent to the legislatures of the states by default. Only one amendment, the 21st , specified a convention. In any case, passage by the legislature or convention is by simple majority. This is all done on purpose to rile us up and force us to make rash decisions that will effect and change the very foundation upon which this great country of ours was built. This is the real truth but many are too blind to see this. It is pay back time for Obama since he took office in This has been his plan all along. It is time for us to wake and see the real truth. We are reaping the harvest of what we have sown. Jeremiah 2 lays it out for us to see. The word of Adonai came to me: Yet my people have exchanged their Glory for something without value. Shudder in absolute horror! If not, why has he become plunder? The young lions are roaring at him " how loudly they are roaring! They desolate his country, demolishing and depopulating his cities. Drinking water from the Nile? Drinking water from the [Euphrates] River? How did you degenerate into a wild vine for me? Look at your conduct in the valley, understand what you have done. Males seeking her need not weary themselves, for at mating season they will find her. Let them rouse themselves, if they can save you when trouble comes. You have all rebelled against me! They would not receive correction. Your own sword has devoured your prophets like a marauding lion. Yet my people have forgotten me, days beyond numbering. For Adonai rejects those in

PART III. ON UTOPIA AND AMERICA: POST-CONSTITUTIONAL AMERICA; AMERITOPIA. pdf

whom you trust; from them you will gain nothing. This story was the lead on [www. Constitution.com](http://www.Constitution.com) carries its customary meaningâ€”which the court forcefully affirmed it doesâ€”then President Barack Obama violated the Constitution and usurped power from Congress by appointing persons to federal office without securing the constitutionally required consent of the Senate. The Senateâ€”by a unanimous agreement of all senatorsâ€”had said that it was in fact still in session that day and not in the recess. Three key passages in the Constitution governed the case. I won the election and I can do what I want to. I am the King and ruler over all. I am the Messiah, Yeshua, and Adonai all rolled into one. This story appeared on the Drudge Report on Friday January 25th. The proposed regulations would give local law-enforcement agencies access to the gun-sale database that is maintained by the FBI. The rules would also preserve records of denied weapons sales indefinitely. The Brady Handgun Violence Prevention Act already requires federal background checks for gun purchases, but not every firearm sale is covered under the law. Currently, law enforcement agencies cannot perform a NICS check when transferring, returning or selling weapons that have been confiscated, seized or recovered. The new rules would change that, allowing officials to perform a background check on people who receive those weapons to ensure that they are permitted to own a gun.

PART III. ON UTOPIA AND AMERICA: POST-CONSTITUTIONAL AMERICA; AMERITOPIA. pdf

6: ~Amerirtopia~™ by Mark Levin exposes creeping Communism in America | www.enganchecubano.com

Levin agrees, and with Ameritopia, delivers another modern political classic, an indispensable guide for America in our time and in the future. MARK R. LEVIN, nationally syndicated talk-radio host and president of Landmark Legal Foundation, is the author of Liberty and Tyranny, the week New York Times bestseller that spent more than three.

It is as old as tyranny itself. In democracies, its practitioners legislate without end. It is an attack on the nature of human beings. How are you, brother? I am doing great. Thanks for doing this. You know, each of your first three bestsellers were very different books. This book is more a look at the comparison of the pathological political philosophy of the left, of those who might impose a tyrannical government, and the political philosophy that animated the Founding Fathers. Why did you write this book? Well, because "Liberty and Tyranny" laid out, in my view, the basics between conservatism and non-conservatism. And then I got to thinking: Where did the Founding Fathers get these ideas from? So, I decided to dig. Now, I had a sense for this, obviously. But I decided to dig further as well as address this concern that all believers in the American system have always had. I mean, including the greats--including Abraham Lincoln, and Joseph Story, and Ronald Reagan--which was this concern that tyranny threatens democracy. But what is this tyranny? And, frankly, I needed to figure that out for myself. But when you finally get around to Marx and Engels, there you have true believers. You talk about The Communist Manifesto talked about the abolition of the family, talked about putting all children in public schools as a means of indoctrination, centralization of credit in the hands of the state, a heavy and progressive income tax, an abolition of the right of inheritance, and everything a struggle between the bourgeoisie and the proletariat. Everything victims and the victimized, victimizers. When Obama was running for office and saying these things--sort of saying them and sometimes accidentally blurting them out--I would say on my radio show he is speaking Marx here. This whole phony, historic material dialectic that he created of the two classes constantly fighting each other--the bourgeoisie and the proletariat--in other words, the people with money and land and so forth, the capitalists today, and the workers, the laborers, was phony from day one. And you can hear it in Obama today with the class warfare propaganda. Right--and Karl Marx really believed this though, right? Well, Karl Marx really believed it and Engels really believed it, and obviously their followers, Lenin and Trotsky and Mao and the others really believed it. I believe to a great extent we now live in a post-constitutional country, where much of the Constitution is ignored or evaded. And the utopians today, these statists who are promoting bigger, centralized, concentrated government, more power to them. It is an attack on the civil society. All of these societies are fantasies. You see, John Locke was different than all those we just mentioned because the others were trying to figure out how to create this so-called perfect or more perfect society and impose it on people. How does his mind work? How does he gather knowledge? Where does morality come from? Where do these things come from? Humans need to be controlled, individuals acting in their own regard is problematic and should be shunned. No, no, no, no. John Locke was the most frequently referred to and read of all the philosophers during the Revolutionary and pre-Revolutionary period. His impact on Thomas Jefferson was enormous. You can hear that as the basis of the Declaration of Independence right there. His impact on George Mason, with the Virginia Declaration of Rights--which was written about five or six weeks before the Declaration of Independence which was also used to influence the Declaration of Independence--was enormous. And yet, how often is Locke taught in high school and college, even today? Probably never, or very quickly, and yet Marx is taught all the time-- Jeffrey: Even if not overtly. Yeah, we live it. But it is crucial to understand the foundations of our society. Well these are the masterminds. You can hear our mastermind today, Barack Obama. Who should earn how much? Let me tell you a couple of facts of life. What Locke meant by equality, what the Founders meant by equality in the Declaration, was not conformity, was not uniformity, was not outcomes. What they meant by equality is there are certain God-given rights that every human being upon birth has. And those God-given rights are the right to live, the right to live freely, and the

PART III. ON UTOPIA AND AMERICA: POST-CONSTITUTIONAL AMERICA; AMERITOPIA. pdf

right to pursue your interests. In other words, the right to be unmolested by other people and unmolested by your government. This is the essential part of our founding, and if you listen to Obama today, they never talk about this. And, in fact, when we get to the Constitution the purpose of the Constitution is to protect and preserve the society that they fought a revolution to protect against outside enemies. The purpose of the Constitution is to protect that society. So, when you have a president of the United States who says I want to fundamentally transform America, he is fundamentally rejecting the entire basis for the American system. Locke talks about it and later, even more, Charles de Montesquieu. Now, Charles de Montesquieu had a longer name than that, baron and all the rest of it depending on what time of his life you pick. So, I would ask the people watching right now: Were you taught about Montesquieu in school? Do you know much about Montesquieu? So, I dug in. Charles de Montesquieu And in his Spirit of Laws, which in translation can be anywhere from to a 1, pages in length, I dug in for a long time. This man was another genius. You know, he feared and Locke feared and the Founders feared all the same thing: And Montesquieu was an absolute genius in explaining that. You look at things that are happening in American government today. You have a House of Representatives that is occasionally supine in resisting the Executive Branch, or a Congress in general, that is. You have a president who just a week ago appointed a director to the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, three members to the National Labor Relations Board, theoretically as a recess appointment, but under the plain terms of the Constitution the Congress was not in recess. Do we see an erosion in America today of the separation of powers, the systems of checks and balances that Montesquieu envisioned? Yes, this erosion has been going on for about a hundred years. In other words, the purpose of the Constitution is to have a limited central government where the sovereignty remains with the individual and the people and the states. The purpose of utopianism is the opposite of all that. You cannot have an NLRB deciding who gets to work where, how, and when, and at the same time follow the Constitution. You cannot have a tax code that serves basically the purpose of redistributing wealth, which is one of the things that Marx was pushing for so strongly, and at the same time be arguing about limited government and constitutionalism. The utopians reject history. The models they want to put in place begin today. And what you see with Obama is the playing out of this soft tyranny--as I called it in Liberty and Tyranny--as de Tocqueville called it. This is a battle of ideas. I believe Obama has even argued that America was not a great country until we saw the aggrandizement of government that came with the New Deal and the Great Society Levin: Listen to those names. The New Deal and the Great Society. Listen to those utopian-type names. They are all intended to change the civil society. Franklin at the Constitutional Convention and I paraphrase, he was too ill to get up and speak and he gave a speech to his fellow delegate from Pennsylvania, Wilson, and he read it. And what he essentially said was: Because the truth is the only thing that stands between tyranny and what we have today--a sort of a softer--is us. But there seems to be a model where Democrats come into power they want to expand the welfare state and the dependency of the American people, reduce individual liberty, move away from this vision that Locke and Thomas Jefferson had. When Republicans follow them into power, what we get is people who say: Look around in your house. Open your medicine cabinet. Everything in there is regulated. Look at your electronics. Every single electronic device you have has some government stamp of approval. Washing machines, dryers, toasters, the gypsum board that is used to build your home, the roofing tile that is on your house, whether you can actually build a house on a particular piece of property that you own, all of this is regulated and managed by government. So you have to ask yourself: How did we exist before all this?

PART III. ON UTOPIA AND AMERICA: POST-CONSTITUTIONAL AMERICA; AMERITOPIA. pdf

7: 'We Now Live in a Post-Constitutional Country'

Ameritopia: The Unmaking of America. 2, likes. Book.

September 29, Summary: It is a fine, non-polemical history, written with care, by a fine mind. It would be like the authority of a parent if, like that authority, its object was to prepare men for manhood; but it seeks, on the contrary, to keep them in perpetual childhood. When writing, he intends to educate, while on the radio he often comes across as a scold. The radio personality does not suffer fools at all, and his writing gives a clue as to why Levin has a powerful and highly trained intellect. In *Ameritopia*, Levin pits utopian ideologists against republican theorists who champion the individual. The book is a compact survey course in government theory from Plato to Tocqueville. John Locke, Charles de Montesquieu, Alexis de Tocqueville make up the team on the right, so to speak. In these first two sections of his book, Levin lets each of these men speak for themselves, with long quotes interrupted only by an occasional sentence or two. This is a serious analysis of opposing theories on how man ought to be ruled; either by an all-powerful state or by self-rule with a heavy reliance on individualism. At times, the long quotes can come across as stilted, but applying a little concentration is well worth the effort. *Ameritopia* summarizes the most important debate on governance, a debate that has raged for well over twenty-five hundred years. The argument is about whether the individual or the tribe is the basic element of human existence. Utopians believe man can be made good, and when this ideal is attained, life will be good for all. The prerequisite is that man suppresses his greed and accepts guidance from progressive leaders. In other words, tribal chiefs know what is best for everyone. The thesis of *Ameritopia* is that the United States was founded on Enlightenment principles, and those principles made America exceptional. He believes that this exceptionalism is the basis of the American Dream and made us a beacon of liberty for the world. Unfortunately, Levin also believes those principles have been severely compromised and we have traveled a long way down the utopian path. Mark Levin knows his radio pronouncements will not endure much past his sign-off, but he wants his writing to influence Americans for a long time, possibly generations. He may very well have succeeded. This is a fine book, written with care, by a fine mind.

PART III. ON UTOPIA AND AMERICA: POST-CONSTITUTIONAL AMERICA; AMERITOPIA. pdf

8: Ameritopia Livre audio | Mark R. Levin | www.enganchecubano.com

Ameritopia covers Plato's Republic, More's Utopia, Hobbes's Leviathan and Marx's Communist Manifesto and explains why the utopian fantasy of the left has never and can never be achieved, leading usually to horrible tyranny.

February 12, I am just saying theoretically, the judiciary is a special institution and we too easily accept it as given. If you think about it, in ancient Athens and ancient Rome, there were no courts in our sense of the word. In Athens, the Assembly or a subset drawn by lots heard pleas argued by citizens on their own behalf. As Rome went from a republic to an empire, the law became more formalized and jurists studied at Rhodes before arguing on behalf of others at tribunals. And the tribune was an elected post as much of administration as judiciary. Historically, the headman was the person to whom you appealed for justice - Solomon being the paradigm, we hope. In the Middle Ages fair courts were sessions at markets where merchants could settle disputes and a new body of law, *lex mercator*, was invented. Note the difference between *lex* and *jus*. In fact, there was a previous invention, private international law - suits between citizens of different polities - which was codified in the late Roman empire by Justinian CE. It is also called "the conflict of laws" for obvious reasons. Courts were independent of the government. They were in and of the community. In England, the courts were strong enough to resist the government. You just cannot go dragging people off like in France or Spain. The government king was limited by the courts which were of the people. So, in that sense, courts were not a function of the government. Just as the government is not identical with the state. But I agree that for years here in America, the courts have been the third branch, with the diplomatic branch subsumed under the executive with advice and consent of the Senate. Of course, the post office is also in the same document, and we question that. So, I was just suggesting that we think this through more deeply. The thing is, liberal and conservative lose their meaning over time and justices tend to discover that the Supreme Court works at a different level entirely. So, they change their views. It is a growth experience. Finally, if you read this speech from here from the Supreme Court website, you will see that like Kennedy, Ginsburg has a known record for internationalism. In the United States the question whether and when courts may seek enlightenment from the laws and decisions of other nations has provoked heated debate. I will speak of that controversy in these remarks. At the outset, I should disclose the view I have long held: No one who actually watches the Court would be surprised by her comments on Al Hayat Television. I do not follow the Supreme Court.

9: The South African Preamble to the Constitution - Chewing on Ideas - Objectivist Living

In Part III of "Ameritopia," Levin offers an honest discussion of the dangers presently facing our country: "America has been transitioning from a society based on God-given inalienable rights protective of individual and community sovereignty to a centralized, administrative statism that has become a power unto itself."

PART III. ON UTOPIA AND AMERICA: POST-CONSTITUTIONAL AMERICA; AMERITOPIA. pdf

La Lloronas children 2. Water resources Political institutions : participation and representation Quran in arabic and english Migration sequences Engineering chemistry 2 ravikrishnan Low-fat ways to stir-fry For the love of gold W.B. Yeats and Irish folklore Information on building Dynamics of international strategy Origins of food production Vw sharan user manual An introduction to radiation protection 6th edition An analytical framework for regional development policy Collective Preferences in Democratic Politics Logic based mind benders The Ethnography of cannibalism Benjamin Disraeli Letters, 1842-1847 (Volume 4) On Shakespeares learning The Principality of Wales in the later Middle Ages Whose Crazy Idea Was That? (The Real Deal) Overall Dependencies The best of Grant MacEwan Nostalgia and memory : legacies of the 1960s in recent work James Meyer Paintball clothes An introduction to synthetic chemical contaminates in food The road to reality penrose Chamber Music for Strings (Recent Researches in American Music) Governors advisory panel on hate groups Nar physics with mathematica for scientists and engineers Classified table of public general statutes of Canada, wholly or partly in force at the end of the sessio Appearance and reality in Humphrey Clinker by R.D.S. Jack. Dumb Bells Dopamine Kcbc english bible Pulse and digital circuits Are you somebody famous I should know? Add a watermark to a Advice encouragement Administering windows server 2012 lab manual