

1: Torsten Persson | IDEAS/RePEc

The field of modern political economics needed this book. We now have an outstanding teaching tool, a splendid reference book, and a coherent treatment of the field. Persson and Tabellini have done a great service to the profession. What determines the size and form of redistributive programs, the.

October 29th, Abstract: The "New Political Economy": This literature is characterized by two features. First, it chiefly aims at explaining actual economic policies, rather than taking it as exogenous, as do "conventional economics". It has tackled a wide variety of topics, such as the determination of redistributive taxation, inflation, budget deficits, school finance, labor market policies, capital taxation, trade liberalization, privatization and restructuring in transition economies, and so on. Princeton University Press, MIT Press, Pp, xiv

Given the size of the literature, it has become crucial to organize it and evaluate what has been learned. Each is best considered as a textbook, and while they cover many common topics, their focus is different. Drazen mostly focuses on applications for macroeconomic policy, while Persson and Tabellini pay more attention to the institutional details of decision-making. Both books are very well written and concise, and I highly recommend them to any student interested in political economy, while they will greatly simplify the task of any professor teaching that topic. It is mostly theoretical in the sense that it presents a variety of models in detail, but for each topic it systematically reviews the empirical literature. It starts by introducing some basic tools of economics overlapping generations, principal-agent theory, optimization and politics median voter theorem, Downsian competition, lobbying, etc. The large literature on credibility, commitment, and reputation is then reviewed-this is perhaps superfluous, as it is already well reviewed in most macroeconomic textbooks and in some excellent surveys, such as Alex Cukierman, for example. The book then discusses various topics in political economy, all of them relevant to macroeconomics. The book by Persson and Tabellini starts with a very useful presentation of the various tools that can be used to analyze the political process. These tools allow us to go beyond the standard median voter theorem. The authors discuss single-crossed references, intermediate preferences, probabilistic voting, and lobbying and campaign contributions. Then they present models that are meant to represent more accurately some real-world phenomena such as legislative bargaining, agenda setting, et cetera. These models are then used to analyze various issues, some of which are also discussed by Drazen. This includes redistribution, pensions, regional transfers, unemployment benefits, and, as in Drazen, political business cycles, public debt determination, and growth. A whole part is also devoted to the literature on credibility and reputation. Another discusses comparative politics, using the tools introduced at the beginning to make predictions about how different political constitutions affect actual fiscal outcomes. Why is political economy important? First of all, explaining economic policy is a legitimate research goal. It potentially has many real world applications. A bank analyst could use it to assess country risk or the likelihood that a given reform package will succeed. Second, it may help economists to better advise government by fully taking into account political constraints in their analyses. Many policy proposals based on "first-best" criteria are useless because they ignore such constraints. For example, a reduction in payroll taxes compensated by a rise in VAT may have a positive impact on employment. Many economists would advocate it. But a politician may see that it redistributes welfare from retirees to workers, and, if the former have a more elastic voting behavior, such an option will simply not be considered. In order to be successful, the economist must explicitly recognize who gains and who loses from his proposal, and design it in a politically viable way. Political economy analysis may also help to better design constitutions, by pointing out that different constitutions have different economic costs because some will induce more efficient policies than others. These high stakes explain the popularity of this topic in the last decade, as reflected by the large number of articles covered in the two books. Enough research has been done to enable us to ask: What has been achieved? As either book makes clear, the approach has demonstrated its wide range of applicability. It has analyzed monetary fluctuations and long-run growth, redistributive policies and separatism, constitutional design and the dynamics of specific reforms, and so on. There is a huge theoretical potential, and there are also some empirical achievements- for example, one

is able to explain why public debt is higher under divided governments. This theoretical potential, however, is not fully realized, in the sense that to date research has not led to the emergence of a central theory with a main block of robust, testable predictions, say, something comparable in scientific status to the life-cycle theory of savings or the Heckscher-Ohlin theory of international trade. This may be too ambitious a standard, but it is interesting to discuss the reasons why the new political economy has not reached it yet. In my view, there are three main problems. First, although some may think otherwise, political economy pushes the rationality assumption even further than economics. It follows the established practice of economics of assuming rational expectations, but that assumption is much more questionable in the case of political economy. In a macroeconomic model, for the rational expectations assumption to be valid, it is only necessary that agents understand the determinants of the variables of interest in the equilibrium in which the economy happens to be. For example, the functioning of the economy may be quite complicated, but if its dynamics, in a reduced form, are linear and first-order, to forecast prices it is only necessary to know the coefficients describing how prices at t depend on the vector of state variables at t . Admittedly, this is already asking for a lot, particularly if one introduces nonlinearities or assumes that the economy is away from its stochastic steady state. However, when one uses rational expectations in political economy models, one goes a step further. In order to be able to compute their gains from a policy change, agents must fully understand how such a policy change affects the behavior of the economy. In particular, they need to fully take into account general equilibrium effects. If such policy changes were taking place randomly and regularly just like other shocks, one would just need to know, again, their reduced form effects on the variables of interest. This is plausible in some cases, for example when one is considering common policy shifts such as a tax cut or a rise in interest rates. But most often, this is clearly not the case. Policy changes do not occur frequently and are the outcome of a constantly evolving set of ideologies. These ideologies themselves reflect the evolution of knowledge about how the economy works. Proposals that were common recipes thirty years ago are no longer on the agenda, and vice-versa. Therefore, many reforms are unique and in many cases they are the response to a crisis which is itself unique. In such cases, to properly evaluate the effect of the reform one would need a complete, structural, general equilibrium model of the economy, with a confident knowledge that the model will work in an environment that never prevailed in the past. While this is doable for the virtual agents and the simple economies of our models, in practice this is pushing rationality very far. In fact, many political conflicts are not only conflicts of interest but also conflicts about how the economy functions. For example, the proposal to cure unemployment via working time reduction, which is so popular in some European countries, reflects the belief of many politicians that total hours worked are fixed, or at least sluggish, and that there are no prospects of job creation in new sectors. Despite its theoretical shortcomings and all the evidence to the contrary, it is too easy to dismiss this argument as a cynical excuse for a measure that redistributes in favor of insiders. It is actually connected to an intellectual tradition that regards aggregate quantities as quite unresponsive to prices, and considers that wage moderation has little impact on employment. This tradition is discredited in Anglo-Saxon countries but still quite influential in many French circles. Another example of the same sort is pointed out by Thomas Piketty, who argues that people favor or oppose redistribution depending on their belief about its distortionary effects. Thus, a "right-winger" is not a rich person but somebody who experienced upward mobility as the result of his effort, while a "left-winger" could be quite rich as the outcome of raw luck, and hence believe that income bears little relation to effort, so that redistribution is not very distortionary. In that paper, conflicts are entirely due to different beliefs about how the economy works. But the paper also illustrates how difficult it is to make these arguments plausible in the context of a simple mathematical model. In the model, it would be very easy for individual agents to learn the true distortionary impact of taxation, at an arbitrarily small cost, by slightly varying their effort level and seeing what comes out. This problem is particularly salient when one is dealing with issues such as the political economy of transition. How can voters in a country that has not experienced market institutions for half a century or more figure out the effect of introducing these institutions on their welfare? This suggests one possible, simple route for thinking about how individuals with limited rationality evaluate their gains from reform, i. As argued by Philippe Aghion and Olivier Blanchard, high unemployment benefits increase the

political support for reform to the extent that people become more willing to give up their jobs in the public sector to find work in the new private sector. This result obtains in a simple model where general equilibrium effects are shut down. However, as Andrew Atkeson and Patrick Kehoe argue, in general equilibrium unemployment benefits may increase aggregate consumption demand by reducing the need for precautionary savings. This in turn leads to an increase in interest rates which makes people less willing to engage in investment activities, including job search. With this example, we clearly see that taking into account such general equilibrium effects assumes that agents are far more sophisticated than in Aghion and Blanchard. Indeed, my intuition would have suggested that higher unemployment benefits reduce interest rates in the short run, since they reduce employment and thus the marginal product of capital. For example, in Saint-Paul, ch. If this is understood, no social demand for unemployment benefits will arise. Such general equilibrium effects may well be quite relevant. For example, Daniel Cohen, inspired by a recent study of Christopher Flinn, finds that the present discounted value of earnings lost by a worker who becomes unemployed is higher in France than in the U. So, in equilibrium, American workers are actually better insured by the functioning of their labor market than their French counterparts by their generous social welfare system. The question, however, is whether they are willing to bet on that when voting on a reduction in unemployment benefits. Another example surveyed by Drazen, also in the context of the political economy of transition, is a paper by Sweder van Wijnbergen, who argues that gradual price liberalization may create backlash and be abandoned by voters prior to completion. The argument is that, once prices have been partially liberalized, expectations of further increase in prices may induce speculators to store goods rather than supplying them to the market. Consumers then wrongly infer a low, or even negative, supply response to prices, which may induce them to vote in favor of abandoning the reform. This argument certainly carries much empirical relevance, but it is based on consumers being imperfectly rational. If they understood that the low supply response is only a short-run phenomenon due to speculative behavior, i. Indeed, a brutal fall in supply would signal a very flat marginal cost schedule, and they should deduct from it that supply would rise a lot in response to a permanent increase in prices. This discussion suggests that perhaps voters base their decision much more on the direct impact of the proposed policy on their welfare than on its general equilibrium effects, which are much more difficult to evaluate. An important step in that direction is a recent paper by Hans Gersbach and Achim Schniewind which considers a model of wage formation by centralized bargaining where unions take into account the direct impact of their decisions on their members but only gradually learn their general equilibrium effects once policy has been implemented. The key difficulty is to establish rigorous criteria in order to define which effects are taken into account by voters and which are not, rather than make arbitrary assumptions about them. A second problem of the "new political economy" is that theory is well ahead of measurement. One is already well aware of empirical problems in conventional economics, where one tries to relate outcomes to policies. There is very little time variation in these variables. The constitution of the United States, for example, has been amended but has never changed. The French constitution has been unchanged since 1791, the Spanish one since 1978.

2: Torsten Persson - Wikipedia

Torsten Persson and Guido Tabellini () *"Political Economics"*, MIT Press. Daron Acemoglu and James Robinson () *"Economic Origins of Dictatorship and Democracy"*, Cambridge www.enganchecubano.com

3: Guido Tabellini | IDEAS/RePEc

Combining the best of three separate traditions--the theory of macroeconomic policy, public choice, and rational choice in political science--Torsten Persson and Guido Tabellini suggest a unified approach to the field.

4: Political economics: explaining economic policy - LSE Research Online

T. Persson and G. Tabellini (Book - ; Chapters) presented by Salvatore Lo Bello (Macro Reading Group UC3M) Political Economics - Explaining Economic Policy November 14, 6 / 17 Notation and Preferences.

5: Probabilistic voting model - Wikipedia

stitutional reforms on economic policy and economic performance. 1 Is it 1 The contributions in Shugart and Wattenberg () discuss the motives behind, and the political consequences of, reform in these and other countries adopting mixed electoral.

Kenya under Kenyatta Pa system design guide Hours of communion Android er to pc The becoming of becoming : what can philosophy do for organization theory? Martin Brigham. MCSA/MCSE Managing and Maintaining a Windows Server 2003 Environment Exam Cram 2 w CD (Exam Cram 70-290) New! Dealing with Table Formulas Right to equality and the Supreme Court Power Reactors in Member States, 1981 (International Atomic Energy Agency//Power Reactors in Member State Equilibrium and Expectations Re-Examined: A Different Perspective Patent invalidity search report Student weekly progress report template Thermal decomposition of ionic solids World of consumption Rousseaus Theory of Freedom (Continuum Studies in Philosophy) Elementary mathematical astronomy Evaluation of Scottish Road Safety Campaign travel packs Logic pro 10.3 manual The Delicate Pioneer The are we there yet years (15 and 16 : the low points The caring parent Fore for golfing pleasure Celebrating Our Families Crafts for Kids Appendix A. The programs to be eliminated Yerevan : mysteries of the East An American Pilot in the Skies of France Quran pak urdu translation kanzul iman The Fox finds a friend Governance power and policy How to write an effective resume Field and laboratory data on some podzolic and associated soils in Southeastern United States The soliloquy in German drama Lcm worksheets 7th grade Introduction The Human Bumblebee/xiii Arab Society in the Time of The Thousand and One Nights The chemical history of a candle Was the Kansas-Nebraska Act pro-choice or pro-slavery? I Want to be a Ballet Dancer (I Want to Be) School as community The eyes of the unicorn