THE PATIENTS ROLE IN SAFETY : A PHYSICIANS PERSPECTIVE JOEL MATTISON pdf

1: American Society of Nephrology | Online Curricula - Dialysis

Contents: Safety from the patient's point of view / Patrice L. Spath -- The patient's role in safety: a physician's perspective / Joel Mattison -- Creating opportunities for patient involvement / Paula S. Swain and Patrice L. Spath -- Engaging patients in safety: barriers and solutions / Michelle H. Pelling -- Integrating health literacy into.

Having a culture that supports and promotes safety efforts has been identified in healthcare and in other industries as a key element in improving safety Singer et al. Therefore, healthcare facilities are borrowing safety culture concepts from high-reliability industries such as aviation and nuclear energy, implementing communication and teamwork models, and creating work environments that support patient safety with the ultimate goal of becoming high-reliability organizations McCarthy and Blumenthal. Such organizations perform extremely well with few errors or adverse events over the long term despite facing high intrinsic hazards and risks. Some contend that the healthcare industry is still in its infancy in becoming highly reliable. Others assert that a culture of safety can never be fully realized by healthcare organizations because they struggle with too many competing demands to make safety the only workplace priority Hoff. However, many forward-thinking healthcare organizations are forging ahead with a new approachâ€”affecting conceptual, behavioral, and systematic processesâ€”to deliver safer healthcare by creating an organizationwide culture that embraces patient safety McCarthy and Bloomenthal. CMS reasons that hospitals will strive more earnestly to prevent these conditions from developing if they will not receive payment for treating them. Experts say that a culture of safety is necessary before other patient safety practices are introduced. Otherwise, individuals expected to implement the safety initiatives do not yet know how best to work together or how to communicate most effectively. This Risk Analysis will examine what constitutes a culture of safety, how it can be assessed and measured, how facilities can work toward the achievement of a culture of safety, and how it may affect patient safety and risk management. What Is a Culture of Safety? Various definitions of a culture of safety have been promulgated. Most general descriptions conceive of safety culture as the collective product of individual and group values and attitudes, competencies, and patterns of behaviors in safety performance. Although there is no firm consensus on what constitutes an effective safety culture, several components are considered vital to patient safety. See Components of a Culture of Safety for a summary of characteristics commonly accepted as necessary for a safety-oriented culture. Belief that harm is untenable Ability to speak up and raise concerns Obligation to listen when others have a concern Recognition of personal and organizational hazards Obligation to work as a team Use of a systems approach to analyze safety issues by examining how processes may lead to errors instead of focusing on individual blame Acceptance of responsibility for the system Two important concepts affect the safety culture: Error Reporting An atmosphere in which healthcare workers can report actual or potential errors, events, and hazards without fear of reprisal is the hallmark of a nonpunitive environment and is consistent with the open communication necessary for a culture of safety. Incident and event reporting systems in healthcare organizations should take a nonpunitive approach in order to encourage event and near-miss reporting, to identify problems and work toward their resolution, and to facilitate learning. For more information, see Event Reporting. In order to alleviate the stigma associated with medical error reporting, facilities must demonstrate through policy and action that reporting is expected, encouraged, and rewarded. Disciplinary action is reserved for willful disregard, wrongful intent, and noncompliance with reporting procedures. A basic tenet of organizational theory is that reward systems greatly influence behavior Roberts et al. Therefore, rewards in the form of recognition and acknowledgment for contributing to organizational improvement, instead of punishment for reporting errors, should be the norm. Equally important is the provision of timely and meaningful feedback to staff on how information from an error report was used and whether any changes were made as a result. One overseas military hospital implemented an electronic communication process to inform staff of what happened as a result of a reported event and of any processes that were changed or enhanced as a result. A fair and just

culture, as defined by the institute, is one in which the work environment emphasizes learning rather than blame. Constructive feedback is used, as is fair-minded treatment, so that individuals can reveal errors and help the organization learn from them. Consistent with this framework for safety is honest and open communication among physicians, administrators, and healthcare workers, as is open communication with patients and their families regarding outcomes of care. Ethicists advocate confronting and openly disclosing medical errors as soon as they are discovered because doing so is the right thing to do and the process begins learning and healing for all those involved in the error: Regulatory, accrediting, and professional organizations, including the Joint Commission, the American Society for Healthcare Risk Management, and the National Patient Safety Foundation, have published standards and guidelines that support informing patients and their families about outcomes of care, including unanticipated outcomes, errors, and adverse events. Early studies suggested that although the frequency of healthcare liability claims may increase with disclosure, the severity of those claims, in terms of monetary payments, will not Popp; Kraman and Hamm. A later study cautioned that disclosure of adverse events may actually lead to increases in both claim frequency and cost and warned that facilities should plan appropriately to absorb the costs of adopting a policy promoting disclosure Studdert et al. The true impact of disclosure is far from clear, and experts do not yet know what will happen as a result of disclosure. However, most agree that nondisclosure fuels mistrust in the healthcare systemâ€”an undesirable situation when attempts are being made to build a safer systemâ€”and that lack of information and failure to get answers from providers are top reasons that patients initiate malpractice claims. For more information, see Disclosure of Unanticipated Outcomes. Some experts predict that disclosure and apology may become standard practice and that when an injury-causing error occurs, an offer to compensate the patient, along with the apology, will routinely be made. Disclosure with apology and compensation is advocated because it is accepted as the right thing to do Leape and because it is also a viable loss-reduction and liability claim avoidance strategy. According to the Sorry Works! Coalition Web site can be accessed at http: Measuring Safety Culture A starting point for achieving an improved safety culture is to assess the current culture of the healthcare organization to determine whether and how that culture affects the provision of safe patient care. Assessment methods range from structured interviews of the staff and management to use of anonymous survey questionnaires. Safety culture surveys include statements that workers are asked to respond to using a predefined scale e. I would feel safe being treated here as a patient. It is easy for the staff here to ask questions when there is something they do not understand. I am encouraged by my colleagues to report any patient safety concerns I may have. Patient safety is never sacrificed to get more work done. We are given feedback about changes put into place based on event reports. Hospital management provides a work climate that promotes patient safety. Several tools are available to assess the safety culture, including the Self-Assessment Questionnaire Patient Safety. The survey emphasizes patient safety issues and error reporting and measures numerous aspects of safety culture, as well as safety-related outcome variables. AHRQ has provided reports from its comparative database for benchmarking purposes based on data from more than U. From its data analysis, AHRQ reports that a strength of most participating hospitals is the extent to which staff support one another and work together as a team; questions related to teamwork within units received the highest average positive responses to the survey. The AHRQ reports are available online at http: When researchers evaluated and compared a number of safety survey instruments to determine the dimensions of safety addressed by the surveys, they found that communication, teamwork, management support, and overall safety assessment were addressed in all the general surveys reviewed in the study. Most of the survey tools were designed to provide a general assessment of safety culture among a variety of respondents, such as physicians, nurses, and support staff. A sample survey form that assesses safety climate is reprinted in the Appendix. In the context of healthcare, for example, the climate of a care unit in a hospital can affect patient safety, and thus an assessment of the safety climate in that unit can provide information useful to gauging the safety mindedness of the culture Krause and Dunn. Conducting a safety culture survey is no small undertaking. Appropriate resources should be dedicated to planning the project, selecting an appropriate

sample, establishing data collection procedures and timelines, analyzing responses, and communicating results. When assessing safety culture, it is important to remember that perceptions, attitudes, and opinions about what is true are most important; therefore, what leaders, managers, and staff perceive to be true about their work environment and their relationships matters most. Safety Culture Survey Just the Beginning Conducting a survey to determine attitudes and perceptions that make up the safety culture is a beginning step in the process of improving patient safety. In essence, the real workâ€"setting priorities for action, making changes aimed at improving healthcare service delivery, and measuring the effect on patient safetyâ€"begins after communicating survey results to staff and managers. For one facility, postsurvey interventions were articulated in a strategic plan to improve safety. A discrepancy between the attitudes and experiences of senior managers especially nonclinicians and those of frontline staff directly involved in patient care was apparent in other hospital safety culture surveys reported in the literature. Because uniformity of safety attitudes among members of an organization is necessary for the organization to become highly reliable, the implications for hospitals are clearâ€"efforts to eliminate discrepancies and create shared values among healthcare executives and frontline staff are needed Singer et al. Emphasizing the responsibility of healthcare leaders to create and nurture cultures of safety in their organizations, patient safety experts at the National Patient Safety Congress discussed opportunities and barriers faced by leaders as they develop and lead teams to build a culture of safety in their organizations. Indeed, ongoing high-level commitment to patient safety is a logical prerequisite for culture change Leape and Berwick. Fortunately, governing boards of healthcare organizations are increasingly getting involved in the support and oversight of quality and patient safety. Responses from a recent HRC System survey also confirm heightened trustee involvement. The leaders of healthcare organizations can support a culture of safety through specific actions and behaviors that embody a commitment to safety. According to Peter J. Commit to change, and determine how this will contribute to the community and society as a whole. Establish an action plan, communicate the evidence for change, and allocate ample resources to implement the plan. The concept involves key leaders such as the chief executive officer and other senior executives, board members, and vice presidents, along with key clinical managers and frontline staff, visiting various areas of the hospital and asking providers and staff specific questions about patient safety on a regular basis. Can you think of any incidents or adverse events that happened in the past few days that have resulted in prolonged hospitalization for a patient? Can you think of patients we have harmed as a result of problems with how we deliver care? What aspects of your work environment are likely to lead to the next patient getting hurt? How does communication between caregivers promote or hinder safe care on your unit? When adverse events or near misses occur, do you always report them? If not, why not? Have you developed any means of personal error prevention e. What could leadership do to support you in providing safe patient care? What changes could be made in your unit to promote patient safety more consistently? How can walkrounds be more effective? Often conducted weekly, walkrounds afford leaders the opportunity to solicit staff input on errors, near misses, and other safety issues and to discuss the causes of these events and situations. Key information gleaned from the conversations is recorded and analyzed so that identified problems can be addressed. Continued walkrounds provide an ongoing forum for communication with and feedback to the staff regarding effectiveness of efforts to resolve identified problems and actions taken to improve patient safety.

THE PATIENTS ROLE IN SAFETY : A PHYSICIANS PERSPECTIVE JOEL MATTISON pdf

2: Exponential Medicine Schedule | Exponential Medicine | November

Safety from the patient's point of view / Patrice L. Spath --The patient's role in safety: a physician's perspective / Joel Mattison --Creating opportunities for patient involvement / Paula S. Swain and Patrice L. Spath --Engaging patients in safety: barriers and solutions / Michelle H. Pelling --Integrating health literacy into patient.

In hospitals, CPOE essentially eliminates the need for handwritten paper orders and achieves cost savings through increased efficiency. The purpose of this research study was to examine the benefits of and barriers to CPOE adoption in hospitals to determine the effects on medical errors and adverse drug events ADEs and examine cost and savings associated with the implementation of this newly mandated technology. This study followed a methodology using the basic principles of a systematic review and referenced 50 sources. CPOE systems in hospitals were found to be capable of reducing medical errors and ADEs, especially when CPOE systems are bundled with clinical decision support systems designed to alert physicians and other healthcare providers of pending lab or medical errors. Eighty-five percent of hospitals surveyed in a study reported that they planned to take advantage of meaningful use payments by Requirements increase at each stage, while incentive amounts decrease. CPOE systems allow physicians to prescribe patient services electronically. In the first stage, CPOE needs to be utilized at least 30 percent of the time with eligible patients. Over the course of the next two stages, the percentage increases up to 80 percent of all eligible patients. Under the meaningful use mandate, which required developing and implementing an operational CPOE system, 57 percent of primary care physicians reported having an EHR system by the end of Physicians using a paper prescription pad often do not have legible handwriting, and prescriptions often are not able to be read by the individuals who process and prepare them for the patient. ADEs are negative reactions to drugs, which may result in longer hospital stays, increased medical costs, permanent disability, and even death. Hospitals that have a higher bed capacity are more likely to adopt CPOE than smaller hospitals because increased funds are available to spend. The research approach of this review followed the steps and research framework utilized by Yao, Chu, and Li. To research how CPOE systems can help improve the prescription process in the hospital, the first requirement is to identify the existing problems with CPOE adoption and the benefits of its adoption in the hospital. Solutions can then be identified to resolve or partially resolve these challenges. The use of the conceptual framework of this study was appropriate because it addressed the process of adopting and utilizing any HIT system. In this case, the solution is the utilization of a CPOE system. After the CPOE system has been adopted, the process includes an assessment of the benefits of and barriers to the use of CPOE, and the process starts over so that the barriers can be addressed and the benefits assessed see Figure 1. The use of this conceptual framework in the present study is applicable because the focus of both studies is to show how new technologies can be applied to healthcare settings to improve the care of patients. In addition, this approach has been successfully replicated in previous studies, supporting its internal validity. The study was conducted in three stages: Literature Identification and Collection The literature review and review of case studies was performed in January to May and September to March Citations and abstracts identified in the search were also assessed to identify relevant articles. Literature Analysis Literature was selected for review on the basis of governmental acts, meaningful use, and benefits of and barriers to CPOE implementation. Inclusion and exclusion criteria were as follows: Only articles published from to were utilized. The search was restricted to sources attainable as full texts and written in the English language. Only primary and secondary data from articles, reports, reviews, and research studies written in the United States were included in this research study. The methodology and results of the identified texts were analyzed, and key papers were identified and included within the research query. From a total of references found, 51 citations were used for this study. The results were structured with subheadings that described the benefits of and barriers to implementation and adoption of CPOE systems. The literature search was conducted by three reviewers K. Literature Categorization Abstracts of the articles were reviewed first to determine the relevancy of the data to the study. If academic articles and

studies were found to be appropriate from the abstract reviews, the data were analyzed and categories were generated on the basis of the findings. The findings are presented in the following section under the subheadings of benefits of and barriers to CPOE adoption. The benefits for patients, as a hospital transitions from paper charts to CPOE systems, are of the most importance. The same study identified additional benefits, besides medical error reduction, for an independent medical group. These benefits included reduction in prescription ordering by the physicians, increased coordination of care, and complete support by the organization to help ensure the successful implementation of the new system. Another study of CPOE that measured preimplementation data from February to July and postimplementation data from March to May found that the average time from the moment a physician ordered a service to the moment the patient received the service decreased from to 64 minutes. Once an organization has determined the main problems that need to be addressed, whether they are the needs of a certain age group or increased medical errors that occur during certain procedures, the healthcare setting can implement a system within the CPOE system to decrease the problems. For example, a study looked at a Massachusetts medical center that was experiencing problems with potentially inappropriate medications given to older patients. Additionally, the CPOE system was found to be successful in preventing medical errors at the facility. Because preventable medical errors and ADEs continue to exist and have increased from 98, reported cases in to , cases in , it is crucial for safety that hospitals implement a CPOE system to be utilized by their clinical staff and providers. CDSSs offer additional functions for the provider to use, such as drug interaction checks, drug allergy checks, and prompts for the provider about when to order a service for a patient. Reducing healthcare costs to the patient and hospital is just one of the many perks that a CPOE system can provide. According to Baron and Dighe, interruptive or noninterruptive pop-up alerts can be installed within a CPOE system to decrease unnecessary testing. Interruptive pop-ups halt the physician from proceeding with the order, whereas noninterruptive pop-ups inform the physician but do not prevent the physician from placing an order. Barriers to CPOE Adoption The reality of CPOE implementation is that it does have its fair share of problems to overcome; some problems include system interoperability, faulty programming, and system crashes. However, the main barrier to implementing CPOE has been cost. Physicians are typically set in their ways and hesitant to change. Patient satisfaction is another concern of physicians. Providers tend to think that patients will not be satisfied by the loss of eye contact, decreased opportunity for psychosocial communication, and less sensitivity to the patient from missed nonverbal cues. The results of the measurements have shown no significant decrease in patient satisfaction; therefore, providers should have no reason to fear decrease of patient satisfaction after the adoption of a CPOE system see Table 3. Physicians can ignore the alerts, which can cause problems if a certain pop-up deals with a life-threatening drug that was prescribed to a patient. One study found that before CPOE implementation, 60 out of total errors 18 percent were rated as being of major severity, and after CPOE implementation, 23 out of 44 errors 52 percent were rated as major. In this study, a significant increase was observed in the proportion of errors rated as major. The results of this study suggest that implementing CPOE has had positive effects on reducing the number of avoidable medical errors. However, large and teaching hospitals are adopting CPOE at a faster rate compared to small and rural hospitals because of the cost of adoption and implementation of CPOE systems and the fact that large and teaching hospitals have greater capital funds for investment in this new technology. Because preventable medical errors and ADEs continue to increase, it is important for hospitals to implement a CPOE system for providers and clinical staff to utilize. Patients and hospital employees should know all aspects of adopting a CPOE system so that they can get the most benefit from the system. Technical support needs to be accessible at all hours of the day. Other systems should be integrated with CPOE for its use to be successful. These incentives demand efforts to change the way healthcare is provided in the United States. With any new technological system, implementation barriers will be always present, but the benefits of CPOE clearly outweigh the barriers when it comes to improving the care of hospital patients. The CPOE adoption and implementation process can take a long time. It has been anticipated that the incentives, along with the standards for meaningful use of CPOE among hospitals and

private providers, will increase CPOE adoption significantly over the next 10 to 15 years. Extensive studies need to be done to make sure the most effective system for each individual organization is adopted. Ensuring that hospital physicians are on board with this new technological change is crucial. Designating a physician championâ€"a CPOE-supporting physicianâ€"would be an ideal way to get other physicians involved in the implementation and also to educate physicians about this new technological change. For CPOE implementation to be successful, input from all those who will be utilizing the system on how the CPOE system will be arranged and what will be included in it will be necessary. Limitations This literature review was limited because of the restrictions in the search strategy used, such as the number of databases accessed. Publication and researcher bias may have affected the selection of sources used and the quality of research identified during the analysis. Also, because the mandate for CPOE is fairly new, fewer facilities that have fully adopted the system are available to be examined, thus limiting the amount of useful searchable publications. Performing a systematic review with stringent criteria and measuring the effect of sources or weighing the sources for complete accuracy, relevance, and reliability was out of the scope of this review, given the highly dissimilar qualities of the data. Practical Implications and Recommendations The adoption and implementation of a CPOE system can be a prolonged process because of physician and staff resistance to the new system and some technical barriers. Training needs to be available for all authorized personnel using the system, in particular for physicians. Hospitals are open 24 hours a day and seven days a week, so the hospital employees need to know and fully understand the system. If all employees are trained and ready for the change from paper to electronic forms, the transition process should go more smoothly. Order set creation should be established by each department or section and validated by the medical staff who will use the system. Also, determining which decision support rules go in the system and which do not should also be important in the day-to-day use of a CPOE system. Improved or new standards must be met in areas such as interfacing with systems from different vendors for information transfer among providers, pharmacists, payers, and pharmacy benefit managers. Standardization also needs to be applied to terminologies. Usable dictionaries for medication ordering that support standard use are needed. Additional research need to be done to obtain more information about the costs of implementation and benefits of CPOE adoption, as well as the importance and effectiveness of CPOE as one of the leading systems for the reduction of medical errors and ADEs. Further studies will be required to address the needs of rural and small hospitals. Most articles reviewed focused on large academic medical centers and large city hospitals, but variations in resources may have an effect on the process and the rate of CPOE adoption. CPOE also supplies providers with additional clinical knowledge and patient-related information that is intelligently filtered and presented at appropriate times. CPOE adoption and implementation has been part of a comprehensive process of updating and re-engineering entire hospital information systems and associated processes. CPOE systems can be integrated with other systems to increase patient safety and improve the quality of patient care. The cost of CPOE adoption and implementation is still a main barrier, especially for small and rural hospitals. Better estimates of the financial impact of CPOE in smaller hospitals are needed to completely assess its financial feasibility. The success of the adoption and implementation of a CPOE system in urban hospitals depends on teamwork among medical staff, clinical support services, and the hospital administration. Specifically, the establishment of the mandate and standards for meaningful use by CMS, and the financial incentives and penalties established by the HITECH Act, have promoted CPOE as a secure way of transferring physician orders that will help hospitals improve their efficiency and achieve cost savings, while allowing physicians and other healthcare providers to provide better quality of care. Healthcare Information and Management Systems Society. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. The Experiences of Leading Hospitals. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, March Cutting Costs in U.

THE PATIENTS ROLE IN SAFETY : A PHYSICIANS PERSPECTIVE JOEL MATTISON pdf

3: Culture of Safety

Perspective. Patients have three roles in improving patient safety: helping to ensure their own safety, working with health care organizations to improve safety at the organization and unit level, and advocating as citizens for public reporting and accountability of hospital and health system performance.

Print Perspective Patients have three roles in improving patient safety: The following case illustrates how patients can help ensure their own safety. A physician who works at an academic teaching hospital was admitted to that hospital for an allergic reaction to a bee sting. The telemetry monitor showed ventricular tachycardia, a potentially life-threatening arrhythmia. The patient shouted for help. A supervising physician responded, asking the nurse to administer epinephrine. The patient reported that epinephrine had already been administered intravenously, and the supervising physician stated that it should have been given intramuscularly. She was intubated and moved to the medical intensive care unit, where she vomited and choked on her secretions. The patient was unable to call for help. Terrified and in restraints, she ultimately managed to self-extubate and clear her breathing passage. The patient recovered from this series of events and was eventually discharged home. Amazingly, less than a year later, she returned to her hospital for emergency treatment. She suffered a medication error in the emergency room, which resulted in cardiac arrest. Although this physician had the advantage of medical knowledge, nonphysician patients and family members have also alerted physicians and nurses to many potential sentinel events. An alert mother read the order, checked the drug, and informed the nurse that the drug and dose were correct but meant for another patient. Their medical condition and treatment, knowledge, and language barriers may prevent them from being an effective advocate for their own safety. But even the most knowledgeable and assertive patients and families may be unsuccessful in alerting the care team to potential sentinel events. If patients are considered part of the clinical microsystem and integral to the work of improvement, their safety concerns will be welcomed and acted upon. When patients are not considered part of the care team, they may be reluctant to report such concerns. A second role for patients and family members is in working to improve safety and quality in health care organizations. Early adopter hospitals are demonstrating promising practices in engaging patients and families in this work. Residents review them aloud so all members of the care team including the patients and family members can verify their accuracy, which reduces opportunities for miscommunication and error. The Medical College of Georgia has also been engaging patients and families in the physical redesign of its hospitals and operations. A reduction in medical errors and an increase in patient satisfaction in its neuro-rehabilitation unit have been attributed to the engagement of patients and families. The internationally renowned statistician who taught leaders of industry how to improve quality, W. Edwards Deming, said in his book, Out of the Crisis, "Customers would be eager to work For example, the physician-patient mentioned above tried to engage senior leadership in focusing the institution on patient safety after the first sentinel event but found that these efforts were unsuccessful. In addition, her report of the event in a hospital patient satisfaction survey yielded no response from the hospital. But other hospitals are taking steps to engage patients and family members in discussions with senior leadership Karen McKinley, Geisinger Health System, oral communication, August 9, The hope is that, as more hospitals have positive experiences with these conversations, reluctance may gradually diminish. A third role for patients is collective action as citizens to improve safety. The Consumers Union campaign to prevent hospital infections is an example of citizen action to improve outcomes for patients. In 16 states, citizens have been instrumental in securing passage of legislation on reporting of hospital infections. With two million hospital infections and 90, deaths annually because of hospital infections 7 , a large base of support exists to accelerate public reporting. Many other performance measures such as hemoglobin A1c in the treatment of people with diabetes or whether patients with heart attacks received beta blockers are not as readily understood. Deming said, "The ultimate customer e. He only cares whether the transmission works, and if it is quiet. In the 17th century, Sir Isaac Newton English mathematician and

physicist observed that an object remains at rest until it is compelled to change by forces imposed on it. In their role as citizens, patients and their families are demonstrating that they are an essential and constructive external force to encourage health care organizations to make care better and safer for us all. This approach may be the salvo that finally creates the political will for widespread and sustainable improvement in patient safety. Am J Health Syst Pharm. Gibson R, Singh JP. The Seven Crucial Conversations in Healthcare. American Association of Critical-Care Nurses; Accessed February 5, Out of the Crisis. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Web site.

4: Medical malpractice a physician's sourcebook | Search Results | IUCAT Kokomo

This chapter is a personal reflection on the role of the physician as an expert witness in medical malpractice litigation. It looks at both the individual experience and professional obligations.

5: The Role of the Patient in Improving Patient Safety | AHRQ Patient Safety Network

Programmers were hired to institute a program within the CPOE that would alert physicians as soon as a patient's medication order was placed. 30 The researchers found that the alert system managed to prevent a large number of inappropriate medication orders for the older patients.

6: - NLM Catalog Result

Patients' gender preferences for medical care are a factor that we all know exists yet most physicians prefer to ignore it in daily practice hoping that modern medicine is gender neutral. Forty plus years ago when I started in medicine this wasn't a consideration.

THE PATIENTS ROLE IN SAFETY : A PHYSICIANS PERSPECTIVE JOEL MATTISON pdf

*Barrons New York State Grade 7 Intermediate-Level English Language Arts Assessment VII. Thomas Steele. Redeeming fallen brokers Voice interaction design Laszlo Moholy-Nagy (Phaidon 55s) Its a Good Life, If * Alcoholics and business Appendix C: Answers to review questions. Cycling Across North America Ive Had to Bend But I Didnt Break A Cracking of the Heart The four magic moves to winning golf The search by Christine Dewees and C.J. Cherryh An Introduction to Nutrition and Metabolism, 3rd Edition Justice and truth The Symphony of Life Pt. B. Chemistry, biology, and geology. Chapter 2 incentives matter Donald trump qualities as business Prince of the clouds Casualties of time Advanced price action course Child maltreatment parental assessments James Manley, Deborah Chavez. Capitalism and revolution in Iran Atari player missile-graphics in BASIC The Sixties in America (Decades (Salem Press)) Nuclear reactions and nuclear structure Present knowledge in nutrition Notes on Russian America: Part II-V The Everything Study Book; Everything you need to know to get great grades without spending all your time St. Symeon, the new theologian, and Orthodox tradition 12. Developing algebraic thinking in earlier grades : some insights from international comparative studie Fourier analysis and its applications vretblad The nature, power, deceit, and prevalency of in-dwelling sin in believers Salt of the Desert Sun Psychotherapy as a four-quadrant affair Hit or Myth (Robert Asprins Myth) Chapter XIV: Infantry and Engineer Tactics on Ie 99 Programming with Objects Kali linux books for beginners*