

1: Who are the two witnesses in the book of Revelation?

So that you can know, "Witnesses to the One" is a fine introduction to the unity of the Eternal 'one' as the people of God have seen him. Many thanks to the authors for preserving the memory of those who were willing to 'hear'.

Testimony by Expert Witnesses Rule Testimony by Expert Witnesses A witness who is qualified as an expert by knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education may testify in the form of an opinion or otherwise if: Notes of Advisory Committee on Proposed Rules An intelligent evaluation of facts is often difficult or impossible without the application of some scientific, technical, or other specialized knowledge. The most common source of this knowledge is the expert witness, although there are other techniques for supplying it. Most of the literature assumes that experts testify only in the form of opinions. The assumption is logically unfounded. The rule accordingly recognizes that an expert on the stand may give a dissertation or exposition of scientific or other principles relevant to the case, leaving the trier of fact to apply them to the facts. Since much of the criticism of expert testimony has centered upon the hypothetical question, it seems wise to recognize that opinions are not indispensable and to encourage the use of expert testimony in non-opinion form when counsel believes the trier can itself draw the requisite inference. The use of opinions is not abolished by the rule, however. It will continue to be permissible for the experts to take the further step of suggesting the inference which should be drawn from applying the specialized knowledge to the facts. See Rules to Whether the situation is a proper one for the use of expert testimony is to be determined on the basis of assisting the trier. When opinions are excluded, it is because they are unhelpful and therefore superfluous and a waste of time. The rule is broadly phrased. *Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc. In Daubert* the Court charged trial judges with the responsibility of acting as gatekeepers to exclude unreliable expert testimony, and the Court in *Kumho* clarified that this gatekeeper function applies to all expert testimony, not just testimony based in science. See also *Kumho, S.* Consistently with *Kumho*, the Rule as amended provides that all types of expert testimony present questions of admissibility for the trial court in deciding whether the evidence is reliable and helpful. Consequently, the admissibility of all expert testimony is governed by the principles of Rule a. Under that Rule, the proponent has the burden of establishing that the pertinent admissibility requirements are met by a preponderance of the evidence. *United States, U. Daubert* set forth a non-exclusive checklist for trial courts to use in assessing the reliability of scientific expert testimony. *Daubert* itself emphasized that the factors were neither exclusive nor dispositive. Other cases have recognized that not all of the specific *Daubert* factors can apply to every type of expert testimony. In addition to *Kumho, S. Urban Search Management, F.* See also *Kannankeril v.* The standards set forth in the amendment are broad enough to require consideration of any or all of the specific *Daubert* factors where appropriate. Courts both before and after *Daubert* have found other factors relevant in determining whether expert testimony is sufficiently reliable to be considered by the trier of fact. *Daily Racing Form, Inc. See Kumho Tire Co.* All of these factors remain relevant to the determination of the reliability of expert testimony under the Rule as amended. Other factors may also be relevant. See *Kumho, S.* A review of the caselaw after *Daubert* shows that the rejection of expert testimony is the exception rather than the rule. As the Court in *Daubert* stated: Likewise, this amendment is not intended to provide an excuse for an automatic challenge to the testimony of every expert. The amendment is broad enough to permit testimony that is the product of competing principles or methods in the same field of expertise. As the court stated in *In re Paoli R.* The evidentiary requirement of reliability is lower than the merits standard of correctness. *Pepsi Cola, F.* Under the amendment, as under *Daubert*, when an expert purports to apply principles and methods in accordance with professional standards, and yet reaches a conclusion that other experts in the field would not reach, the trial court may fairly suspect that the principles and methods have not been faithfully applied. The amendment specifically provides that the trial court must scrutinize not only the principles and methods used by the expert, but also whether those principles and methods have been properly applied to the facts of the case. As the court noted in *In re Paoli R.* This is true whether the step completely changes a reliable methodology or merely misapplies that methodology. Yet it might also be important in some cases for an expert to educate the factfinder about general principles, without

ever attempting to apply these principles to the specific facts of the case. For example, experts might instruct the factfinder on the principles of thermodynamics, or bloodclotting, or on how financial markets respond to corporate reports, without ever knowing about or trying to tie their testimony into the facts of the case. The amendment does not alter the venerable practice of using expert testimony to educate the factfinder on general principles. For this kind of generalized testimony, Rule simply requires that: As stated earlier, the amendment does not distinguish between scientific and other forms of expert testimony. An opinion from an expert who is not a scientist should receive the same degree of scrutiny for reliability as an opinion from an expert who purports to be a scientist. Some types of expert testimony will be more objectively verifiable, and subject to the expectations of falsifiability, peer review, and publication, than others. Some types of expert testimony will not rely on anything like a scientific method, and so will have to be evaluated by reference to other standard principles attendant to the particular area of expertise. The trial judge in all cases of proffered expert testimony must find that it is properly grounded, well-reasoned, and not speculative before it can be admitted. The amendment requires that the testimony must be the product of reliable principles and methods that are reliably applied to the facts of the case. For example, when a law enforcement agent testifies regarding the use of code words in a drug transaction, the principle used by the agent is that participants in such transactions regularly use code words to conceal the nature of their activities. The method used by the agent is the application of extensive experience to analyze the meaning of the conversations. So long as the principles and methods are reliable and applied reliably to the facts of the case, this type of testimony should be admitted. Nothing in this amendment is intended to suggest that experience alone¹ or experience in conjunction with other knowledge, skill, training or education² may not provide a sufficient foundation for expert testimony. To the contrary, the text of Rule expressly contemplates that an expert may be qualified on the basis of experience. In certain fields, experience is the predominant, if not sole, basis for a great deal of reliable expert testimony. *Sears Roebuck, F.* See also *Kumho Tire Co.* If the witness is relying solely or primarily on experience, then the witness must explain how that experience leads to the conclusion reached, why that experience is a sufficient basis for the opinion, and how that experience is reliably applied to the facts. Subpart 1 of Rule calls for a quantitative rather than qualitative analysis. See the original Advisory Committee Note to Rule When facts are in dispute, experts sometimes reach different conclusions based on competing versions of the facts. There has been some confusion over the relationship between Rules and When an expert relies on inadmissible information, Rule requires the trial court to determine whether that information is of a type reasonably relied on by other experts in the field. If so, the expert can rely on the information in reaching an opinion. However, the question whether the expert is relying on a sufficient basis of information³ whether admissible information or not⁴ is governed by the requirements of Rule *Capra, The Daubert Puzzle*, 38 Ga. Courts have shown considerable ingenuity and flexibility in considering challenges to expert testimony under Daubert, and it is contemplated that this will continue under the amended Rule. *Corporacion Insular, F.* The Committee made the following changes to the published draft of the proposed amendment to Evidence Rule The Committee Note was amended to accord with this textual change. *Carmichael*, which was rendered after the proposed amendment was released for public comment. Other citations were updated as well. The Committee Note was revised to emphasize that the amendment is not intended to limit the right to jury trial, nor to permit a challenge to the testimony of every expert, nor to preclude the testimony of experience-based experts, nor to prohibit testimony based on competing methodologies within a field of expertise. Language was added to the Committee Note to clarify that no single factor is necessarily dispositive of the reliability inquiry mandated by Evidence Rule Committee Notes on Rules⁵ Amendment The language of Rule has been amended as part of the restyling of the Evidence Rules to make them more easily understood and to make style and terminology consistent throughout the rules. These changes are intended to be stylistic only. There is no intent to change any result in any ruling on evidence admissibility.

2: Disciples Called To Witness: Part IV

A case built on one witness. On the morning of the bench trial, Redwood worried about the witnesses. Redwood had felt joy when she got the call from Crewell two weeks after the shooting, telling.

The fundamental doctrinal issue that distinguishes them from orthodox Christianity is the Trinity. They say the doctrine of the Trinity is a pagan teaching, but they misrepresent it, saying that the Father, the Son, and the Holy Spirit must be three gods. They actually agree with the Trinity doctrine when it says that the Father and the Son are two Persons, but they imagine they need to prove this to Trinitarians. They fail to grasp how the three Persons comprise the One Being of God, and so they come up with the idea of a Big God and a little godâ€”two gods. Because they say that Jesus is a demi-god, their understanding of salvation and atonement is wrong. They say Jesus, who was a perfect Man, died only to atone for the sin of Adam and that, when we die, our death pays the penalty of our own sin. All must be obedient to the leaders the Watchtower Society and its Governing Body if they wish to survive Armageddon. There is only one place to beginâ€”with the person of Christ Jesus, whose deity is the foundation of Christianity. Before they can put all their trust in the finished work of Christ Jesus, they have to understand they are not saved, which means they are presently lost in their sin. No Witness has the assurance of salvation, which is the gift of the Holy Spirit to those who belong to the Father through Christ Romans 8: The full deity of Jesus Christ can only be revealed to them by the Holy Spirit, whom they relegate to commodity status, like electricity. Witnessing to the Witnesses must be done in Christian love and with compassion. Remember, they have been deceived and believe a false gospel, yet many have a genuine love for God and are utterly sincere in their beliefs. Do not be afraid of them 1 John 4: Share your Christian testimony with them. Talk to them, discuss spiritual matters with them, but do not allow them to conduct what they describe as a Bible study, which is actually a study of their literature. Be aware they will not read any non-Watchtower literature or attend a church service. They say they will only accept what the Bible says, but their New World Translation has been drastically altered to reflect their theology, and every reference in the New Testament to the deity of Christ Jesus has been removed. It always comes as a surprise to them to meet people who love the Lord, display the fruit of the Spirit, and use the Bible as the basis for their faith Hebrews 4: Speak the truth in love Ephesians 4: Direct all your conversation to the person of Christ and the need to put total faith in what He has done. Do not allow them to lead you down the path they wish you to take, namely, considering how you can survive Armageddon to live on a paradise earth. This is not the gospel. Above all else, pray for them.

3: The Two Witnesses | Christian Forums

Choose the Right Synonym for witness. Verb. certify, attest, witness, vouch mean to testify to the truth or genuineness of something. certify usually applies to a written statement, especially one carrying a signature or seal.

What is Witness to Love? Marriage Prep that works to connect couples to their parishes through mentors and powerful online resources! Watch the intro video. When we were married, we were only required to attend a one day session. We wish that all couples were given this opportunity. We became closer to the couple than we had even hoped for. They opened up to us so much. The mentoring process was such a blessing because we took the time to revisit important decisions and events that had happened during our twelve years of marriage. It is a blessing to our Parish, our community and our Church. Let Witness to Love share love in your Parish. We get the chance to evangelize, form, and catechize two couples for the price of one! Give Witness To Love a try and you will not regret it. Andrew Merrick, Pastor I believe we definitely grew as a couple, not only closer to each other, but closer to God and the church. The fruits fostered by this ministry bring about deeper parish connection and authentic accompaniment for engaged and newly married couples. Aymond, Archbishop of New Orleans The process was amazing. The key to Witness to Love is that engaged couples are invited to choose their own mentors. The mentors must meet some basic requirements. The married couple is trained to mentor the engaged couple. Unlike other mentor models there is no need to build trust in order for the marriage prep process to work – the trust already exists. Deep conversions, encounter with Christ, and renewal happen consistently for both the mentors and the engaged couple.

4: Two Witnesses - Life, Hope & Truth

witness - be a witness to; "She witnessed the accident and had to testify in court" eyewitness - be present at an event and see it with one's own eyes watch - look attentively; "watch a basketball game".

New Kavanaugh accusers come forward Griff Jenkins has the latest details. As an extraordinary series of uncorroborated, lurid last-minute allegations threatens to derail his confirmation to the Supreme Court, nominee Brett Kavanaugh and Christine Ford, the California professor accusing him of sexually assaulting her more than three decades ago, are set to testify before the Senate Judiciary Committee Thursday morning. The proceedings may be upended by late-breaking developments: In a statement released Wednesday evening, Judiciary Committee Republicans revealed that on Monday, they conducted their "first interview with a man who believes he, not Judge Kavanaugh, had the encounter with Dr. Ford in that is the basis of his [sic] complaint. On Wednesday, Republicans said in the statement, they received a "more in-depth written statement from the man interviewed twice previously who believes he, not Judge Kavanaugh, had the encounter in question with Dr. Those claims, too, are a matter of dispute. On Thursday morning, Republican Sen. In response, an aide to Democrats on the Judiciary Committee reportedly unloaded on Senate Republicans: Twelve hours before the hearing they suggest two anonymous men claimed to have assaulted her. Democrats were never informed of these assertions in interviews, in violation of Senate rules. Orrin Hatch, R-Utah, shot back on Twitter late Wednesday, writing, "Some might find it exceedingly difficult to imagine Judiciary Committee Democrats expressing this complaint with straight faces. The stakes for Kavanaugh could not be higher: The proceedings will commence with opening statements from Judiciary Committee Chairman Chuck Grassley, R-Iowa, and ranking committee member Feinstein. After taking an oath, Ford will deliver the prepared remarks she has already provided publicly, according to a schedule provided by the committee. Each senator on the committee will then be afforded a single five-minute round of questions, with the opportunity to ask questions alternating between Democrats and Republicans. Video Republicans have retained Rachel Mitchell, an experienced sex-crimes prosecutor, to handle some of their questioning, saying it will help avoid an overtly political atmosphere. Grassley has hammered Democrats, including Sen. Democrats have indicated they intend to ask their own questions. Smyth -- have denied under penalty of felony knowing anything about the alleged episode. Ford will also describe one girl, "my friend Leland Ingham," as also in attendance. Ingham, in a previously released statement, has also denied knowing Kavanaugh or having information about the alleged assault. Republicans, through Mitchell, are expected to question Ford on the apparent discrepancies. Ford is also expected to tell senators that she finally decided to disclose the alleged assault during a therapy session in because during a remodeling of her house that year, she insisted on installing a "second front door" -- leaving her husband and others wondering why. According to The Washington Post , both the state of Maryland and the federal government have filed since-resolved liens on her property in recent years for unpaid taxes totalling tens of thousands of dollars. It was not immediately clear exactly how Swetnick, who has held multiple security clearances relating to her work with the government, resolved both liens. Video Republicans, including President Trump , have repeatedly pointed out that none of the sexual misconduct allegations against Kavanaugh has first-hand corroboration. In The New Yorker on Sunday, former Kavanaugh classmate Deborah Ramirez claimed that Kavanaugh had exposed his penis to her at a party decades ago, even as her close college friend denied ever hearing about the episode and suggested she was making the claim for political reasons. Ramirez, who also did not immediately respond to GOP Judiciary Committee inquiries, has acknowledged not being sure whether Kavanaugh had assaulted her until last week, after she spent days consulting with her attorney. Several other allegations emerged this week. On Tuesday, a constituent told the office of Sen. In Twitter posts, the person making the accusation had also evidently advocated removing President Trump from the White House by means of military coup. Every -- just unbelievable. Gregg Re is an editor for Fox News.

5: California bar shooting: Witnesses describe escaping as gunman reloaded - CBS News

Jehovah's Witnesses hold meetings for worship twice each week. (Hebrews , 25) At these meetings, which are open to the public, we examine what the Bible says and how we can apply its teachings in our life. Most of our services include audience participation, much like a classroom discussion.

HI Here is who the Two witnesses are. These are perhaps the most misunderstood prophecy in Rev. I have heard they are Moses Enoch Elijah so forth and so on. Of course not one verse to back any of it. You must trust the words of God no matter where it takes you away from Traditional Christian Teachings. We are told they are witnesses. We are given two symbols that tell us who these are. And I will give power unto my two witnesses, and they shall prophesy a thousand two hundred and threescore days, clothed in sackcloth. These are the two olive trees, and the two candlesticks standing before the God of the earth. And again in Hosea The Greek word for church here was " the assembly of called out ones" which is what Israel was the called out ones. So a Lampstand means called out ones. I can show you verses to prove that many of the Christians of Europe are in fact of the lost tribes of Israel. Who among them can declare this, and show us the former things? Let them bring their witnesses to justify them, and let them hear and say, It is true. Before me no god was formed, nor shall there be any after me. And that repentance and remission of sins should be preached in his name among all nations, beginning at Jerusalem. And ye are witnesses of these things. But ye shall receive power, after that the Holy Ghost is come upon you: Beginning from the baptism of John, unto that same day that he was taken up from us, must one be ordained to be a witness with us of his resurrection. This Jesus hath God raised up, whereof we all are witnesses. And we are his witnesses of these things; and so is also the Holy Ghost, whom God hath given to them that obey him. And we are witnesses of all things which he did both in the land of the Jews, and in Jerusalem; whom they slew and hanged on a tree: Nevertheless he left not himself without witness, in that he did good, and gave us rain from heaven, and fruitful seasons, filling our hearts with food and gladness. For thou shalt be his witness unto all men of what thou hast seen and heard. Ye are witnesses, and God also, how holily and justly and unblameably we behaved ourselves among you that believe: For the life was manifested, and we have seen it, and bear witness, and shew unto you that eternal life, which was with the Father, and was manifested unto us 3Jn: Which have borne witness of thy charity before the church: And from Jesus Christ, who is the faithful witness, and the first begotten of the dead, and the prince of the kings of the earth. And he commanded us to preach unto the people, and to testify that it is he which was ordained of God to be the Judge of quick and dead. But none of these things move me, neither count I my life dear unto myself, so that I might finish my course with joy, and the ministry, which I have received of the Lord Jesus, to testify the gospel of the grace of God. By Silvanus, a faithful brother unto you, as I suppose, I have written briefly, exhorting, and testifying that this is the true grace of God wherein ye stand. And we have seen and do testify that the Father sent the Son to be the Saviour of the world. At the mouth of two witnesses, or three witnesses, shall he that is worthy of death be put to death; but at the mouth of one witness he shall not be put to death. One witness shall not rise up against a man for any iniquity, or for any sin, in any sin that he sins: Now I can show you over 50 verses which show that these 12 Tribes are Christianity. If you like I will post them in another post. Think of it this way before each major event in history of his plan God has sent prophets. Now To warn of the Great Tribulation. To herald the return of the Lord God sends , prophets. So , that stand against the Anti Christ and declare that there is only one God and the truth of the Prophets for this the AC rises up and kills them.

6: The Symbolism of the Two Witnesses

it is veruy interesting in wanting to know who the two witness are, so i will throw my opinino, here we go. as for the mount of transfiguareation the two men that appeared were mooses and elijah, they were the representitive mooses the law and eljah represented the prophit and the thrid the most imortant one is jesus the son of god.

The side that calls the witness first asks questions in what is called direct examination. The opposing side then may ask their own questions in what is called cross-examination. In some cases, redirect examination may be used by the side that called the witness but usually only to contradict specific testimony from the cross-examination. Recalling a witness means calling a witness, who has already given testimony in a proceeding, to give further testimony. Testimony[edit] Witnesses are usually permitted to testify only what they experienced first-hand. In most cases, they may not testify about something they were told hearsay. That restriction does not apply to expert witnesses, but they may testify only in the area of their expertise. Reliability[edit] Eyewitness testimony is generally presumed to be more reliable than circumstantial evidence. Studies have shown, however, that individual, separate witness testimony is often flawed, and parts of it can be meaningless. That can occur because of flaws in eyewitness identification such as faulty observation and recollection, or bias or because a witness is lying. If several people witness a crime, it is probative to look for similarities in their collective descriptions to substantiate the facts of an event but to keep in mind the contrasts between individual descriptions. One study involved an experiment, in which subjects acted as jurors in a criminal case. Jurors heard a description of a robbery-murder, a prosecution argument, and then an argument for the defense. Some jurors heard only circumstantial evidence ; others heard from a clerk who claimed to identify the defendant. In another study, students watched a staged crime. An hour later they looked through photos. A week later they were asked to pick the suspect out of lineups. Another study looked at 65 cases of "erroneous criminal convictions of innocent people. Although cognitive processes can be only inferred and cannot be seen directly, they all have very important practical implications within a legal context. If one were to accept that the way people think, perceive, reason, and judge is not always perfect, it becomes easier to understand why cognitive processes and the factors influencing the processes are studied by psychologists in matters of law, one being the grave implications that this imperfection can have within the criminal justice system. The study of witness memory has dominated the realm of investigation. As Huff and Rattner [2] note, the single most important factor contributing to wrongful conviction is eyewitness misidentification. Generally, they are deemed to be credible if they are recognized or can be recognized as a source of reliable information about someone, an event, or a phenomenon. As an example, the arrest of an illegal immigrant from El Salvador in the murder of federal intern Chandra Levy saw many questions arise surrounding the credibility of various witnesses. Contesting the credibility of so-called "expert" witnesses rose into more common practice in the s and s.

7: Two witnesses - Wikipedia

Contemporary Examples. of witness. One witness said the gunfire began after a traffic collision, which drew the attention of a nearby police officer.

What follows is the line of reasoning Cetnar presented. First I need to clarify a few things. In the New World Translation, John 1: Jesus equals a Mighty God? Is Jehovah a true God or a false God? Jehovah is a true God. Is Jesus a true God or a false God? Jesus is a true God. How can you believe there are two true Gods when the Bible says there is only one God? After all, it says in Isaiah Well, we only worship one God. If I only loved one wife but was married to two women, I would still be a polygamist and your believing there are two true Gods even though you only worship one of them still makes you a polytheist. The Bible says there is only one God. But the Bible calls Satan a God. Is Satan a true God or a false God? You still have two true Gods. Do you see the difference? Then there would be lots of true Gods, but John As I said, we only worship one God. After all, if there is one God and if there are three persons in the Bible called God, then the three persons must be the one God. It is no surprise that I have yet to hear a coherent answer.

8: A Question Jehovah's Witnesses Can't Answer Clay Jones

An expert witness is one who allegedly has specialized knowledge relevant to the matter of interest, which knowledge purportedly helps to either make sense of other evidence, including other testimony, documentary evidence or physical evidence (e.g., a fingerprint). An expert witness may or may not also be a percipient witness, as in a doctor.

What will they do? Why are there two witnesses? In the book of Revelation, God says: This statement seems to be a continuation of a prophecy God gave the prophet Zechariah to show that God accomplishes things through the power of His Spirit Zechariah 4: After explaining this important principle about how His work is done, God then returned to the vision of the olive trees Zechariah had seen: Just as God accomplished things through the power of His Holy Spirit during the time of Zerubbabel, He will do the same through His two witnesses during the end times. Throughout the Bible, we find that God often works with pairs of individuals. During the time Zechariah was writing, Zerubbabel served as governor and a man named Joshua served as the high priest Zechariah 3: Earlier, God had used Moses as the leader of the ancient Israelites and his brother Aaron as the high priest. Although they occasionally had others traveling with them, Paul and Barnabas worked together to take the gospel to the gentiles. When two people work together, they can often be more productive than when working alone. Recognizing this principle, Ecclesiastes 4: For if they fall, one will lift up his companion. But woe to him who is alone when he falls, for he has no one to help him up. By having two witnesses, God is following His own law as He, through the two witnesses, warns people to repent of their sins prior to punishing them if they do not heed His instruction. The work of the two witnesses The account in the book of Revelation gives clues about the work of the two witnesses: And if anyone wants to harm them, he must be killed in this manner. Like Elijah, they will have the power to stop the rain 1 Kings Like Moses, they will have the power to turn water to blood Exodus 7: But making people suffer is not their primary mission. Instead, like Elijah, their goal will be to encourage people to turn their hearts to God 1 Kings Elijah served during a time when ancient Israel had become exceedingly corrupt under wicked King Ahab and his idolatrous wife, Jezebel. Biblical prophecies show that the whole earth will become corrupt prior to the return of Christ 2 Timothy 3: Biblical types Through the years, many explanations regarding the two witnesses have been proposed. Previous prophets are often cited as biblical types of what the two witnesses will do. While John the Baptist was a fulfillment of the prophecy in Luke 1, indicating that John the Baptist would do an Elijah-like work Matthew And he will turn the hearts of the fathers to the children, and the hearts of the children to their fathers, lest I come and strike the earth with a curse. As for the identity of this end-time Elijah, Scripture indicates that an Elijah-like message to repent and obey God will be preached by the Church of God Matthew The explanation of the two witnesses that seems to best fit the Scriptures is that they will be two humans who will prophesy in the spirit and the power of Elijah during the Great Tribulation and Day of the Lord. The beast will be the civil leader who all people of the earth, except those faithful to God, will follow Revelation The false prophet is the head of the religious system supporting the beast. One tool used to understand prophecy is the type-antitype principle. The account in Exodus 7: It begins with the first of several encounters between Moses and Pharaoh. The magicians Jannes and Jambres also did the same thing with their rods Exodus 7: Water was turned to blood, and the magicians did the same thing verse These miracles were performed in the presence of Pharaoh. During the Great Tribulation, the false prophet will also perform miracles. Speaking of this same person, Revelation And he deceives those who dwell on the earth by those signs which he was granted to do in the sight of the beast. Why will people rejoice over their deaths? And their dead bodies will lie in the street of the great city which spiritually is called Sodom and Egypt, where also our Lord was crucified. Then those from the peoples, tribes, tongues, and nations will see their dead bodies three-and-a-half days, and not allow their dead bodies to be put into graves. Why will the world rejoice over their deaths? Most in the world will not like their message of repentance. People do not want to be told that what they are doing is wrong, that they are sinning and need to change. They will be angry because of the plagues being brought on them as punishments for not repenting of their sins, and they will blame the two witnesses for the torment so many will suffer. The rejoicing over their deaths will be short-lived. As for their ascending to heaven, this

occurs at the end of this age and is not something that has been happening to good people when they die. The overall lesson we learn from the two witnesses is that God will send these two special representatives to warn people to repent and change their evil waysâ€”to turn their hearts toward God. It is far better for us to respond to God now, when we become aware of His expectations of us. We are also always pleased to receive questions and input from our readers. A form for sending an email is conveniently located below.

9: Witness | Definition of Witness by Merriam-Webster

Jehovah's Witnesses are unable to grasp the significance of Jesus' death and why they must be born again in order to have their sins forgiven. Before they can put all their trust in the finished work of Christ Jesus, they have to understand they are not saved, which means they are presently lost in their sin.

Disciples Called To Witness: As I have loved you, so you also should love one another. This is how all will know that you are my disciples, if you have love for one another. A Christian life lived with charity and faith is the most effective form of evangelization. Evangelization testifies to the transformative power of the Gospel and the mission of the Church to sanctify society, hand on the faith to future generations, strengthen the faith of her members, and renew the faith of those who have slipped away from the Church: It is therefore primarily by her conduct and by her life that the Church will evangelize the world, in other words, by her living witness of fidelity to the Lord Jesus - the witness of poverty and detachment, of freedom in the face of the powers of this world, in short, the witness of sanctity. The witness of Christians, whose lives are filled with the hope of Christ, opens the hearts and minds of those around them to Christ. This openness to Christ is a moment of conversion metanoia. In the National Directory for Catechesis, the Catholic bishops of the United States have provided catechetical methodologies that foster and sustain an evangelizing culture of witness. Methodologies Catechetical methodologies are based on the proclamation of the faith from Sacred Scripture and Tradition and their application to human experience, or they are based on human experience examined in light of the Gospel and teachings of the Church. For these methodologies and the programs based on them to be effective, they must be grounded in witness to the Gospel. This section explores the following methodologies: Being a disciple is a challenge. Fortunately, one does not become a disciple of Christ on his or her own initiative. The work of the Holy Spirit within the Christian community forms the person as a disciple of Christ. One seeking to learn how to be a disciple of Christ does so through apprenticeship. Those seeking to return to the faith are seeking to live a life of discipleship, to follow in the footsteps of Christ. To those seeking answers to the increasing secularization, individualism, and materialism of society, a Christian life provides a powerful witness to the Gospel. Additionally, the works of charity and justice as well as the promotion of solidarity, justice, peace, and stewardship of creation build up the Kingdom of God. Social justice and direct service opportunities provide powerful experiences with the person of Jesus, especially for adolescents and young adults. Service, when understood as serving Christ in others and as a means to share the Gospel, has the ability to bring the server and the one being served closer to Christ. It is in the parish that one becomes engaged with the Church community, learns how to become a disciple of Christ, is nurtured by Scripture, is nourished by the sacraments, and ultimately becomes an evangelizer. Successful evangelization and catechetical initiatives must be focused on the parish and parish life. The parish is where the faith is passed down, lived, and sustained for all members of the Body of Christ, most especially for those members seeking to return. Popular Devotions and Piety The active participation and practice of the liturgy, prayers, devotions, and popular piety of the Church provide a powerful witness to the faith. By participating in Mass, learning common prayers, and practicing devotions, a person appropriates the teachings of the faith. The use of gospel music by the African American community and liturgical movement by the black community should be fostered. The rich traditions of prayers, liturgy, and devotions such as Akathistos, Paraklesis, and Molebens of our Eastern Catholic Churches are a great grace and blessing. The desire of returning Catholics to reconnect with their cultural devotional practices should be encouraged and fostered, as it presents an opportunity to invite them into a prayerful relationship with God. The Christian Family A culture of witness is sustained within the Church through marriage and the family. The communal relationship that exists between and among the three Persons of the Trinity is the model for Christian marriage, 43 and through the Sacrament of Matrimony, married love actually participates in Trinitarian love. It is within the Sacrament of Matrimony that the husband and wife evangelize, become evangelized, and share their witness of the faith to their children and to society. The family founded on the Sacrament of Matrimony is a particular realization of the Church, saved and saving, evangelized and evangelizing community. Their shared wisdom and experience often constitute a compelling

Christian witness. Catechists, together with the pastors of the Church, are entrusted with the duties of teaching the faith, overseeing sacramental preparation, supporting the formation of consciences, and developing a love of prayer in those they catechize. Additionally, Catholic schools and their teachers are witnesses to the faith. For over one hundred years, the Catholic school system in America has prepared generations of disciples in this country and been a powerful evangelizing presence. A vibrant Catholic identity and active promotion of gospel values in Catholic schools help foster future generations of disciples and evangelists. Religious Experience Discipleship is rooted in human experience. It is through human experience that one enters into a dialogue with modern culture. These concrete actions of the Holy Spirit are numerous. Some common examples are retreats, direct service opportunities, parish prayer groups, Bible study programs, and involvement in the ecclesial movements. It is through the prompting of the Holy Spirit that one comes to understand the Good News of the Gospel. Catechesis helps them relate the Christian message to the most profound questions in life: The first methodology is deductive, while the second is inductive. For a detailed examination of these two methods, please see NDC, no.

Appendix I: in the grand tradition of the courtier: the presidential assistant Pamela Transue Beyond the span of a single life Milton Meltzer Developing Entrepreneurship La mÃ©thode value proposition design Marxist theory of class struggle Theatre robert cohen 10th edition Postmarks on postcards Introduction to nuclear and particle physics solution Final project runway : in the Is of Asian American women Allyson Tintiangco-Cubales Masters of Flux Anchor (Soul Rider, No 3) Database support for multidimensional discrete data Peter Baumann The Criminal Justice System in Georgia Babies and young children in care Ingenious Yankees Patricia Smiths album of all bisque dolls Navneet general knowledge book Contributions of cognitive psychology to school psychology Gregory Schraw Peter Reynolds Childbirth, a consumers perspective Cope step four: evaluating and adjusting the plan The Interviewers Handbook Enforcement and Administration of Internal Revenue Laws Solitudes of Luis de Gongora y Argote 2001 ford taurus ses owners manual A calendar of Paraguayan festivals Institutional goals Delbert, the plainclothes detective. Chinese Cinderella (Puffin Teenage Books) Woman, in her social and domestic character Fanuc system 6m maintenance manual Jed and all his kin History of banking in pakistan Legislative Procedure; Parliamentary Practices and the Course of Business in the Framing of Statutes. Fit for the ocean Cellular connection Circulatory system worksheet high school Spectrum Language Arts, Grade 6 (Spectrum (McGraw-Hill)) Everything you need to know about step-families Beyond boundary spanning Eastern European Theatre after the Iron Curtain (Contemporary Theatre Studies) Exaltation of Days 1995 Engagement Calendar, An